# FINAL REPORT

ON THE

# REVENUE SETTLEMENT

OF

# TRAVANCORE

1058—1086 M. E.
1883—1911 A. D.

# FINAL REPORT

ON THE

# REVENUE SETTLEMENT

OF

# TRAVANCORE

BY

S PADMANABHA AIYAR, M. A.,
SENIOR DEWAN PEISHKAR, REVENUE SETTLEMENT.

T P L
STOCK VERIF
1995

## APPENDIX NO. II.

*IN EIGHT VOLUMES—VOL. I.*

## IMPORTANT PAPERS

RELATING TO

## THE REVENUE SETTLEMENT

OF

## TRAVANCORE

1058—1086 M. E.
1883—1911 A. D.

LIST OF CONTENTS.

TRIVANDRUM
PRINTED AT THE TRAVANCORE GOVERNMENT PRESS
1913

# INTRODUCTORY NOTE.

THE Settlement Department was organised and settlement work was started on the 1st Mithunam 1058/14th June 1883. The settlement of the State was fully closed by the 29th Dhanu 1086/13th January 1911 and the preparation of the Taluk and State Ayacuts was completed in the Settlement Central Office by the 32nd Karkadakam 1086/16th August 1911. The operations of the settlement department were thus spread over nearly 28 years. The important papers relating to those operations have been collected together and compiled not only on account of their intrinsic value but also as they will be of great use in the elucidation of the difficulties and doubts that may arise in the land revenue administration of the State. The papers have been arranged under the following heads :—

I. General Settlement.
II. Cherikal Settlement.
III. Viruthi Settlement.
IV. Sripadom Settlement.
V. Kilimanoor Edavaka Settlement.
VI. Edapalli Edavaka Settlement.
   A. Settlement of Trikunnapuzha, Edapalli North, Edapalli South and Kallupara villages.
   B. Settlement of Vazhakulam village.

2. The papers under each of the above heads have been arranged in chronological order. The papers are voluminous and deal with multifarious subjects. Numerous modifications have been made from time to time in the details connected with the principles and policy of settlement operations and even the same subject has received different treatment at different times and in different localities. For facility of reference an exhaustive index has been annexed to the papers. The important orders issued by the Government in the Revenue Department subsequent to the closing of settlement and bearing on the settlement operations already carried out have also been collected together and arranged under the respective heads.

3. Samples of the numerous tabular forms used in the several stages of settlement work have also been collected together and thrown into a separate volume.

TRIVANDRUM,
*4th March 1912.*

S. PADMANABHA AIYAR,
*Senior Dewan Peishkar, Revenue Settlement.*

PUBLIC LIBRARY

# APPENDIX NO. II.

## IN EIGHT VOLUMES.

## ARRANGEMENT OF VOLUMES.

| No. of Vol. | Description. | No. of pages in each Vol. |
|---|---|---|
| I | LIST OF CONTENTS OF IMPORTANT PAPERS COMPRISED IN VOLS. II TO VI . . . . . | 1— 134 |
| II | GENERAL SETTLEMENT PAPERS FROM 1883 TO 1890 A.D. . | 1— 538 |
| III | Do. Do. 1891 TO 1903 .. . | 539—1101 |
| IV | Do. Do. 1901 TO 1906 .. . | 1103—1625 |
| V | Do. Do. 1907 TO 1911 .. . | 1627—2323 |
| VI | CHERIKAL, VIRUTHI, SRIPADOM, KILIMANUR EDAVAKA AND EDAPALLI EDAVAKA SETTLEMENT PAPERS . . | 1—643 |
| VII | INDEX OF IMPORTANT PAPERS COMPRISED IN VOLS. II TO VI. . . . . | 1—261 |
| VIII | SAMPLES OF SETTLEMENT FORMS WITH LIST . . . | 1—148 |

# APPENDIX NO. II.

## VOL. I.

## SUMMARY OF CONTENTS.


| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| I. GENERAL SETTLEMENT. . . . . | Vol. II | Pp. | 1—11. |
| | „ III | „ | 12—38. |
| | „ IV | „ | 39—59. |
| | „ V | „ | 60—85. |
| II. CHERIKAL SETTLEMENT . . . . . | „ VI | „ | 89—97. |
| III. VIRUTHI SETTLEMENT . . . . . | „ „ | „ | 101—109. |
| IV. SRIPADOM SETTLEMENT . . . . . | „ „ | „ | 113—116. |
| V. KILIMANUR SETTLEMENT . . . . . | „ „ | „ | 119—120. |
| VI. EDAPALLI EDAVAKA SETTLEMENT . . . | „ „ | „ | 125—134. |
| A. Settlement of Trikunnapuzha, Edapalli North, Edapalli South and Kallupara Villages | „ „ | „ | 125—129. |
| B. Settlement of Vazhakulam Village . | „ „ | „ | 133—134. |

# I. GENERAL SETTLEMENT.

# CONTENTS.

## I. GENERAL SETTLEMENT.


| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Dewan's D. O. dated the 18th June 1883 to Mr. Shungrasoobyer communicating his appointment as Dewan Peishkar, Settlement Department ... ... ... ... ... ... | II | 1 |
| 2 | Dewan's letter No. S. 19 dated the 26th June 1883 forwarding copy of the sanctioned list of establishment for the revenue settlement department for the whole State (with copy of list) ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 3 | Boundary Regulation III of 1058 (English) ... ... ... | ,, | 6 |
| 4 | Do. (Malayalam) ... ... ... | ,, | 11 |
| 5 | Abstract of Boundary Regulation III of 1058 (English) ... ... | ,, | 18 |
| 6 | Do. (Malayalam) ... ... | ,, | 19 |
| 7 | Demarcation Rules (with appendix) (English) ... ... | ,, | 21 |
| 8 | Do do. (Malayalam) ... ... | ,, | 34 |
| 9 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's D. O. No. 20 dated the 31st July 1883 fixing 10 ft. as the minimum width for lanes to be separately demarcated ... | ,, | 48 |
| 10 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 75 dated the 24th October 1883 *in re.* the demarcation of tracts submerged under water ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 11 | Dewan's letter No. S. 137 dated the 31st October 1883 *in re* the demarcation of tracts submerged under water ... ... ... | ,, | 49 |
| 12 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 82 dated the 2nd November 1883 *in re.* the modification of section 64 of the Demarcation Rules ... | ,, | ,, |
| 13 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 85 dated the 4th November 1883 *in re.* addendum to Exception II of section 85 of the Demarcation Rules ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 50 |
| 14 | Dewan's letter No. S. 159 dated the 25th November 1883 sanctioning the modification of section 64 of the Demarcation Rules as recommended by the Settlement Dewan Peishkar ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 15 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's D. O. No. 7 dated the 22nd January 1884 *in re.* the transfer of demarcation work to the survey department ... | ,, | 51 |
| 16 | Government Notification dated the 31st January 1884/19th Makaram 1059 dispensing with the levy of *Karanapiziha* on *Vellola* documents produced in evidence in connection with settlement enquiries ... | ,, | 52 |
| 17 | Proceedings of Government No. S. 23 dated the 2nd February 1884 *in re.* the transfer of demarcation work to the survey department ... | ,, | ,, |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 18 | Classification and Registration Rules dated the 2nd February 1884/21st Makaram 1059 (with appendices) (English) ... ... ... | II | 55 |
| 19 | Do. do. (Malayalam) ... ... | „ | 67 |
| 20 | Instructions explaining and supplementing the Classification and Registration Rules dated the 2nd February 1884/21st Makaram 1059 *in re.* the classification of trees and soils and the proper use of the registration enquiry form ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 79 |
| 21 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 1511/624 dated the 3rd April 1884/23rd Meenam 1059 directing that all the survey numbers owned by a single individual in the same village should be brought under a single registration case... ... ... ... ... | „ | 92 |
| 22 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 1657/122 dated the 21st April 1884/10th Medam 1059 *in re.* the modification of section 37 of the Demarcation Rules... ... ... ... ... | „ | „ |
| 23 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 1772/761 dated the 9th May 1884/28th Medam 1059 stating that Kudumbaporuthi lands fall under section 11 of the Registration Rules dated the 2nd February 1884 ... | „ | 93 |
| 24 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 1784/767 dated the 11th May 1884/30th Medam 1059 stating that no registration case need be filed for survey numbers the tandaper-holder of which is living and is in possession ... ... ... ... ... ... | „ | „ |
| 25 | Proceedings of Government No. S. 106 dated the 19th June 1884 directing the transfer of demarcation work to the survey department... | „ | 94 |
| 26 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 2076/166 dated the 30th June 1884/18th Mithunam 1059 suggesting the apportionment of the cost of boundary demarcation rateably on the area owned by each ryot instead of on the pattom as laid down in section 5 of the Boundary Regulation (III of 1058) ... ... ... ... | „ | 95 |
| 27 | Royal Proclamation dated the 19th July 1884/5th Karkadakam 1059 relinquishing the fee leviable on certain transfers of Pattom lands (English and Malayalam) ... ... ... ... | „ | 96 |
| 28 | Government Notification dated the 26th July 1884/12th Karkadakam 1059 exempting from payment of stamp duty all powers of attorney produced before the survey and settlement officers for purposes connected with survey and settlement... ... ... ... | „ | 97 |
| 29 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 2311/982 dated the 27th July 1884/13th Karkadakam 1059 *in re.* the treatment to be accorded in registration of titles to Jenmom lands in the hands of alienees ... | „ | 98 |
| 30 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 588 dated the 7th August 1884/24th Karkadakam 1059 *in re.* the modification of section 37 of the Demarcation Rules ... ... ... ... ... | „ | „ |
| 31 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 602 dated the 9th August 1884/26th Karkadakam 1059 stating that the principle of apportioning the cost of boundary demarcation rateably on the assessment fixed on the holding of each ryot is equitable and calls for no change ... ... | „ | 99 |
| 32 | Memo of instructions, No. 614 dated the 11th August 1884/28th Karkadakam 1059, issued by Government on the procedure to be followed by the revenue and settlement departments in dealing with cases falling under rule 11 of the Registration Rules dated the 2nd February 1884/21st Makaram 1059 (with the notes of the Settlement Dewan Peishkar.) ... ... ... ... | „ | 100 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 33 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 127 dated the 5th October 1884 *in re.* the revision of the scale of establishment of the settlement department ... ... ... ... ... ... | II | 105 |
| 34 | Proceedings of Government No. 280 dated the 31st October 1884 revising the original scale of establishment of the settlement department ... ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 107 |
| 35 | Huzur letter No. S. 2 dated the 3rd January 1885 sanctioning certain modifications in the Registration Rules ... ... ... | „ | 111 |
| 36 | Revised Registration Rules dated the 3rd January 1885 (English) ... | „ | „ |
| 37 | Do. (Malayalam) ... | „ | 114 |
| 38 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Memo, No. 169 dated the 31st January 1885/20th Makaram 1060, containing instructions supplemental to the Revised Registration Rules dated the 3rd January 1885 ... ... | „ | 117 |
| 39 | Regulation II of 1060 amending the Boundary Regulation III of 1058 (English) ... | „ | 118 |
| 40 | Do. do. (Malayalam) ... | „ | 119 |
| 41 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Memo of instructions No. 3243/36 dated the 1st March 1885/19th Kumbham 1060 in explanation of Regulation II of 1060 ... ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 120 |
| 42 | Memorandum dated the 14th April 1885 on the Proposed Revenue Survey and Settlement of Travancore by Dewan Ramiengar (with appendices, *viz.*, Memorandum by Mr. Shungrasoobyer &c.)... ... | „ | 126 |
| 43 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 47 dated the 13th May 1885 *in re.* the procedure to be followed in cases of applications for registration of titles in the names of Europeans and Americans ... | „ | 352 |
| 44 | Huzur letter No. 127 dated the 20th May 1885 laying down the sanction of Government as a necessary antecedent condition for the registration of titles in the names of Europeans and Americans ... ... | „ | 353 |
| 45 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 81 dated the 2nd November 1885 on the scheme of assessment proposed for Palkulangara and Kadakampalli villages ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 351 |
| 46 | Proceedings of Government No. S 298 dated the 28th November 1885 passing orders on the scheme report of Palkulangara and Kadakampalli villages ... ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 410 |
| 47 | Government Notification No. 1987/P. W. dated the 7th December 1885/ 23rd Vrichikam 1061 prohibiting the registry of puduvals on the banks of rivers, channels or tanks or in their beds (English, Malayalam and Tamil) ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 418 |
| 48 | Royal Proclamation dated the 24th February 1886/14th Kumbham 1061 about the introduction of a Survey and Re-assessment of the entire State (English) ... ... | „ | 420 |
| 49 | Do. do. (Malayalam) ... | „ | 429 |
| 50 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 3904/1279 dated the 8th March 1886/26th Kumbham 1061 laying down the procedure to be followed in conducting registration enquiries for Kandukrishi lands ... | „ | 439 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 51 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 340 dated the 24th March 1886/12th Meenam 1061 stating that registration cases relating to lands liable to *ottrivilakkam* under the revenue rules need not, in view of the provisions of the Royal Proclamation of 1061, be referred to the revenue department as required by the memo of instructions dated the 11th August 1884/28th Karkadakam 1059 ... ... ... | II | 440 |
| 52 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 3621/83 dated the 30th March 1886/18th Meenam 1061 suggesting that Kudijenmom and Kudipully lands falling under section 25 of the Settlement Royal Proclamation of 1061 be assessed with ½ rajabhogam for gardens and ¼ rajabhogam plus the old michavaram for wet lands ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 53 | Huzur letter No. S. 102 dated the 6th April 1886 calling for a scale of rates for dry lands framed with reference to section 12 of the Settlement Royal Proclamation ... ... ... ... | ,, | 441 |
| 54 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 32 dated the 7th April 1886 submitting copy of the scale of rates for dry lands ... ... | ,, | 442 |
| 55 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 366 dated the 10th April 1886/29th Meenam 1061 sanctioning the Settlement Dewan Peishkar's proposal for assessing Kudijenmom and Kudipully lands falling under section 25 of the Settlement Royal Proclamation with ½ rajabhogam for gardens and ¼ rajabhogam plus the old michavaram for wet lands ... | ,, | ,, |
| 56 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 3822/88 dated the 10th April 1886/29th Meenam 1061 recommending that in respect of the *tengapattom* gardens in the Palkulangara and Kadakampalli villages a rate of 6¼ fanams for 100 cocoanuts be substituted for the 10 fanams rate fixed by section 10 of the Settlement Royal Proclamation as the *tengapattom* tax had been levied in these villages only *in money* at the former rate ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 443 |
| 57 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 3861/89 dated the 13th April 1886/2nd Medam 1061 recommending that Jenmom properties be converted into Otti when alienated to strangers without any rights being reserved to or exercised by the Jenmies... ... ... | ,, | 444 |
| 58 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 376 dated the 17th April 1886/6th Medam 1061 stating that the special treatment laid down in the memo of instructions dated the 11th August 1884/28th Karkadakam 1059 will not apply to puduvals outside the limits of the towns as defined in 1041 M. E. though they lie within the limits as subsequently revised ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 445 |
| 59 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 377 dated the 19th April 1886/8th Medam 1061 sanctioning the conversion into Otti of Jenmom lands alienated without any rights being reserved to or exercised by the Jenmies ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 446 |
| 60 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 379 dated the 19th April 1886/8th Medam 1061 sanctioning the proposal of the Settlement Dewan Peishkar for the adoption of a commutation rate of 6¼ fs. per 100 cocoanuts for the *tengapattom* gardens in the villages of Kadakampalli and Palkulangara in substitution of the 10 fs. rate laid down by section 10 of the Settlement Royal Proclamation ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 61 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 4435/102 dated the 12th May 1886/31st Medam 1061 requesting orders whether the pattahs to be issued for Sripandaravaka properties should proceed from the Government or from the Sripandaravaka department ... ... | ,, | 447 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 62 | Government Notification No. 441 dated the 4th June 1886/23rd Idavam 1061 stating that applications for transfer of registry made after the issue of rough pattahs will not be taken note of by the settlement department | II | 447 |
| 63 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 451 dated the 11th June 1886/30th Idavam 1061 stating that house-sites in the Trivandrum taluk not specifically exempted from assessment at the previous settlements should be taxed at the present settlement ... ... ... | „ | 448 |
| 64 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 5590/124 dated the 3rd July 1886/21st Mithunam 1061 recommending that in the case of Otti lands *jenmikaram* or *tirippuvaram* be made payable out of the Government demand ... ... ... ... ... | „ | „ |
| 65 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 486 dated the 12th July 1886/30th Mithunam 1061 sanctioning the proposal to deduct *jenmikaram* or *tirippuvaram* chargeable on Otti lands from the Government demand ... ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 449 |
| 66 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 498 dated the 17th July 1886/3rd Karkadakam 1061 prescribing the procedure to be followed in issuing pattahs for Sripandaravaka lands ... ... ... ... | „ | 450 |
| 67 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's No. 5921/133 dated the 19th July 1886/5th Karkadakam 1061 *in re.* the procedure to be followed in the calculation of Sirkar *kadama* and *varam* on Sripandaravaka tenures... ... | „ | „ |
| 68 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 6193/139 dated the 1st August 1886/18th Karkadakam 1061 requesting orders as to how '*Melpathi*' borne on certain Brahmaswom Lands in the Kadakampalli pakudi should be treated at the settlement ... ... ... | „ | 451 |
| 69 | Huzur Settlement Memo No. 529 dated the 4th August 1886/21st Karkadakam 1061 containing instructions regarding the procedure to be followed in the calculation of Sirkar *kadama* and *varam* on Sripandaravaka tenures ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 452 |
| 70 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 13 dated the 20th August 1886/6th Chingam 1062 directing that '*Melpathi*' borne on Brahmaswom lands in the Kadakampalli pakudi should be merged in the assessment and need not be separately indicated as *piravaka*, but that the amount thereof should be added to the rajabhogam leviable on the lands ... | „ | 453 |
| 71 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 79 dated the 9th October 1886/24th Kanni 1062 stating that wet lands should be classed under single crop or double crop according as they are now cultivated once or twice a year and not according to the entry in the ayacut ... ... | „ | 454 |
| 72 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 2018/56 dated the 26th November 1886/12th Vrichikam1062 recommending the abolition of '*Chandrachilavu*' ... ... ... ... ... | „ | „ |
| 73 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 3277/93 dated the 21st January 1887/9th Makaram 1062 recommending that tax-free Danam lands and Arthadanam lands paying a light assessment or held free of tax be dealt with under section 25 of the Settlement Royal Proclamation and settled on the original terms ... ... ... | „ | 456 |
| 74 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 3502/34 dated the 1st February 1887/20th Makaram 1062 laying down tests for distinguishing bearing taxable palmyra trees from those to be excluded from assessment ... | „ | „ |
| 75 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 239 dated the 10th February 1887/29th Makaram 1062 sanctioning the abolition of "*Chandrachilavu*' ... | „ | 457 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 76 | Huzur Sadhanom No. 267 dated the 2nd March 1887/20th Kumbham 1062 stating that tax-free Danam lands and Arthadanam lands paying a light assessment or held free of tax may, as recommended by the Settlement Dewan Peishkar, be settled on the existing conditions under section 25 of the Settlement Royal Proclamation ... ... | II | 458 |
| 77 | Huzur Jamabundy Sadhanom No. 5595 dated the 30th March 1887/18th Meenam 1062 stating that *no* rights to *tirppuvaram* or other claims are recognisable on nirthal lands, of whatever tenure, when re-assigned by Government as Pattom ... ... ... ... | ,, | 459 |
| 78 | Rules for the registry of puduval lands dated the 2nd June 1887/21st Idavam 1062 ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 460 |
| 79 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 40 dated the 18th June 1887/5th Mithunam 1062 directing that Anchilrendu Karanma should be treated as Otti and assessed accordingly ... ... ... | ,, | 462 |
| 80 | Memo of instructions, No. 213 dated the 22nd June 1887/9th Mithunam 1062, issued by the Settlement Dewan Peishkar *in re.* the procedure to be followed in dealing with cases falling under rule 11 of the Registration Rules dated the 2nd February 1884/21st Makaram 1059, (rule 10 of the Revised Registration Rules dated the 3rd January 1885) ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 81 | Government Notification dated the 25th June 1887/12th Mithunam 1062 prohibiting the registry of lands on either side of the Quilon-Shencottah cart-road ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 466 |
| 82 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 46 dated the 25th June 1887/12th Mithunam 1062 directing that Irayili Kuzhikanom lands should be treated as personal inams under section 24 of the Settlement Royal Proclamation ... ... ... ... | ,, | 467 |
| 83 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 47 dated the 25th June 1887/12th Mithunam 1062 stating that escheated Sripandaravaka Pattom lands may be sold in auction or confirmed on *vilayartham* and converted into Pandarapattom like lands of other Sripandaravaka tenures, but that the amount of the old Sripandaravaka tax should be assigned in the accounts to Sripandaravaka and that in cases where the settlement assessment is less than the old Sripandaravaka tax, one edangali of paddy for wet lands and 1 cash for gardens should alone be credited to the Sirkar and that the rest of the assessment should go to Sripandaravaka ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 84 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 7166/167 dated the 28th June 1887/15th Mithunam 1062 recommending that the cocoanut fronds levied on certain *tengapattom* gardens in the Vattiyurkavu adhikaram be converted into money under section 10 of the Settlement Royal Proclamation at the commutation rate of 7½ fs. per 100 fronds ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 468 |
| 85 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 544 dated the 27th July 1887/13th Karkadakam 1062 sanctioning the adoption of a rate of 7½ fs. per 100 cocoanut fronds for the conversion into money under section 10 of the Settlement Royal Proclamation of the fronds levied on certain *tengapattom* gardens in the Vattiyurkavu adhikaram ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 86 | Rules for registry of puduval lands, dated the 10th August 1887/27th Karkadakam 1062 (English and Malayalam) ... ... ... | ,, | 469 |

THIRUVANANTHAPURAM PUBLIC LIBRARY


| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 87 | Rules for enquiry into and disposal of escheat and pokudi cases, dated the 10th August 1887/27th Karkadakam 1062 (English and Malayalam) ... | II | 473 |
| 88 | Rules for assessing tax-free Jenmom lands alienated for consideration, dated the 10th August 1887/27th Karkadakam 1062 ... ... | „ | 476 |
| 89 | Rules for enquiry into and disposal of nirthal cases, dated the 24th August 1887/9th Chingam 1063 (with Government notification dated the 5th Makaram 1057) ... ... ... ... | „ | „ |
| 90 | Rules for enquiry into and disposal of ottivilakkam cases, dated the 24th August 1887/9th Chingam 1063 ... ... ... | „ | 478 |
| 91 | Huzur Jamabundy Sadhanom No. 159 dated the 24th August 1887/9th Chingam 1063 *in re.* the treatment of tank-bunds, water-courses, &c., as porambokes at the settlement and the procedure to be followed in the matter of registering the trees standing thereon ... ... | „ | 480 |
| 92 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 643/24 dated the 8th September 1887/24th Chingam 1063 *in re.* above ... ... | „ | 481 |
| 93 | Royal Proclamation dated the 27th September 1887/12th Kanni 1063 amending section 29 of the Settlement Royal Proclamation dated the 24th February 1886/14th Kumbham 1061 (English and Malayalam) ... | „ | 484 |
| 94 | Explanatory remarks in connection with the rules for assessing Jenmom lands alienated for consideration, dated the 6th October 1887/21st Kanni 1063... ... ... ... ... ... | „ | „ |
| 95 | Explanatory remarks in connection with the rules for enquiry into and disposal of nirthal cases, dated the 6th October 1887/21st Kanni 1063 ... | „ | „ |
| 96 | Explanatory remarks in connection with the rules for inquiry into and disposal of escheat and pokudi cases, dated the 6th October 1887/21st Kanni 1063 ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 485 |
| 97 | Explanatory remarks in connection with the rules for enquiry into and disposal of ottivilakkam cases, dated the 6th October 1887/21st Kanni 1063 ... ... ... ... ... | „ | „ |
| 98 | Explanatory remarks in connection with the rules for the registry of puduval lands, dated the 6th October 1887/21st Kanni 1063 ... | „ | 487 |
| 99 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 9 dated the 1st November 1887/17th Tulam 1063 stating that Karanaviruthi is a service tenure and that as the service has fallen into desuetude, it should be converted into Pattom under section 24 of the Settlement Royal Proclamation ... | „ | 488 |
| 100 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 10 dated the 1st November 1887/17th Tulam 1063 *in re.* above ... ... ... | „ | 489 |
| 101 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Memo of instructions No. 86 dated the 30th December 1887/16th Dhanu 1063 *in re.* the procedure to be followed in dealing with cases following under rule 11 of the Registration Rules dated the 2nd February 1886/21st Makaram 1059 (rule 10 of the Revised Registration Rules dated the 3rd January 1885) ... | „ | „ |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 102 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 3582/160 dated the 2nd January 1888/19th Dhanu 1063 requesting orders about the assessment of Vetchupathi lands in the Kadakampalli village ... ... | II | 492 |
| 103 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 357 dated the 11th January 1888/28th Dhanu 1063 stating that the name 'Vetchupathi' is applicable only to gardens and not to wet lands and that wet lands of that nature should be described as 'Onnupathi' and assessed according to past usage ... | ,, | ,, |
| 104 | Huzur Jamabundy Sadhanom No. 4111 dated the 17th January 1888/5th Makaram 1063 *in re* the treatment of tank-bunds, water-courses, &c., as poramboke and the registry of trees standing thereon ... ... | ,, | 193 |
| 105 | Huzur Jamabundy Sadhanom No. 6119 dated the 3rd April 1888/23rd Meenam 1063 stating that, as the nature of the service attaching to Karanavirutthi is not clearly discernible from the available old accounts it need not be converted into Pattom, but may be settled on the existing terms as appears to have been done at the settlement of 1012 M. E. ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 496 |
| 106 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 482 dated the 7th April 1888/27th Meenam 1063 stating that Vettolivu Otti tenure may be treated as Pandara Otti ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 497 |
| 107 | Huzur Jamabundy Sadhanom No. 6282 dated the 11th April 1888/31st Meenam 1063 to the Division Peishkars stating that preference should be given to the owners of adjoining lands in registering puduvals ... | ,, | 498 |
| 108 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 6837/219 dated the 15th May 1888/3rd Idavam 1063 recommending that the tax on Sripandaravaka wet lands be made payable three-fourths in paddy and one-fourth in money calculated at a commutation rate of (6) six chackrams per parah of paddy ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 109 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 21 dated the 19th May 1888/7th Idavam 1063 directing that Marayapattom should be treated as Otti at the settlement ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 499 |
| 110 | Huzur Jamabundy Sadhanom No. 7430 dated the 30th May 1888/18th Idavam 1063 directing that pattahs for Kandukrishi lands should be issued and their ayaent prepared by the settlement department ... | ,, | ,, |
| 111 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 617 dated the 9th June 1888/28th Idavam 1063 stating that the tax on Sripandaravaka wet lands should be made payable (1) three-fourths in paddy and one-fourth in money in the case of lands attached to the Kulathur Melkanganom, (2) in money at the existing rates for *panakudama* lands and one-half in paddy and one-half in money for the other lands attached to the Neendakara Sanketham and (3) wholly in paddy in the case of lands attached to Madapad in Nanchanad; the commutation rate for the portion payable in money being 6 chs. throughout except for *vedakudama* lands to be governed by existing rates ... ... | ,, | 500 |
| 112 | Government Notification No. 8177 dated the 20th June 1888/8th Mithunam 1063 abolishing the practice of converting into Pattom escheated Jenmom lands demised on *kanapattom*, &c. ... ... ... | ,, | 502 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 113 | Royal Proclamation dated the 30th June 1888/18th Mithunam 1063 relinquishing the *adiyara* or succession fees leviable from Marumakkathayees to entitle them to inherit the property of their Koottukars and from Kalachetties and certain other Makkathayees to inherit the property of their maternal uncles (English and Malayalam) ... | II | 503 |
| 114 | Huzur Jamabundy Sadhanom No. 8898 dated the 9th July 1888/27th Mithunam 1063 stating that 61 perukkoms should be calculated for a parah of paddy land in registering puduvals in future under the revenue rules ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 504 |
| 115 | Government Notification dated the 17th July 1888/3rd Karkadakam 1063 fixing a uniform rate of 25 times the pattom as the *vilayartham* leviable on escheated and pokudi lands ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 116 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 715 dated the 23rd July 1888/9th Karkadakam 1063 informing that in the matter of appeals preferred to Government against the orders passed in appeal in ordinary registration cases by the Settlement Dewan Peishkar parties have been referred to the Civil Court ... ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 117 | Huzur Rayasom Sadhanom No. 2452 dated the 2nd August 1888/19th Karkadakam 1063 directing that *sammatapatroms* recorded by Sub-Registrars be incorporated with the records of registration cases and disposed of... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 505 |
| 118 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 8415/93 dated the 3rd August 1888/20th Karkadakam 1063 stating that registration cases should be filed for properties of which the tandaper-holder is living and is in possession. (This cancels the order of the Settlement Dewan Peishkar, No. 1784/767 dated the 11th May 1884) ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 119 | Extract from the Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 252/15 dated the 6th September 1888/23rd Chingam 1064 stating that tree-counting has been arranged to be put off till the time of assessment work ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 506 |
| 120 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Notice dated the 20th September 1888/6th Kanni 1064 *in re.* the presentation of appeals in registration cases ... | ,, | ,, |
| 121 | Government Notification No. 1697 dated the 22nd October 1888/7th Tulam 1064 directing the discontinuance of the system of levying tax as *manavari, tozhuvari*, &c. in the Shencottah taluk and substituting land tax ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 122 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 2053/77 dated the 26th December 1888/13th Dhanu 1064 directing that registration enquiries be conducted for Danam and other tax-free lands though they are not leviable with tax on the ground of alienation and that though no pattahs will be issued for such lands, the names of the occupants have to be ascertained for entry in the accounts ... ... | ,, | 507 |
| 123 | Huzur Jamabundy Sadhanom No. 5216 dated the 7th February 1889/27th Makaram 1064 stating that *sammatapatroms* in respect of unregistered puduval lands should be disposed of by the settlement department along with the respective puduval registration cases... ... | ,, | 508 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 124 | Government Notification (Circular) No. 5479 dated the 18th February 1889/8th Kumbham 1064 stating that assessment rates on puduvals should be fixed in accordance with the rates obtaining on the adjacent lands and where there are no assessed lands in the neighbourhood at the rate fixed by *tadasters* ... ... ... ... | II | 508 |
| 125 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 237 dated the 1st June 1889/20th Idavam 1064 extending the application of the Settlement Royal Proclamation dated the 27th September 1887/12th Kanni 1063 to pattahs for Sripandaravaka lands ... ... ... ... | " | " |
| 126 | Government Notification No. 10704 dated the 25th July 1889/11th Karkadakam 1064 remitting *patavaram* and abolishing *ottivilakkam* in respect of Kudijenmom lands ... ... ... ... | " | 509 |
| 127 | Royal Proclamation dated the 27th July 1889/13th Karkadakam 1064 amending section 19 of the Settlement Royal Proclamation dated the 24th February 1886/14th Kumbham 1061 (English and Malayalam) ... | " | " |
| 128 | Government Notification No. 10787 dated the 29th July 1889/15th Karkadakam 1064 fixing the rajabhogam on alienated Jenmom lands at one-eighth of the pattom throughout the State in anticipation of settlement ... ... ... ... ... ... | " | 510 |
| 129 | Memo on '*Kulachukoodutal*' dated the 5th October 1889 by the Settlement Dewan Peishkar (Mr. Shungrasoobyer) ... ... | " | 511 |
| 130 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 874/11 dated the 23rd October 1889/8th Tulam 1065 directing that decided registration cases be reopened on the application of persons who were not parties to the original enquiry, but that if the original orders have to be modified in review, the matter be reported to the Central Office for orders .. | " | 517 |
| 131 | Government Notification dated the 29th October 1889/14th Tulam 1065 abolishing the practice of imposing extra tax at 4 madals per tree on the cocoanut trees in parts of Ampalapuzha taluk when lands are registered as puduval or when escheated lands are granted on Pattom as also the *kodikeel* cess levied on puduvals and in ottivilakkam &c. cases in the Parur taluk ... ... ... ... | " | " |
| 132 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 10 dated the 2nd November 1889/18th Tulam 1065 prescribing the extent of space to be allowed for cocoanut, jack and areca trees in determining the unoccupied areas of partially planted gardens ... ... ... ... | " | 518 |
| 133 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 11 dated the 19th November 1889/5th Vrichikam 1065 prescribing the extent of space to be allowed for palmyra, punna, tamarind and mango trees in determining the unoccupied areas of partially planted gardens ... ... ... | " | " |
| 134 | Huzur Settlement Sadharom No. 203 dated the 24th January 1890/12th Makaram 1065 calling for views in regard to the application of the Vanjipuzha Edavaka Manager *in re.* the settlement of Vanjipuzha Edavaka villages in the Kanjirapalli proverty ... ... ... ... | " | 519 |

| Serial No. | Description | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 135 | Rules for effecting transfers of registry in the revenue accounts, dated the 27th January 1890/15th Makaram 1065 ... ... ... | II | 519 |
| 136 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 2812/35 dated the 28th March 1890/16th Meenam 1065 stating that arrangements may be made for Vanjipuzha Edavaka settlement along with the settlement of the Changanachery taluk and that no special arrangements can be made in advance thereof ... ... ... ... | „ | 526 |
| 137 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 21 dated the 8th April 1890/27th Meenam 1065 directing that properties lying outside the survey boundary of a village be numbered serially in continuation of the last survey number and dealt with like other lands, but that a remark be entered in the register and area list to that effect ... | „ | 527 |
| 138 | Huzur Jamabundy Sadhanom No. 8634 dated the 25th May 1890/13th Idavam 1065 forwarding copy of the instructions issued to the Division Peishkars on the subject of '*kulachukoodutal*' and the levy of a uniform rate of 2 chs. per cocoanut tree instead of the varying rates in force ... ... ... ... ... ... | „ | „ |
| 139 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 35 dated the 28th May 1890/16th Idavam 1065 on the subject of dealing with registration cases involving claims for and issue of *kottupattayams* ... ... ... | „ | 529 |
| 140 | Huzur Jamabundy Sadhanom No. 9086 dated the 7th June 1890/26th Idavam 1065 *in re.* the adequacy of the provision made for expenses of cultivation of wet lands in section 16 of the Settlement Royal Proclamation ... ... ... ... ... | „ | „ |
| 141 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 37 dated the 14th June 1890 *in re.* above ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 530 |
| 142 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 4445/53 dated the 18th July 1890/14th Karkadakam 1065 stating that private tanks within the ayacut boundaries of registered holdings should not be treated as distinct from, but taken as part of, the properties within which they lie ... | „ | 534 |
| 143 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 3 dated the 26th August 1890/11th Chingam 1066 stating that the pattah for the 'Chaturbhagom' punja lands in the Tovala taluk should be issued to the assignee and not to the ryots in actual occupation of the lands ... ... ... | „ | „ |
| 144 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 5 dated the 4th September 1890/20th Chingam 1066 stating that Devaswom and Brahmaswom Jenmom lands alienated on Attiper or without the reservation of any rights to the Jenmi or of which the entailed holder has acquired full rights should be converted into Kudijenmom and assessed with one-fourth pattom. (This modifies the order contained in the correspondence ending with Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 877 dated the 19th April 1886/8th Medam 1061 in respect of the Jenmom lands of Devaswom and Brahmaswom Jenmies) ... ... ... | „ | 537 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 145 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 135 dated the 2nd January 1891/20th Dhanu 1066 stating that *Idayodikavinal* Desom in the Mavelikara taluk should be treated as the *karamozhivu* of Akavoor Nambooripad ... ... ... ... ... ... | III | 539 |
| 146 | Huzur Jamabundy Sadhanom No. 2991 dated the 10th February 1891/29th Makaram 1066 calling for the views of the Settlement Dewan Peishkar on the subject of revising, once every twelve years, the assessment imposed on puduvals registered by the revenue department subsequent to the completion of settlement operations ... ... | „ | 540 |
| 147 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 3046/80 dated the 22nd March 1891/9th Meenam 1066 forwarding a memo on the above subject (with copy of memo) ... ... ... ... | „ | 541 |
| 148 | Huzur Jamabundy Sadhanom No. 5626 dated the 23rd June 1891/10th Mithunam 1066 directing that the Forest department be consulted before puduvals containing timber trees are registered ... ... | „ | 543 |
| 149 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 266 dated the 30th December 1891/18th Dhanu 1067 stating that assessment rates once sanctioned by Government cannot be altered without the sanction of Government ... | „ | 544 |
| 150 | Government Notification No. 3555 dated the 28th March 1892/17th Meenam 1067 explaining the character of Kandukrishi lands ... | „ | 545 |
| 151 | Government Notification No.3556 dated the 28th March 1892/17th Meenam 1067 drawing attention to the resumable character of Kudumbaporuthi service inam lands and warning the public against entering into transactions in respect of them ... ... ... | „ | 546 |
| 152 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 43 dated the 8th August 1892 reporting on the scheme of assessment proposed for the taluks of Tovala and Agastisvaram ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 547 |
| 153 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 47 dated the 25th August 1892 suggesting the desirability of delaying the sale of village survey maps till the taluk is finally settled ... ... ... | „ | 649 |
| 154 | Huzur Docket No. 6390/S. 172 dated the 31st August 1892 communicating the issue of orders to the Survey Superintendent to postpone the sale of village survey maps as suggested by the Settlement Dewan Peishkar ... ... ... ... ... ... | „ | „ |
| 155 | Huzur Docket No.6546/S. 180 dated the 8th September 1892 communicating the issue of orders to the Padmanabhapuram Division Peishkar to postpone the sale of village maps of non-settled taluks supplied to him for the purpose by the Survey Superintendent till the taluks are finally settled ... ... ... ... | „ | 650 |
| 156 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 55 dated the 15th September 1892/1st Kanni 1068 stating that the tax on wet lands situated in the Sirkar villages of the Chirayinkil taluk due to the Devaswoms belonging to Sripadom should be made payable ¾ in paddy and ¼ in money as in the case of the wet land tax due to Sripadom in the freehold villages in that taluk ... ... ... ... ... | „ | „ |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 157 | Dewan's letter No. R. 6621/1105 dated the 4th/6th October 1892 *in re.* the Quilon Cantonment ... ... ... ... | III | 651 |
| 158 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 88 dated the 10th October 1892/26th Kanni 1068 approving of the proposal to organise a special agency for the preparation of '*oluguform*' ... ... ... ... | „ | 652 |
| 159 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 61 dated the 11th October 1892 supporting the Survey Superintendent's suggestion to have the survey numbers of each pattah sketched on the reverse ... ... | „ | 653 |
| 160 | Huzur Docket No. 6861/S. 216 dated the 14th October 1892 vetoing the above proposal ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 654 |
| 161 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 122 dated the 28th October 1892/13th Tulam 1068 sanctioning the deputation to the settlement department of the taluk *sampathies* and village accountants for the preparation of '*oluguform*' ... ... ... ... | „ | „ |
| 162 | Huzur Jamabundy Sadhanom No. 1773 dated the 15th November 1892/2nd Vrichikam 1068 directing that in registering puduvals under the revenue rules cocoanut trees be classified and assessed according to the settlement rules instead of with a uniform rate of 2 chs. per tree as fixed in 1065 M. E. ... ... ... ... | „ | 656 |
| 163 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 152 dated the 17th November 1892/4th Vrichikam 1068 directing that all puduvals registered by the settlement department be charged with arrears from the date of entry in the classifier's register ... ... ... ... | „ | „ |
| 164 | Huzur Jamabundy Sadhanom No. 2017 dated the 25th November 1892/12th Vrichikam 1068 directing the abolition of '*kuluchukoodalal*' ... ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 657 |
| 165 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 168 dated the 26th November 1892/13th Vrichikam 1068 directing that the punna trees standing on the lands transferred from Yezhilesapattu of Vilavankod to Kulattur of Neyyattinkara be exempted from tax at the settlement though they were assessed to revenue in 1012 M. E. ... ... ... | „ | 659 |
| 166 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 215 dated the 19th December 1892/6th Dhanu 1068 prescribing the procedure to be followed in the settlement of the Nelpura Kuttakapattom lands in the Kartikapally taluk ... ... ... ... ... ... | „ | „ |
| 167 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1 dated the 10th January 1893 *in re.* the settlement of lands within the Quilon Cantonment ... | „ | 661 |
| 168 | Proceedings of Government No. 292/S. 4 dated the 18th January 1893 passing orders on the scheme of assessment proposed for the talnks of Tovala and Agastiswaram (Nanchanad) .. .. ... | „ | 666 |
| 169 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 284 dated the 24th January 1893/13th Makaram 1068 stating that the Sripandaravaka wet land tax in Nanchanad (Madapad) may be made payable three-fourths in paddy and one-fourth in money calculated at the prescribed commutation rate of 6 chs. per parah of paddy ... ... ... ... | „ | 670 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 170 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Memo of instructions No. 44 dated the 30th January 1893/19th Makaram 1068 *in re.* the settlement of tenures, extra cesses, &c., in Nanchanad ... ... ... ... | III | 671 |
| 171 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 3121/117 dated the 4th February 1893/24th Makaram 1068 suggesting that, as in the previous settlement accounts the michavaram on lands of Devaswomvaka Adima Anubhogam, &c., tenures in the Kartikapally taluk is shown as a fixed amount in the case of wet lands and a definite proportion of the assessment, viz., ½, in the case of garden lands, the same differential treatment be accorded at the present settlement also in the calculation of michavaram on the said lands ... ... ... | ,, | 675 |
| 172 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 340 dated the 27th February 1893/17th Kumbham 1068 sanctioning the above ... ... ... | ,, | 676 |
| 173 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 341 dated the 27th February 1893/17th Kumbham 1068 pointing out the classes of cases to which the exemption from stamp duty of *rakkalaths* produced before settlement officers sanctioned by Government notification dated the 26th July 1881 will not apply ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 677 |
| 174 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 355 dated the 8th March 1893/26th Kumbham 1068 directing that in the case of service inams the description of the service be entered in the pattahs issued to the holders ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 678 |
| 175 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 4022/100 dated the 2nd May 1893/21st Medam 1068 stating that no rights to *thrippuvaram* need be recognised on *nirthal* lands when they are re-assigned by Government, but that in the case of *nirthal* lands held on darkhast and on which *thrippuvaram* has already been allowed, the *thrippu* need not be discontinued at the settlement ... ... ... ... | ,, | 679 |
| 176 | Royal Proclamation dated the 13th May 1893/1st Idavam 1068 explaining the effect of paras 6 and 7 of section 24 of the Settlement Royal Proclamation of 1061 (English and Malayalam) ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 177 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 4890/61 dated the 15th May 1893/3rd Idavam 1068 directing the discontinuance of the practice of classifiers entering their opinions in registration cases ... ... | ,, | 680 |
| 178 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 4904/189 dated the 18th May 1893/6th Idavam 1068 requesting orders whether applications for the registry of lands for pepper cultivation in the Nedumangad taluk under the special terms laid down by Government notification of 1063 should be dealt with by the settlement department and suggesting the desirability of that department being relieved of the work (with copy of Government notification) ... ... ... | ,, | 681 |
| 179 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 5074/455 dated the 27th May 1893/15th Idavam 1068 stating that lands of Jennies recorded as waste in the ayacut and entered upon and cultivated by ryots should be registered at the settlement as Pandarapattom ... ... ... | ,, | 682 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 180 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 551 dated the 31st May 1893/19th Idavam 1068 stating that applications for puduvals for the cultivation of pepper in the Nedumangad taluk on *nantham* may be transferred to the revenue department for disposal ... ... ... | III | 683 |
| 181 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 587 dated the 8th June 1893/27th Idavam 1068 stating that a list of shops included in minor circuits &c., showing the amount of ground tax levied on each should be submitted to Government on the completion of settlement work in each taluk as has been done in the case of the Trivandrum taluk ... | „ | 684 |
| 182 | Government Notification (Circular) No. 5950 dated the 14th June 1893/2nd Mithunam 1068 directing that puduval lands be sold in auction and registered in the name of the highest bidder in cases where there are several applicants contending the registry and the lands are not built or planted upon ... ... ... ... | „ | „ |
| 183 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No.5594/225 dated the 19th June 1893/7th Mithunam 1068 recommending that the Kottilipattom lands owned by certain Izhava ryots in the Kartikapally taluk be assessed with full patrom under section 24 of the Royal Proclamation as they were very probably granted for services which are not now performed by the holders ... ... ... ... | „ | 685 |
| 184 | Government Notification (Circular) No. 6033 dated the 20th June 1893/8th Mithunam 1068 *in re.* the desirability and necessity of admitting and enquiring into applications for review of registration decisions by the original deciding officers ... ... ... ... | „ | 686 |
| 185 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 674 dated the 11th July 1893/29th Mithunam 1068 sanctioning the conversion into Pandarapattom of the Kottilipattom lands in the Kartikapally taluk ... ... | „ | „ |
| 186 | Huzur Jamabundy Sadhanom No. 6572 dated the 13th July 1893/31st Mithunam 1068 stating that *nandavanams* should be recorded in the settlement as reserved for *nandavanams* ... ... ... | „ | 687 |
| 187 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 6156/70 dated the 17th July 1893/3rd Karkadakam 1068 containing instructions for the simplification of registration enquiries ... ... ... ... | „ | „ |
| 188 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 6277/259 dated the 25th July 1893/11th Karkadakam 1068 recommending that the assignee of the Chirurbhagom punja lands in the Tuvala taluk be granted an annual lump payment of Rs. 380 in determination of his rights as agreed to by him and that the lands be registered as Pandarapattom in the names of the occupants ... ... ... ... | „ | 689 |
| 189 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 6418/266 dated the 31st July 1893/17th Karkadakam 1068 submitting the scheme report of Vamanapuram village, Nedumangad taluk ... ... ... | „ | 690 |
| 190 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 14 dated the 21st August 1893/7th Chingam 1069 sanctioning the scheme report of Vamanapuram village, Nedumangad taluk ... ... ... ... | „ | 691 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 191 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 109 dated the 23rd September 1893/9th Kanni 1069 *in re.* Chaturbhagom punja lands in the Tovala taluk (together with the subsequent High Court decision reported in T. L. R. 155 regarding the character of the Chaturbhagom grant and the nature of the assignee's rights) ... ... ... ... | III | 692 |
| 192 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 157 dated the 12th October 1893/28th Kanni 1069 sanctioning the exclusion from the levy of water-cess of 38 out of 1030 puravus in Nanchanad ... ... ... | ,, | 697 |
| 193 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 1591/111 dated the 9th November 1893/25th Tulam 1069 requesting orders on certain doubtful points connected with the levy of arrears of tax on puduvals ... | ,, | 698 |
| 194 | Rules dated the 21st November 1893/ Vrichikam 1069 published under sections 12 and 46 of Regulation I of 1068 (Revenue Recovery) (Portion regarding demand notice fees subsequently modified) ... | ,, | 701 |
| 195 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 399 dated the 8th January 1894/26th Dhanu 1069 passing orders on the several doubtful points connected with the levy of arrears on puduvals referred by the Settlement Dewan Peishkar ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 703 |
| 196 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 3617/216 dated the 6th February 1894/25th Makaram 1069 recommending that lands of Kudumbaviruthi service tenure of which the settlement assessment is less than the old tax and which have therefore to be converted into Pattom under section 21 of the Settlement Royal Proclamation be assessed with the full settlement pattom without the tenure being changed ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 197 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 526 dated the 20th March 1894/8th Meenam 1069 sanctioning the proposal of the Settlement Dewan Peishkar to treat such of the lands of Kudumbaviruthi service tenure as are liable to be converted into Pattom under section 21 of the Settlement Royal Proclamation as of the original tenure only, subject to the payment of the full settlement assessment ... ... | ,, | 701 |
| 198 | Government Notification No 3847 dated the 13th April 1894/2nd Medam 1069 directing the levy of an acreage value of one rupee on all padivals registered in future ... ... ... | ,, | 705 |
| 199 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 5101/316 dated the 24th April 1894/13th Medam 1069 suggesting that arrangements be made with the revenue department for the collection of data for evolving a general scheme of levying '*kudapattom*' ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 200 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Memo No. 82 dated the 26th April 1894/15th Medam 1069 containing instructions for the treatment of tax-free village-sites in the Nanchanad taluks ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 201 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 661 dated the 28th April 1894/17th Medam 1069 sanctioning the transfer to the revenue department of the work of levying *kudapattom* on a uniform principle ... | ,, | 707 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 202 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 5177/40 dated the 11th May 1894/30th Medam 1069 containing instructions for the simplification of registration inquiries ... ... ... ... | III | 708 |
| 203 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 5809/345 dated the 26th May 1894/14th Idavam 1069 *in re.* the treatment of trees standing on poramboke ... ... ... ... ... | „ | - |
| 204 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 7274/460 dated the 28th July 1894/14th Karkadakam 1069 *in re.* the taking over of the lands of the escheated Neendur Vishnu temple in the Pallipad proverty, Kartikapally taluk, and the making of arrangements for the fixing of a scale of expenditure for and the management of, the temple through the revenue department... ... ... ... | „ | 709 |
| 205 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 960 dated the 13th August 1894/30th Karkadakam 1069 stating that instructions have been issued to the revenue department for the Neendur Vishnu temple being taken under Sirkar management from the date of introduction of the new rates of tax in the Kartikapally taluk and directing the temple lands being registered as Devaswom Pattom according to the rules... ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 710 |
| 206 | Huzur Jamabundy Sadhanom No. 6587 dated the 14th August 1894/31st Karkadakam 1069 stating that escheated lands endowed for religious and charitable purposes should be recorded as Sirkari Tanathu and that their disposal should be left to the revenue department ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 711 |
| 207 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 7730/490 dated the 15th August 1894/32nd Karkadakam 1069 recommending the abolition of the practice of levying tax on the cultivation of vegetables and other cereals than paddy on certain double crop wet lands in the Tovalapidaka during intervals of paddy cultivation ... ... | „ | 712 |
| 208 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 7731/491 dated the 15th August 1894/32nd Karkadakam 1069 recommending that the numerous varying rates of assessment imposed on the cultivation of vegetables and other cereals than paddy on paddy lands in certain villages in Nanchanad during periods of fallow be abolished in favour of a uniform rate of one-half the paddy tax for all tenures and all descriptions of crop ... ... ... | „ | 713 |
| 209 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 7732/492 dated the 15th August 1894/32nd Karkadakam 1069 recommending the abolition of the practice in force in the villages of Anantapuram, Azhakapandhapuram and Iravipudur in Nanchanad of recovering along with the Kumbham crop kist a portion of the assessment due for the Kanni crop on lands on which remission is allowed for fallows in the Kanni crop and *vice versa* and the introduction of a uniform system of remission for fallows in all the villages where it prevails ... ... | „ | 714 |
| 210 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 7733/493 dated the 15th August 1894/32nd Karkadakam 1069 suggesting that no changes need be made in the matter of allowing fallow remissions in Nanchanad and recommending that all tenures and localities hitherto enjoying the concession may be granted the same after the settlement also ... ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 715 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 211 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 427/33 dated the 3rd September 1894/19th Chingam 1070 recommending that wet lands converted from Jenmom gardens in Nanchanad be assessed with one-fourth pattom ... ... ... ... ... | III | 715 |
| 212 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 62 dated the 16th September 1894/ 1st Kanni 1070 stating that the rule imposing one-fourth assessment on alienated Jenmom lands will not apply to the Jenmom lands of Nanchanad ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 716 |
| 213 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 693/8 dated the 18th September 1894/ 3rd Kanni 1070 directing that fractions of a cash, however small, be taken as one cash in calculating the acreage value leviable on puduvals ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 214 | Huzur Jamabundy Sadhanom No. 1068 dated the 30th October 1894/ 15th Tulam 1070 forwarding copy of circular to the Division Peishkars dated the 23rd June 1894/10th Mithunam 1066 to the effect that puduvals containing reserved trees should not be registered without reference to and the concurrence of the forest department ... | ,, | 717 |
| 215 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 195 dated the 7th November 1894/ 23rd Tulam 1070 stating that in the case of wet lands converted from Jenmom gardens in Nanchanad, the settlement demand should bear the same proportion to the full assessment as the garden tax did to the old pattom ... ... ... ... | ,, | 718 |
| 216 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 196 dated the 7th November 1894/ 23rd Tulam 1070 sanctioning the abolition of the practice of levying tax on the cultivation of vegetables and other cereals than paddy raised on certain double crop wet lands in the Tovala pidaka during intervals of paddy cultivation ... ... ... ... | ,, | 719 |
| 217 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 269 dated the 21st November 1894/7th Vrichikam 1070 stating that the site of the Swamiyar matom and compound at Mithanandapuram, Fort, Trivandrum, should be recorded as tax-free ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 720 |
| 218 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 271 dated the 23rd November 1894/ 9th Vrichikam 1070 *in re.* the treatment of trees standing on porambokes ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 721 |
| 219 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 331 dated the 6th December 1894/ 22nd Vrichikam 1070 stating that the puduvals cleared by kanikars and planted upon by them in the Ponmudi and other hills should be brought under assessment in the same manner as other puduvals ... | ,, | ,, |
| 220 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 5386 dated the 7th March 1895/ 25th Kumbham 1070 directing that lands of Pandaravaka Otti tenure be described in the pattahs as 'Otti inam' under the provisions of the Settlement Royal Proclamation ... ... ... | ,, | 723 |
| 221 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 596 dated the 17th March 1895/5th Meenam 1070 stating that the tax-free lands endowed for *palpayasa nivedyam* in the Suchindram temple should be granted to the occupants on Pattom on levy of *vilayartham* ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 222 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 899 dated the 27th June 1895/14th Mithunam 1070 prohibiting the registry of reclamations from the Vellayani lake ... ... ... ... ... | III | 725 |
| 223 | Huzur Settlemem Sadhanom No. 918 dated the 28th June 1895/15th Mithunam 1070 sanctioning the abolition of the practice in vogue in the villages of Anantapuram, Azhakapandiapuram and Eravipudur in Nanchanad of recovering along with the Kumbham crop kist a portion of the assessment due for the Kanni crop on lands on which remission is allowed for fallows in the Kanni crop and *vice versa* and the introduction of a uniform system of remission in all the villages where it prevails ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 726 |
| 224 | Royal Proclamation dated the 25th July 1895/11th Karkadakam 1070 abolishing *Ubhayampalvu* and 13 other *pallus* (English and Malayalam) ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 727 |
| 225 | Royal Proclamation dated the 29th July 1895/15th Karkadakam 1070 abolishing *Rekshabhogam* (English and Malayalam) ... ... | ,, | 728 |
| 226 | Huzur Jamabundy Sadhanom No. 6503 dated the 12th August 1895/ 28th Karkadakam 1070 *in re.* the treatment of lands included under Vattapparambu Edavaka ... ... ... ... | ,, | 729 |
| 227 | Huzur Jamabundy Sadhanom No. 18 dated the 22nd August 1895/7th Chingam 1071 stating that no puduvals should be registered within the limits of the Nagercoil town without consulting the local T. U. C. and without the previous sanction of Government ... ... | ,, | 732 |
| 228 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 163 dated the 9th October 1895/24th Kanni 1071 abolishing the system of fallow (*pazhandam*) remissions granted on punja wet lands of Pattom tenure in north Travancore and directing the lands being assessed with annual tax to be regularly recovered even during fallow years ... ... ... | ,, | 733 |
| 229 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 308 dated the 5th November 1895/ 21st Tulam 1071 directing the imposition after the settlement of a uniform rate of one-half the paddy tax on nanja (wet) lands cultivated with punja (dry) crops in nine villages of Nanchanad in substitution of the varying rates in force before the settlement, the punja tax being recoverable wholly in money at a commutation rate of Rs. (4) four per kottah of paddy. (Concession withdrawn from the major portion of the area after the completion of the Kodayar Project by G. O. No. 11929/L. R., dated the 6th November 1910) ... ... | ,, | 734 |
| 230 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 357 dated the 18th November 1895/ 4th Vrichikam 1071 directing the discontinuance of the system of fallow remissions in the case of the wet lands of (8) puravus in Nanchanad... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 736 |
| 231 | Huzur Jamabundy Sadhanom No. 1482 dated the 21st November 1895/ 7th Vrichikam 1071 re-affirming the orders contained in Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 5 dated the 4th September 1890/20th Chingam 1066 *in re.* the treatment of Jenmom lands alienated on Attiper &c. ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 232 | Huzur Jamabundy Sadhanom No 2387 dated the 9th January 1896/ 26th Dhanu 1071 stating that lists of unauthorised occupations of porambokes discovered during settlement should be furnished to the revenue department for action under the Land Conservancy Regulation (II of 1067) and that the revenue department has instructions to communicate its decisions to the settlement department to enable the latter to deal with applications for the registry of such porambokes ... | III | 739 |
| 233 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 682 dated the 29th January 1896/ 17th Makaram 1071 sanctioning the scheme of assessment for the lands within the Parur Bund and the imposition of a *thirvaram* of 2½ fs. per panch of rice land therein ... ... ... ... | „ | 740 |
| 234 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 3614 dated the 14th February 1896/3rd Kumbham 1071 stating that members of collateral branches of the undivided family of the original grantee should be treated as members of the same family in the matter of the assessment of personal inams ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 742 |
| 235 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 1161/212 dated the 2nd March 1896/20th Kumbham 1071 recommending certain concessions to kanikars or hillmen in the matter of puduval registry ... | „ | „ |
| 236 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 926 dated the 9th March 1896/27th Kumbham 1071 sanctioning the continuance of the system of fallow remissions on 408 puravus and the discontinuance thereof on 11 other puravus in Nanchanad. (Concession mostly withdrawn after the completion of the Kodayar Project by G. O. No. 11410/L. R., dated the 22nd August 1909)... ... ... ... ... | „ | 743 |
| 237 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 928 dated the 9th March 1896/27th Kumbham 1071 stating that the previous sanction of Government should be obtained for *reducing* the assessment rates after the block statements have been sanctioned, but that enhancement of the rates may be ordered at the discretion of the Dewan Peishkar ... ... | „ | 744 |
| 238 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 930 dated the 9th March 1896/27th Kumbham 1071 stating that such of the tax-free Velarkani lands in the Nedumangad taluk as are in the hands of persons other than the members of the family of the original grantees should be converted into Pattom, but that those in the enjoyment of the original grantees or their descendants may be left intact at the settlement ... ... | „ | 745 |
| 239 | Huzur Jamabundy Sadhanom No. 3556 dated the 10th March 1896/ 28th Kumbham 1071 stating that applications for the registry of porambokes may be dealt with without waiting for the decisions of the revenue department regarding their unauthorised occupation and that action need be stayed only in cases where the revenue department considers registry inadvisable in the public interests ... | „ | 746 |
| 240 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 946 dated the 12th March 1896/ 1st Meenam 1071 stating that no concessions need be shown to kanikars in the matter of puduval registry as they are liable to serious abuse in the hands of scheming persons ... ... | „ | 747 |

| Serial No. | Description | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 241 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 5338/261 dated the 14th April 1896/3rd Medam 1071 requesting orders as to whether Jenmom lands demised on Attiper &c, before the last ayacut and described in the ayacut as Pandaravaka Attiper and assessed with rajabhogam should not now be charged with one-fourth pattom as per Huzur Jamabundy Sadhanom No. 1482 dated the 7th Vrichikam 1071 and converted into Kudijenmom when alienated for money consideration ... | III | 748 |
| 242 | Huzur Jamabundy Sadhanom No. 1141 dated the 15th April 1896/4th Medam 1071 sanctioning the abolition of '*chandrachillavu*' and 18 other extra cesses falling on individuals and professions in the Nedumangad taluk ... ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 243 | Huzur Jamabundy Sadhanom No. 4815 dated the 12th May 1896/31st Medam 1071 stating that Jenmom lands demised on Attiper &c., before the last ayacut and described in the ayacut as Pandaravaka Attiper and assessed with rajabhogam are liable on alienation to be charged with one-half the pattom at the present settlement and that the rule regarding the conversion into Kudijenmom and the liability to one-fourth assessment will apply only to those over which the Jenmi's right was extinguished subsequent to the last settlement and not to Pandaravaka Attiper properties ... | ,, | 751 |
| 244 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 1289 dated the 13th May 1896/1st Idavam 1071 stating that groves and private tanks situated within the ayacut boundaries of registered holdings should be treated as part of the holdings and not as poramboke and that garden and wet lands converted from old tanks should be assigned only on levy of *vilayartham* ... ... ... ... | ,, | 752 |
| 245 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 1637 dated the 3rd July 1896/21st Mithunam 1071 sanctioning the abolition of '*niraparu*' and '*malakavu*' charged on certain Pandarapattom and Sirkar Devaswom Pattom lands in the Karthkapally taluk ... ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 246 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 1759 dated the 29th July 1896/15th Karkadakam 1071 stating that in respect of *nirthal* lands registered by the Sirkar in the taluks of Tovala and Agastisvaram assignments due to and other claims originally exercised by Sri-pandaravaka may be allowed according to past usage ... | ,, | 753 |
| 247 | Huzur Jamabundy Sadhanom No. 6567 dated the 7th August 1896/24th Karkadakam 1071 to the Quilon Division Peishkar stating that Kandukrishi holdings should not be subdivided according to possession and enjoyment, but that the lands granted to one lessee should be treated as one whole ... ... ... ... | ,, | 754 |
| 248 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 45 dated the 27th August 1896/13th Chingam 1072 sanctioning the registry in the names of the occupants without levy of *vilayartham* of certain Kuttakapattom lands belonging to the Kanniakumari Devaswom and comprised in lekkom 66 of Kanniakumari village ... ... ... | ,, | 755 |
| 249 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 232 dated the 28th September 1896/14th Kanni 1072 stating that the settlement of Pattazhi Desom should be conducted on the same lines as that of Sirkar villages. (Subsequently over-ruled) ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 756 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 250 | Huzur letter No. 4395/P. W. dated the 20th October 1896 directing that no puduval be registered within a distance of half a mile on either side of the Tinnevelly-Quilon railway line ... ... | III | 756 |
| 251 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 418 dated the 28th October 1896/13th Tulam 1072 sanctioning the abolition of the extra cess known as '*kottarampalisa*' charged on certain individuals in the Kartikapally taluk ... ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 757 |
| 252 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 428 dated the 29th October 1896/14th Tulam 1072 stating that slight excesses over the ayacut extent of registered holdings adjoining Sirkar unregistered lands should not be treated as puduvals and that the rule should be applied only in cases where the excesses are large and are clearly encroachments upon Sirkar land ... ... ... ... | „ | „ |
| 253 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 432 dated the 30th October 1896/15th Tulam 1072 sanctioning the abolition of the extra cess known as '*chittira*' charged on the Mannarasala family in the Kartikapally taluk ... ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 758 |
| 254 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Memo No. 61 dated the 3rd November 1896/19th Tulam 1072 containing instructions for the conduct of assessment operations ... ... ... ... ... | „ | „ |
| 255 | Huzur letter No. S. 270 dated the 9th December 1896 stating that no puduvals should be registered in tracts where there is reason to believe minerals exist, (with Royal Proclamation dated the 2nd Mithunam 1056 and Rules dated the 2nd Mithunam 1056 &c.) ... | „ | 759 |
| 256 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 3636/191 dated the 13th January 1897/2nd Makaram 1072 stating, among other things, that the excess over the survey area of a registered holding can be treated as puduval only when it adjoins unregistered lands, &c., and not when it lies in the midst of registered properties and that an allowance of at least 10 per cent should be made for differences in the old measurement ... ... ... | „ | 789 |
| 257 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 917 dated the 22nd January 1897/11th Makaram 1072 stating that Vetchupathi lands should be assessed at the settlement according to past usage and should not be merged in O+h ... | „ | 790 |
| 258 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 1152 dated the 17th March 1897/5th Meenam 1072 stating that the prohibition to the registry of puduvals contained in Huzur letter No. S. 270 dated the 9th December 1896 may be restricted to lands *adjoining* mineral mines and to tracts where minerals *are known* to exist ... ... ... | „ | 791 |
| 259 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 6056/278 dated the 8th April 1897/27th Meenam 1072 directing the abolition of '*nivapara*' charged on the Aranali Nelpura Kuttakapattom lands in the Kartikapally taluk ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 792 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 260 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 6480/286 dated the 25th April 1897/14th Medam 1072 stating that trees standing on bunds of wet lands may be registered in the names of occupants as Pattom and that those standing on bunds of water courses, &c., should be registered as Kuttakapattom in the names of the occupants. (Latter portion subsequently cancelled) ... ... ... ... ... | III | 792 |
| 261 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 1535 dated the 4th June 1897/23rd Idavam 1072 stating that puduvals in the Nedumangad taluk containing reserved trees may be registered in the names of applicants without reference to the forest department on the applicants undertaking in writing to abide by the decision of the forest department in regard to those trees and that lists of the standing trees should be furnished to the forest department from time to time ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 262 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 1586 dated the 15th June 1897/3rd Mithunam 1072 stating that in regard to puduvals in the Nedumangad taluk containing reserved trees ordered to be registered before the date of Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 1535 dated the 23rd Idavam 1072, a remark should be entered in the rough pattahs to the effect that the holders will be bound to abide by the decision of the forest department in regard to the standing reserved trees ... ... | ,, | 793 |
| 263 | Proceedings of Government No. S. 149 dated the 30th June 1897 laying down the procedure to be followed in the matter of correction of areas of adjoining fields consequent upon the resurvey of a particular property made on complaint ... ... ... ... | ,, | 794 |
| 264 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 131 dated the 25th July 1897 stating that in the settled taluks it is inexpedient to revise the areas of adjoining fields consequent upon a revision of the area of a particular property made on complaint... ... ... ... | ,, | 795 |
| 265 | Proceedings of Government No. S. 184 dated the 10th August 1897 agreeing with the Settlement Dewan Peishkar that, in the settled taluks, no revision of area should be made in respect of properties about which there is no complaint ... ... ... ... | ,, | 799 |
| 266 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 317 dated the 26th October 1897/11th Tulam 1073 sanctioning the abolition of the extra cess known as '*vediveppu kudimakomly*' in the Hampad pakudi of Karthikapally taluk ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 800 |
| 267 | Dewan's Memo dated the 29th November 1897 revising the scale of establishment of the settlement department ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 268 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 673 dated the 4th January 1898/22nd Dhanu 1073 directing that the fixing of assessment rates on the lands in the Kalkulam taluk which are likely to be benefited by the Kodayar Project works be postponed pending completion of the Project... | ,, | 810 |
| 269 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 766 dated the 25th January 1898/13th Makaram 1073 stating that with a view to avoid the hardship to the ryot arising out of the levy of arrears on puduvals in a lump sum for several years prior to the introduction of the new rates of tax, arrangements should be made for puduvals being settled and pattahs issued therefor in advance of registered holdings, (with copy of instructions for the settlement of puduvals) ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 270 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 1214 dated the 8th April 1898/27th Meenam 1073 directing that no puduvals be registered within the limits of the Kottayam town without Government sanction ... ... ... ... ... ... | III | 814 |
| 271 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 1280 dated the 19th April 1898/8th Medam 1073 sanctioning the abolition of the extra cess known as '*Mukhattala devaswom sanbhavasu*' ... ... ... | " | " |
| 272 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 1281 dated the 19th April 1898/8th Medam 1073 sanctioning the abolition of the extra cess known as '*kalpanarasi*' ... ... ... ... ... | " | 815 |
| 273 | Huzur Jamabundy Sadhanom No 4264 dated the 26th April 1898/15th Medam 1073 sanctioning the *tengapattom* levied in kind till 1073 M. E. in the taluks of Eraniel, Kalkulam and Vilavankod being realised in money at 15 fs per 100 cocoanuts pending the general settlement of the taluks ... ... ... ... ... | " | " |
| 274 | Huzur Jamabundy Sadhanom No. 4271 dated the 26th April 1898/15th Medam 1073 stating that changes of lessees of Ambalapuzha Kandukrishi Nelpura Kuttakapattom lands may be brought to book from time to time by the Kandukrishi department during the progress of settlement, but that such changes will be communicated to the settlement department for the issue of pattahs for those lands ... ... | " | 818 |
| 275 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 6057/42 dated the 26th April 1898/15th Medam 1073 stating that porambokes reclaimed and improved at the expense of labour and money should be registered only on levy of *vilayartham* calculated at 25 times the assessment and not for acreage value ... ... ... ... ... | " | " |
| 276 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 6738/55 dated the 23rd May 1898/11th Idavam 1073 directing that assessment rates for wet lands be so fixed that the net demand does not exceed or fall short of the *munkaram* by more than 10 per cent ... ... ... | " | 819 |
| 277 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 1551 dated the 4th June 1898/23rd Idavam 1073 stating that trees standing on porambokes should only be leased out on Kuttakapattom in auction and cannot be granted to the occupants on pattah for the settlement assessment ... ... | " | " |
| 278 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 7476/472 dated the 20th June 1898/7th Mithunam 1073 stating that Jenmom and Pandaravaka tenures assessable with rajabhogam under the rules should be charged with the old tax in cases where the present $\frac{1}{8}$ pattom falls short of the old tax ... ... ... ... ... ... | " | 821 |
| 279 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 1721 dated the 25th June 1898/12th Mithunam 1073 stating that lands granted tax-free as Gurudakshina should be treated like Danam and should not be subjected to assessment on the ground of alienation ... ... ... ... | " | 822 |
| 280 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 7853/641 dated the 1st July 1898/18th Mithunam 1073 stating that the extra cess known as '*Mukhattala devaswom vaka Onam Uradom Peishabhogam*' should be abolished ... ... ... ... ... | " | " |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 281 | Huzur Jamabundy Sadhanom No. 5024 dated the 12th July 1898/29th Mithunam 1073 stating that service inam lands may be resumed by Government and re-assigned on the service tenure when alienated by the original holder ... ... ... ... | III | 823 |
| 282 | Huzur letter No. S. 188 dated the 15th July 1898 stating that a clause should be inserted in the pattahs issued for lands likely to fall within the area commanded by the Kodayar Project to the effect that the lands would be liable to the imposition of a water cess if brought under irrigation after the completion of the Project ... ... | ,, | 821 |
| 283 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 1487 dated the 16th July 1898/2nd Karkadakam 1073 directing the provision of a column for entering 'source of irrigation' in the settlement register form for Nanchanad ... | ,, | ,, |
| 284 | Huzur letter No. 1159/R 729 dated the 22nd July 1898 calling for views on the subject of the settlement of the payattupattom lands in the villages of Peermade and Periyar. ... ... ... | ,, | 825 |
| 285 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 1974 dated the 10th August 1898/27th Karkadakam 1073 stating that porambokes should not be registered as puduvals without the sanction of Government ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 286 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 219 dated the 20th August 1898 suggesting that the lands granted on payattupattom in the villages of Peermade and Periyar be assessed under the Coffee Land Rules when cultivated with coffee, tea and cinchona ... ... ... | ,, | 826 |
| 287 | Huzur Jamabundy Sadhanom No. 249 dated the 3rd September 1898/19th Chingam 1074 stating that reclamations from backwaters may be registered by the settlement department on *nirthanam* i. e., free of tax for five years, on half panah assessment for the next five years and on full assessment thereafter, provided that the local Division Peishkar is previously consulted in the matter and the sanction of Government obtained, (with copies of the notifications on the subject) ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 288 | Huzur letter No. 5631/R 978 dated the 14th September 1898 stating that a notification will be published in the Gazette to the effect that lands held on payattupattom in the Peermade and Periyar villages on which coffee, tea, and cinchona is or may be grown will be dealt with in respect of assessment under the Coffee Land Rules ... ... | ,, | 829 |
| 289 | Government Notification dated the 13th September 1898 to the effect that lands held on payattupattom in the Peermade and Periyar villages on which coffee, tea and cinchona is or may be grown will be dealt with in respect of assessment under the Coffee Land Rules (English and Malayalam) (with copy of Coffee Land Rules, Regulation II of 1049 &c.) ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 830 |
| 290 | Huzur Jamabundy Sadhanom No. 894 dated the 11th October 1898/26th Kanni 1074 stating that Jenmom lands demised on Irakuranma should be converted into Otti, the michavaram due to the Jenmi being deducted from the tax due to the Sirkar ... ... ... | ,, | 840 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 291 | Huzur letter No. 6052/R. 1111 dated the 13th October 1898 forwarding copy of notification dated the 13th October 1898 regarding the liability to full assessment of (1) lands granted under the Grass Land Rules dated the 31st July 1877 for home-stead and farmstead or for other special purposes and cultivated with coffee, tea &c., and (2) lands outside the original grant taken up unauthorisedly for coffee or tea cultivation in the same localities. (with copy of notification dated the 13th October 1898 and copy of Grass Land Rules). (English and Malayalam) ... ... ... ... ... | III | 841 |
| 292 | Huzur letter No. 6540/R. 1185 dated the 6th November 1898 *re.* the Quilon Cantonment, with copies of the correspondence referred to therein ... ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 853 |
| 293 | Government Notification (Circular) dated the 21st November 1898 calling the attention of officers to the necessity of seeing that the areas of lands registered as puduvals given in the pattoms are exactly equal to the real extent ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 859 |
| 294 | Huzur letter No. S. 364 dated the 29th November 1898 calling for views regarding the deputation of settlement subordinates for survey training ... ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 860 |
| 295 | Huzur letter No. S. 374 dated the 3rd December 1898 prohibiting the registry of puduvals and porambokes in the tracts lying to the south of the Neyyar ... ... ... ... ... | „ | „ |
| 296 | Government Notification dated the 3rd December 1898/19th Vrichikam 1074 prohibiting the registry of puduvals and porambokes to the south of the Neyyar. (English and Malayam) ... ... ... | „ | 861 |
| 297 | Settlement. Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 3 dated the 6th/7th January 1899 submitting proposals for the settlement of individual fields within the Quilon Cantonment ... ... ... ... | „ | 862 |
| 298 | Rules dated the 19th January 1899/7th Makaram 1074 for the attachment and sale of immovable property in connection with escheat and other cases not falling under Regulation I of 1068 ... ... | „ | 864 |
| 299 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 3725/92 dated the 20th January 1899/8th Makaram 1074 stating that lands in the Vilavankod taluk recognised in the old accounts as 'Kampaitu' tax-free should be recorded as such at the settlement ... ... ... | „ | 869 |
| 300 | Huzur letter No. S. 37 dated the 24th January 1899 stating that the lands within the new limits assigned for cantonment purposes at Quilon have only to be included in the Tonathuchittah and calling for further views in regard to the lands outside those limits ... | „ | 870 |
| 301 | Government Notification (Circular) No. 2801 dated the 26th January 1899/14th Makaram 1074 stating that no *pashandam* remission will be allowed in the case of puduvals registered as wet lands... ... | „ | „ |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 302 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 38 dated the 20th February 1899 agreeing in the Quilon Dewan Peishkar's opinion in regard to the insertion in the pattahs for the Aranal Nelpura lands of a clause to the effect that "if one year's paddy tax is not paid, the land would be taken away" ... ... ... ... ... | III | 870 |
| 303 | Huzur letter No. S. 63 dated the 25th February 1899 stating that the survey of sub-divisions in minor circuits should be carried out by the settlement department ... ... ... ... | " | 871 |
| 304 | Huzur letter No. S. 66 dated the 28th February 1899 sanctioning the insertion in the pattahs for the Aranadi Nelpura lands of a clause to the effect that "if one year's paddy tax is not paid the land would be taken away" ... ... ... ... ... | " | 872 |
| 305 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 1252 dated the 30th March 1899/18th Meenam 1074 stating that the entry in the pattahs for the Aranadi Nelpura lands of the class sanctioned in Huzur letter No. S. 66 dated the 28th February 1899 may be supplemented by the addition of another clause to the effect that security for the due payment of the tax will have to be given by such of the lessees as default to pay the same beyond the 30th Mithunam for any one year and that failure to furnish the security will entail forfeiture of the lands while the arrears will be recovered from the lessees' other properties in due course ... ... ... ... ... | " | " |
| 306 | Huzur Jamabundy Sadhanom No. 4292 dated the 19th April 1899/8th Medam 1074 stating that the *kuppuvaram* borne on *nirthals* should be allowed to be revived so far as Sripadamvaka is concerned when the lands are re-assigned by Government ... ... ... | " | 873 |
| 307 | Government Notification No. 5068 dated the 8th June 1899/27th Idavam 1074 dispensing with second appeals to Government against the decisions of Division Peishkars in Pokkuvaravu cases ... ... ... | " | 874 |
| 308 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 150 dated the 14th July 1899 recommending that trees standing on poramboke be registered in the names of the occupants for the settlement assessment on the understanding that no right is thereby created to the lands or to plant fresh trees or to replace those that die out ... ... | " | 875 |
| 309 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 165 dated the 27th July 1899, *in re* the settlement of the lands within the Quilon Cantonment ... | " | 877 |
| 310 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 1947 dated the 9th August 1899/26th Karkadakam 1074 stating that officers below the grade of supervisors should not ordinarily be employed for assessment work, but that in exceptional cases, first grade deputy supervisors may be utilized for the work with the previous sanction of Government ... ... | " | 878 |
| 311 | Rules dated the 10th August 1899/27th Karkadakam 1074 passed under section 16 of the Land Conservancy Regulation II of 1067 (English and Malayalam ... ... ... ... ... | " | 879 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 312 | Huzur letter No. 326 dated the 12th August 1899 forwarding copy of the Rules dated the 10th August 1899 passed under section 16 of Regulation II of 1067, (Land Conservancy) and a memorandum on the subject by the Dewan (with copy of memo) ... ... | III | 881 |
| 313 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 201 dated the 23rd August 1899 recommending that the powers of Tahsildars and Division Peishkars under rules 1 and 2 of the Rules dated the 10th August 1899 passed under section 16 of the Land Conservancy Regulation II of 1067 be respectively conferred on the Settlement Supervisors and Assistants and that he be empowered to hear appeals against the decisions of Assistants and report for final orders to the Huzur and requesting orders whether pattah may be given to the occupants for trees standing on poramboke on Kuttakapattom with a condition attached to the registry that the lessee shall be at liberty to remove the trees when called upon to quit ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 883 |
| 314 | Huzur letter No. 343 dated the 28th August 1899 sanctioning the proposal to confer upon Supervisors and Assistants the powers respectively conferred on Tahsildars and Division Peishkars under rules 1 and 2 of the Rules passed under section 16 of the Land Conservancy Regulation II of 1067 and stating that appeals from the decisions of Assistants lie only to the Government and that in regard to trees standing on porambokes the settlement department has only to send lists to the revenue department ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 315 | Huzur Jamabundy Sadhanam No. 237 dated the 6th September 1899/22nd Chingam 1075 requesting to arrange for a *mahazar* being prepared of the royal trees standing on pudavals registered by the settlement department and for a written undertaking being obtained from the holders to take proper care of the trees ... ... | ,, | 884 |
| 316 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 241 dated the 12th September 1899 recommending the grant of pattah for poramboke trees along with the settlement and suggesting the issue of a notification in the Gazette that the trees already leased out on Kuttakapattom will be returned to their owners on the same conditions as other poramboke trees ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 317 | Royal Proclamation dated the 24th September 1899/9th Kanni 1075 declaring that Anchanad and Kannan Devan Hills form an integral portion of Travancore and that all rights over them belong to and vest in the Travancore Government ... ... ... | ,, | 885 |
| 318 | Government Notification dated the 26th September 1899/11th Kanni 1075 conferring on Settlement Supervisors and Assistants the powers respectively conferred on Tahsildars and Division Peishkars under rules 1 and 2 of the Poramboke Rules dated the 10th August 1899/27th Karkadakam 1074 (English and Malayalam) ... ... ... | ,, | 887 |
| 319 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 347 dated the 27th December 1899 recommending the discontinuance of second appeals from the decisions of Assistants in registration cases under section 19 of the Registration Rules dated the 3rd January 1885 ... ... | ,, | ,, |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 320 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 4000/288 dated the 24th January 1900/12th Makaram 1075 stating that Vanjipuzha Edavaka settlement may be conducted by Government on the same lines as that of Edapalli Edavaka provided the Chief undertakes to bear the whole cost of operations, to deposit in advance the estimated expenditure for two months, to pay the monthly cost at the end of each month and to leave the selection of the *personelle* of the establishment entirely to the Sirkar ... ... ... ... ... ... | III | 888 |
| 321 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 4353/309 dated the 7th February 1900/26th Makaram 1075 recommending that lands endowed for *palpayasa nivedyam* in the Suchindram temple be granted to the occupants on Pattom for *vilayartham* calculated at 25 times the assessment ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 889 |
| 322 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 838 dated the 21st February 1900/10th Kumbham 1075 forwarding maps of lands likely to be commanded by the Kodayar Project and stating that unregistered lands in the Neyyattinkara taluk lying outside the limits marked in the plans may be granted by the settlement department to the applicants for registry... | ,, | 890 |
| 323 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 867 dated the 29th February 1900/17th Kumbham 1075 sanctioning the conversion into Pattom of the lands endowed for *palpayasa nivedyam* in the Suchindram temple and their registry in the names of the occupants on levy of *vilayartham* calculated at 25 times the assessment ... ... ... | ,, | 891 |
| 324 | Huzur letter No. S. 14 dated the 14th February 1900 sanctioning the discontinuance of the system of second appeals from the decisions of Assistants in registration cases under section 19 of the Revised Registration Rules dated the 3rd January 1885 ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 325 | Huzur letter No. S. 38 dated the 7th March 1900 stating that rough and fair pattahs in the names of persons living outside the country may be delivered to the lessees or other persons in possession of the properties on the spot ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 892 |
| 326 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 997 dated the 3rd April 1900/23rd Meenam 1075 stating that in the case of inam lands liable under section 24 of the Settlement Royal Proclamation to be assessed with one-eighth rajabhogam plus the old michavaram, the sum total of the rajabhogam and varam should be treated as the *munkaram* and that the present assessment should be fixed at one-eighth of the pattom or the *munkaram* whichever is the higher, the excess of the *munkaram* over the present rajabhogam representing the michavaram leviable on the lands ... | ,, | ,, |
| 327 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 7036/475 dated the 18th May 1900/6th Idavam 1075 recommending that the properties belonging to the Chirakara temple in the Tevalakara pakudi reverted to Government owing to the death of the owner without heirs be disposed of in auction on Pattom and that arrangements be made by the Sirkar for the performance of puja &c., according to a scale to be permanently fixed. ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 893 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 328 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 7330/518 dated the 4th June 1900/23rd Idavam 1075 recommending that the lands comprised within the Vettiyattu Edavaka (both registered and unregistered) be registered in the names of the occupants for rajabhogam and the balance of assessment assigned to the Edassery Pillay, that the rajabhogam be hereafter levied direct from the ryots instead of through the Pillay as hitherto and that the Jenmi and Madambi lands which are excluded from the grant to the Pillay according to the Royal Neet be dealt with as in the rest of the country ... ... ... ... | III | 894 |
| 329 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 1392 dated the 13th June 1900/1st Mithunam 1075 sanctioning the proposals made by the Settlement Dewan Peishkar in his Sadhanom No. 7330/518 dated the 4th June 1900/23rd Idavam 1075 regarding the treatment of the lands lying within the Vettiyattu Edavaka ... ... ... ... | ,, | 895 |
| 330 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 1408 dated the 18th June 1900/6th Mithunam 1075 sanctioning the abolition of the extra cess known as '*kettuthengu*' charged on certain Ottu and Pattom lands in the Kartikapally taluk and payable to the Saktikulangara Bhagavathy temple ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 897 |
| 331 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 1413 dated the 19th June 1900/7th Mithunam 1075 stating that gardens converted from Kandukrishi wet lands without sanction should be assessed with the old wet tax in cases where the present garden assessment falls short of the *munkaram*... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 332 | Huzur Jamabundy Sadhanom No. 5451 dated the 19th June 1900/7th Mithunam 1075 stating that the lands belonging to the Chirakara temple in the Tevalakara pakudi should be recorded as Devaswom Tanathu and leased out on Kuttakapattom and the puja expenses met out of the lease-amount under Sirkar management, but that the Saukuvinuthi land may be granted as such for future service in the temple as recommended by the Settlement Dewan Peishkar ... | ,, | 899 |
| 333 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 1588 dated the 18th July 1900/4th Karkadakam 1075 stating that the *vilayartham* leviable on the lands endowed for *palpayasa nivedyam* in the Suchindram temple may be recovered in three yearly instalments and that credit should be allowed for the mortgage loan on Vettoliyan tenures in calculating the amount of *vilayartham* ... ... ... ... | ,, | 900 |
| 334 | Huzur Jamabundy Sadhanom No. 6530 dated the 29th July 1900/15th Karkadakam 1075 stating that the lands belonging to the Kaviyur Devaswom recently incorporated with the State should be settled along with the general settlement in the same manner as Sirkar lands ... | ,, | 901 |
| 335 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No 9035/642 dated the 13th August 1900/30th Karkadakam 1075 requesting orders whether in preparing the abstract ayacut statements to be appended to the village settlement registers, the numerous tenures may be grouped under 18 heads as per sample annexed ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 336 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 2/2 dated the 20th August 1900/5th Chingam 1076 recommending that the remission made out of the assessment on the 40 parahs of Pizhayapattom wet lands in the Thikovilvattom pakudi under husking charges &c., for the rice to be supplied to the Mukhattala temple be discontinued and the service paid for, provision being made for the purpose in the scale of expenditure for the temple ... ... ... ... ... | III | 903 |
| 337 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 26 dated the 22nd August 1900/7th Chingam 1076 directing that the decisions passed in puduval registration cases be communicated to the parties concerned in writing without delay ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 338 | Government Notification No. 2706/R. 1120 dated the 25th August 1900/10th Chingam 1076 stating that it is unnecessary to make Government a party to civil suits instituted against the decisions of settlement and revenue officers in connection with transfer of registry ... ... | ,, | 904 |
| 339 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 104 dated the 28th August 1900/13th Chingam 1076 stating that in the abstract ayacut statements to be appended to the village settlement registers, all tenure headings and sub-headings should be separately shown and totalled up and that the grouping suggested by the Settlement Dewan Peishkar need not be adopted ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 905 |
| 340 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 1070/82 dated the 22nd September 1900/7th Kanni 1076 requesting orders whether, in view of the Rules passed under section 16 of the Land Conservancy Regulation, porambokes should be registered on recovery of acreage value as in the case of puduvals or whether they may be granted on *vilayartham* or sold in auction as the case may be in accordance with the practice till then in vogue ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 906 |
| 341 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 312 dated the 29th September 1900/14th Kanni 1076 stating that porambokes should be registered on recovery of acreage value only and should not be granted on *vilayartham* ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 907 |
| 342 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 560 dated the 8th November 1900/23rd Tulam 1076 stating that Vetchupathi and Koyyorupathi lands in the Karunagapally taluk may be assessed according to past usage as recommended by the Settlement Dewan Peishkar ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 343 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 594 dated the 15th November 1900/30th Tulam 1076 stating that the Kuttakapattom lands granted for sugarcane cultivation in the Karunagapally taluk may be registered in the names of the occupants on Pattom on recovery of *vilayartham* ... | ,, | 908 |
| 344 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 599 dated the 15th November 1900/30th Tulam 1075 stating that Panakkathodi Ooranma Devaswom Pattom and Kudathiriichapattom lands originally assessed with full pattom may be so assessed at the present settlement without the tenure being changed into Pandarapattom... ... ... ... | ,, | 910 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 345 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No 796 dated the 19th December 1900/5th Dhanu 1076 stating that the light-green and light-red colours marked in the Kodayar Project maps indicate respectively cultivated and cultivable lands likely to be commanded by the Project works ... | III | 910 |
| 346 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 4958/410 dated the 4th March 1901/21st Kumbham 1076 referring for the orders of Government certain questions and doubts connected with the settlement of the lands in the taluks falling within the influence of the Kodayar Project ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 911 |
| 347 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 1458 dated the 10th April 1901/28th Meenam 1076 stating that an entry should be made in all the pattahs issued in the taluks of Kalkulam, Eraniel, Vilavankod and Neyyattinkara to the effect that when the Kodayar waters become available, the taxes imposed will be liable to revision and that the lands will also be leviable with a water-cess ... ... ... ... | ,, | 912 |
| 348 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 6161/39 dated the 16th April 1901/4th Medam 1076 stating that in regard to *koottupattayams* the name of the senior member should be entered first in the pattahs and that the rough and fair pattahs should be delivered to him, the junior members interested in the pattahs being directed to obtain at their cost copies of the chittah if they so desire ... ... ... | ,, | 913 |
| 349 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 7897/45 dated the 24th June 1901/11th Mithunam 1076 containing instructions in explanation of Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 997 dated the 3rd April 1900/23rd Meenam 1075 regarding the assessment of inam lands ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 350 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 1921 dated the 2nd July 1901/19th Mithunam 1076 stating that according to the terms of the *melola* grant of 1003 M. E., of the Mekkara village to the Tiruvaduthura Mutt and the subsequent practice in regard to the village, it is not liable to be brought under settlement operations and that therefore the classification of the lands in the village ordered by the Settlement Dewan Peishkar need not be carried out ... ... ... ... | ,, | 914 |
| 351 | Huzur letter No. S. 102 dated the 3rd July 1901 sanctioning the exemption from assessment at the settlement of palmyra trees in 16 villages of the Neyyattinkara taluk ... ... ... | ,, | 915 |
| 352 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 2019 dated the 21st July 1901/6th Karkadakam 1076 stating that the lands acquired for the Kodayar Project works and lying unused as well as waste lands likely to fall within the commanded area of the Project should not be registered till the completion of the Project works ... ... ... | ,, | 916 |
| 353 | Government Notification dated the 4th September 1901 extending the period for the presentation of rough pattah objection petitions from 7 days to 30 days from the date of receipt of the rough pattahs by the ryots ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 917 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 354 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 248 dated the 24th September 1901/9th Kanni 1077 stating that the question of the adoption of the kottah as the local wet land measure in Eraniel and Kalkulam may be deferred for decision till the assessment results are known .. | III | 917 |
| 355 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 2001/157 dated the 5th November 1901/20th Tulam 1077 furnishing certain information called for in connection with the settlement of the Mekkara village .. | ,, | 920 |
| 356 | Dewan's D. O. dated the 7th November 1901 calling for opinion regarding the advisability of the Settlement Dewan Peishkar confining his attention to testing 5 per cent of the assessment work done by his subordinates in each village instead of himself conducting the whole of the assessment work in particular villages ... .. .. | ,, | ,, |
| 357 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's D. O. dated the 8th November 1901 approving of the suggestion made in the above D. O. of the Dewan ... | ,, | 921 |
| 358 | Huzur letter No. S. 325 dated the 15th November 1901 stating that the Settlement Dewan Peishkar need not conduct assessment work in any particular village, but should test 5 per cent of the work done by his subordinates in each village in the matter ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 359 | Huzur Settlement Sadharom No. 737 dated the 12th December 1901/27th Vrichikam 1077 stating that the Pizhayapattom wet lands of the Mukhattala Devaswam in the Trikovilvattom pakuth in the Quilon taluk may be registered as Pattom on levy of *ellayartham* and that provision may be made in the scale of expenditure for the temple for the services rendered and supplies made by the holders ... ... | ,, | 922 |
| 360 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 6390/440 dated the 4th March 1902/25th Kumbham 1077 recommending that the Kudiyirippu gardens in the Ampalapuzha taluk be assessed with 2/5 of the settlement pattom ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 925 |
| 361 | Huzur letter No. S. 78 dated the 26th March 1902 stating that when a taluk is handed over for collection of the new tax the settlement department should furnish to the revenue department within three months (1) Tarisu list or statement of waste lands (2) Poramboke statement (3) Tanathuchittah or list of lands occupied by Government and (4) list of rates of assessment of the areas assessed, and that in the taluks under settlement, the settlement department should take note of and deal with all poramboke applications except those received during the space of one year immediately preceding the collection of the new tax ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 927 |
| 362 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 1503 dated the 24th April 1902/12th Medam 1077 sanctioning the Settlement Dewan Peishkar's recommendation to assess the Kudiyirippu gardens in the Ampalapuzha taluk with 2/5 of the *kandapattom* ... ... ... | ,, | 928 |

| Serial No. | Description | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 363 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 7932/552 dated the 9th May 1902/27th Medam 1077 recommending the issue of an authoritative ruling to the effect that in the case of *nirthal* lands of Sripandaravaka tenures as well as Sirkar tenures on which assignments were originally charged in favour of Sripandaravaka, the claims of Sripandaravaka should be taken to have been extinguished when the lands were entered as *nirthal* and that no such claims can be revived or recognised when such *nirthal* lands are re-assigned by Government ... ... | III | 330 |
| 364 | Huzur Jamabundy Sadhanom No. 7086 dated the 20th May 1902/7th Idavom 1077 stating that in the case of *nirthal* lands of Sripandaravaka tenures and Sirkar tenures on which assignments were originally charged in favour of Sripandaravaka the claims of Sripandaravaka should be allowed when the lands are re-assigned by Government as appears to have been the practice in the past, though on principle it is only right to consider such claims to have been extinguished by *nirthal* proceedings ... ... ... ... | ,, | 932 |
| 365 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 1811 dated the 16th June 1902/2nd Mithunam 1077 stating that puduvals containing reserved trees in the Neyyattinkara taluk may be registered without reference to the forest department, but that lists of such registries with particulars of the trees should be furnished to that department from time to time for the recovery of *tadiula* ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 933 |
| 366 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 8958/971 dated the 16th June 1902/2nd Mithunam 1077 stating that the *tangapattom* levied on certain gardens in the Karunagapally and Quilon taluks may be converted into money at 5 fs. per 100 cocoanuts as it appears to have been levied in the past only in money at the rate specified ... | ,, | 934 |
| 367 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 1871 dated the 19th June 1902/5th Mithunam 1077 stating that in regard to applications for puduvals containing reserved trees pending in the Neyyattinkara taluk, the procedure sanctioned in Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 1586 dated the 3rd Mithunam 1072 may be followed, *viz.*, that the registries may be ordered without reference to the forest department, but that the number of trees should be entered in the remark column of the rough and fair pattahs with a note that the grants are subject to the decision of the forest department in regard to the standing reserved trees ... | ,, | 935 |
| 368 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 30 dated the 28th August 1902/12th Chingam 1078 stating that the Mekkara village should be settled along with other villages, but that no enhancement of assessment should be made on the wet and garden lands specified in the *mulla* grant and that the unregistered lands should be granted to the Tiruvaduthura Mutt in the same way as excesses over the old measurements are dealt with in other parts of the country. (This cancels Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 1921 dated the 19th Mithunam 1076) ... | ,, | ,, |
| 369 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 305 dated the 31st August 1902 forwarding a memorandum showing the lines on which the assessments should be fixed for the different land-tenures in the Shencottah taluk (with copy of memorandum) ... ... ... ... | ,, | 937 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 370 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 616/26 dated the 1st September 1902/16th Chingam 1078 stating that no arrears have been charged in the past on Jenmom lands converted into Kudijenmom under the rules ... ... ... ... ... | III | 973 |
| 371 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 124 dated the 11th September 1902/26th Chingam 1078 stating that no arrears need be charged in future also on Jenmom lands converted into Kudijenmom at the settlement ... | ,, | ,, |
| 372 | Proceedings of Government No. S. 283 dated the 15th October 1902 passing orders on the Settlement Dewan Peishkar's memorandum dated the 31st August 1902 showing the lines on which the assessments should be fixed for the different land tenures in the Shencottah taluk ... | ,, | 974 |
| 373 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 5118/380 dated the 17th February 1903/6th Kumbham 1078 recommending that the properties of the Sirkar unincorporated Ganapati temple in Talavadi be recorded at the settlement as Devaswom Tanatun and be leased out under Sirkar management as heretofore and that the puja and other expenses of the temple be met out of the lease-amount ... ... ... | ,, | 981 |
| 374 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 1161 dated the 3rd March 1903/20th Kumbham 1078 sanctioning the recommendation of the Settlement Dewan Peishkar regarding the treatment of the lands belonging to the Sirkar unincorporated Ganapati temple in Talavadi ... ... | ,, | 982 |
| 375 | Huzur Jamabandy Sadhanom No. 3810 dated the 10th March 1903/27th Kumbham 1078 stating that the calculation of the period for recovering assessment in the case of lands registered on *antham* should be made from the date of registry... ... ... ... | ,, | 983 |
| 376 | Huzur letter No. 1413/R. 262 dated the 12th March 1903 *re* the grant on Kuttakapatiom or temporary lease of certain lands in front of the light house at the Alleppey beach on certain conditions ... ... | ,, | 985 |
| 377 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 5924/436 dated the 14th March 1903/1st Meenam 1078 suggesting, in reply to a reference from the Government, a form for the grant of a *pattom* for puduvals registered by the revenue department subsequent to settlement ... | ,, | 986 |
| 378 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 1297 dated the 24th March 1903/11th Meenam 1078 stating that the tax-free lands endowed for charitable purposes should be treated as tax-free and recorded in the accounts as being in the management of the trustees on the condition that non performance of the charity will entail Government interference ... | ,, | 988 |
| 379 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 64 dated the 1st April 1903 regarding the treatment of 4 tracts in front of the light house at the Alleppey beach referred to in Huzur letter No. 1413/R. 262 dated the 12th March 1903 ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 989 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 380 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 8294/808 dated the 16th May 1903/3rd Idavam 1078 sanctioning the recommendation of the Assistant that the assessment on certain Kudijenmom, Kudipully and Irayili wet lands in the Vilavankod taluk be entered in the pattahs as payable wholly in money at the commutation rate of (6) six chs. per parah of paddy according to past usage ... ... ... | III | 990 |
| 381 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Memo of instructions dated the 30th May 1903 *in re.* Shencottah settlement ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 382 | Shencottah Settlement Assistant's D. O. to the Settlement Dewan Peishkar dated the 6th June 1903 *in re.* above ... ... ... | ,, | 992 |
| 383 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's D. O. to the Shencottah Assistant dated the 10th June 1903 *in re.* above ... ... ... ... | ,, | 993 |
| 384 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No.1700 dated the 11th June 1903/29th Idavam 1078 stating that the *pakuppattom* levied in the Neyyattinkara and Kolla adhikarams should be converted into money under section 10 of the Settlement Royal Proclamation at 6¾ fanams per one thousand nuts ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 994 |
| 385 | Shencottah Assistant's D. O. to the Settlement Dewan Peishkar dated the 11th June 1903 *in re.* the settlement of Shencottah ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 386 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 9234/832 dated the 13th June 1903/31st Idavam 1078 sanctioning the Southern Range Assistant's recommendation to treat the *chattam* borne on certain lands in the Vilavankod taluk as rajabhogam ... ... ... ... | ,, | 995 |
| 387 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's D. O. to the Shencottah Assistant dated the 25th June 1903 *in re.* the settlement of Shencottah ... ... | ,, | 996 |
| 388 | Huzur letter No. 3164/P. W. dated the 31st July 1903 cancelling, so far as the Quilon taluk is concerned, the prohibition to the registry of puduvals to a distance of half a mile on either side of the railway line between Quilon and Shencottah ... ... ... | ,, | 997 |
| 389 | Huzur letter No. 5173/R. 1200 dated the 6th August 1903 stating that the value of reserved trees standing on puduvals may be recovered from the applicants in instalments in real cases of poverty upon the special recommendation of the Division Peishkar (with copy of notification in the matter) ... ... ... ... | ,, | 998 |
| 390 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 2211 dated the 16th August 1903/32nd Karkadakam 1078 stating that pattahs for alienated Jenmom lands converted into Kudijenmom under the rules should be granted to the persons in actual possession of the lands and not to those whose purchase on Attiper &c., has subjected the lands to the application of the rule of conversion into Kudjenmom and that transfers of lands by persons in whose hands they are liable to be converted into Kudijenmom should not be again subjected to conversion into Otti ... | ,, | 1000 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 391 | Huzur Jamabundy Sadhanom No. 76 dated the 25th August 1903/9th Chingam 1079 forwarding copy of Huzur circular of the same date prohibiting reclamations from backwaters in anticipation of registry and calling the attention of the public to the provisions of the Land Conservancy Regulation declaring such act illegal and punishable (with copy of circular) ... ... ... ... | III | 1001 |
| 392 | Huzur letter No. 4021/P. W. dated the 31st August 1903/1st September 1903 cancelling the prohibition to the registry of puduvals to a distance of half a mile on either side of the Quilon-to-Shencottah railway in respect of the taluks of Kottarakara, Pattanapuram and Shencottah... ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 1003 |
| 393 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 527/36 dated the 8th September 1903/23rd Chingam 1079 requesting reconsideration of the order contained in Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 1413 dated the 7th Mithunam 1075 to the effect that gardens converted without permission from Kandukrishi wet lands should be assessed with the old wet land tax in cases where the present garden assessment falls short of the old demand ... ... ... ... | „ | 1004 |
| 394 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 1721/121 dated the 10th October 1903/24th Kanni 1079 stating that the settlement of Vanji-puzha Edavaka may be conducted by Government on the principles applicable to Sirkar lands provided the Chief undertakes to defray the whole cost of operations, to deposit the estimated expenditure for three months in the Huzur Treasury, and to pay the expenses for each month before the 10th of the succeeding month and that the pattahs may be stamped with the Edavaka seal in addition and giving an estimate of the establishment required ... ... ... | „ | 1005 |
| 395 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 2113/6 dated the 16th October 1903/30th Kanni 1079 stating that the sites of temples belonging to Karakars and Brahmaswakars as likewise those of mosques and churches of other religionists should not be treated as poramboke as is done in the case of the sites of temples belonging to or in the management of the Sirkar and that the former should be recorded in the accounts as the Tanathu of the respective institutions... ... | „ | 1006 |
| 396 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 300 dated the 21st October 1903/ 5th Tulam 1079 stating that certain Kuttakapattom lands in the Mylam pakudi of the Pattanapuram taluk may be registered in the names of the occupants on Pattom without the levy of *vilayartham* in cancellation of the previous order directing the levy of *vilayartham* ... | „ | 1007 |
| 397 | Shencottah Assistant's scheme report of the Karkudi village, No. 172/57 dated the 4th November 1903/19th Tulam 1079 ... ... | „ | 1008 |
| 398 | Shencottah Assistant's scheme report of the Atchenpudur village, No. 173/58 dated the 6th November 1903/21st Tulam 1079 ... ... | „ | 1015 |
| 399 | Shencottah Assistant's scheme report of the Ayikudi village, No. 203/67 dated the 14th November 1903/29th Tulam 1079 ... ... | „ | 1021 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 400 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 3569/307 dated the 24th November 1903/9th Vrichikam 1079 *in re*, the treatment at the settlement of the gardens registered in the old accounts as Keelkooru and Melkooru in the taluks of Eraniel and Kalkulam ... | III | 1036 |
| 401 | Government Notification dated the 5th December 1903 *in re*, the recovery of the value of reserved trees standing on puduval lands. (This is in modification of the notification dated the 3rd August 1903) ... | „ | 1040 |
| 402 | Shencottah Assistant's scheme report of the Ilattur village, No. 236/72 dated the 5th December 1903/20th Vrichikam 1079 ... ... | „ | 1041 |
| 403 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 672 dated the 8th December 1903/23rd Vrichikam 1079 passing orders on the question of the treatment to be accorded to the lands registered in the old accounts as Keelkooru and Melkooru in the taluks of Eraniel and Kalkulam ... ... | „ | 1056 |
| 404 | Shencottah Assistant's scheme report of the Pudur village, No. 216/77 dated the 12th December 1903/27th Vrichikam 1079 ... ... | „ | 1060 |
| 405 | Shencottah Assistant's scheme report of the Puliyara village, No. 242/77 dated the 12th December 1903/27th Vrichikam 1079 ... ... | „ | 1071 |
| 406 | Shencottah Assistant's scheme report of the Samburvadakara village, No. 248/78 dated the 13th December 1903/28th Vrichikam 1079 ... | „ | 1080 |
| 407 | Shencottah Assistant's scheme report of the Klankad village, No. 249/79 dated the 13th December 1903/28th Vrichikam 1079 ... ... | „ | 1094 |
| 408 | Government Notification dated the 16th December 1903 prohibiting reclamations of land from the backwaters extending from the southern extremity of the Parur Bund on the north to Kavalam, Kottayam, Alleppey, Changanachery, &c., on the south ... ... ... | „ | 1101 |
| 409 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 731 dated the 17th December 1903/2nd Dhanu 1079 stating that garden lands converted from Kandukrishi wet lands without permission should be assessed as wet lands ... | „ | „ |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 410 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 7591/501 dated the 25th February 1904/13th Kumbham 1079 stating that the Kuratti Devaswom Veupattom and Ettilonnupattom lands variously assessed in the past should be assessed at this settlement with full pattom ... ... | IV | 1103 |
| 411 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 1179 dated the 9th March 1904/26th Kumbham 1079 stating that the services renderable by the holders of Ampalapuzha Kandukrishi lands in the matter of supplying *vari*, *ngattara* and *dharmapullu* should be recited in the rough and fair pattahs issued for the lands from the settlement department ... | ,, | 1104 |
| 412 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadharom No. 9331/875 dated the 5th April 1904/24th Meenam 1079 requesting cancellation of the prohibition to register puduvals on either side of the Quilon-to-Shencottah cart-road ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 1105 |
| 413 | Government Notification (Circular) No 307/Rev. dated the 20th May 1904 in explanation of section 14 of the Puduval Rules dated the 27th Karkadakam 1062 ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 414 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 14162/1228 dated the 22nd July 1904/7th Karkadakam 1079 stating that in the interests of the progress of settlement work it is necessary to exempt the department from the operation of rule 6 of the Forest Rules dated the 18th February 1904 that the furnishing of monthly lists of puduvals containing reserved trees to the forest department for the recovery of *tadivila* would obviate inconvenience to the settlement department without prejudicing the interests of the forest department, that the issue of pattahs may be made contingent upon the payment of *tadivila* by the applicants and that it may even be ordered that the lands on which it is not promptly paid will be sold in auction and the amount recovered out of the sale proceeds ... ... ... ... | ,, | 1106 |
| 415 | Huzur letter No. S. 204 dated the 3rd August 1904 stating that cases taken up by the Settlement Dewan Peishkar in review need not be sent up for the orders of Government as directed by letter No S. 14 dated the 14th February 1900 ... ... ... ... | ,, | 1108 |
| 416 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No 345 dated the 5th August 1904 *re.* Munro Island ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 417 | Huzur letter No. 1045/Rev. dated the 7th August 1904 stating that no *desadhipathiyam* should be recognised in the Vankka Vanjipuzha Pandarathil over the Mannadi Desom ... ... | ,, | 1111 |
| 418 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 2595 dated the 13th August 1904/29th Karkadakam 1079 *in re.* the treatment at the settlement of certain lands in the Alleppey proverty in the management of the Commercial Office at Alleppey ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 1112 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 419 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 2597 dated the 13th August 1904/29th Karkadakam 1079 stating that certain Oozhavu Otti lands in Arudesapattu (Mangatu and Vavara Karis) which, on account of their liability to submergence, used to be brought under assessment before settlement only during times of cultivation and charged with rajabhogam may be assessed at the settlement with one-half pattom applicable to the tenure, but the lands should be treated as single crop and placed in the lowest (14th) class as recommended by the Settlement Dewan Peishkar ... ... ... ... ... | IV | 1113 |
| 420 | Government Notification dated 13th August 1904/29th Karkadakam 1079 directing that applications for the registry of porambokes be refused except in cases where such porambokes have been declared by Government, by a notification in the Gazette, to be no longer required for any public purpose ... ... ... ... | ,, | 1114 |
| 421 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 1542/192 dated the 29th September 1904/14th Kanni 1080 recommending that the puduvals within the boundaries of the tract the revenue of which is assigned to the Alleppy Anantanarayanapuram Devaswom as *ezhuthichilavu* be recorded as the Tanathu of the Devaswom ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 422 | Huzur letter No. S. 266 A. dated the 29th September 1904 forwarding copy of G. O. No. S. 262 dated the 23rd September 1904 *in re.* the new scheme of settlement ... ... ... ... | ,, | 1116 |
| 423 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 506 dated the 29th October 1904/13th Tulam 1080 sanctioning the recommendation of the Settlement Dewan Peishkar that the puduvals lying within the tract the revenue of which is assigned as *ezhuthichilavu* to the Alleppey Anantanarayanapuram Devaswom be recorded as the Tanathu of the Devaswom ... | ,, | 1117 |
| 424 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 2452/241 dated the 31st October 1904/15th Tulam 1080 recommending the levy of a water-rate of 2½ fs. per acre for river-fed and 1 fanam per acre for rain-fed wet lands in the Eranlel taluk ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 425 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 2454/243 dated the 31st October 1904/15th Tulam 1080 recommending the levy of a water-rate of 2½ fs. per acre for river-fed and 1 fanam per acre for rain-fed wet lands in the Kalkulam taluk ... ... ... ... | ,, | 1118 |
| 426 | Huzur letter No. S. 311 dated the 2nd November 1904 forwarding copy of Government Proceedings No. S. 262 dated the 23rd September 1904 *in re.* the new scheme of settlement, together with the connected papers in a consolidated form, namely, ... ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| | i. G. O. No. S. 262 dated the 23rd September 1904/8th Kanni 1080 *in re.* the new scheme of settlement... ... ... | ,, | 1121 |
| | ii. Memorandum dated the 24th August 1904 by Dewan V. P. Madhava Rao, on the settlement operations in Travancore... | ,, | 1133 |
| | iii. Minutes of the Settlement Conference held at Kuzhithura and Neyyattinkara on the 7th and 8th June 1904 ... ... | ,, | 1152 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 426 | iv. Minutes of the Settlement Conference held at Trivandrum between the 10th and 20th July 1904 ... ... | IV | 1155 |
| | v. Dewan V. P. Madhava Rao's Note on 'Vilayartham on Viruthi'... | ,, | 1161 |
| | vi. Revised Rules regarding Classification and Registration dated the 13th October 1904 ... ... ... ... | ,, | 1171 |
| 427 | Huzur letter No. 1346/Rev. of 1904 dated the 3rd November 1904 stating that, as a rule, the settlement department should survey and give continuous numbers to accretions of land falling outside the the village survey limits fixed by the survey department in the taluks under settlement operations ... ... ... ... | ,, | 1186 |
| 428 | Huzur letter No. S. 321 dated the 4th November 1904 calling for a report on the re-survey of the Umayanallur Sanketham ... ... | ,, | 1187 |
| 429 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 660 dated the 16th December 1904 recommending that in the case of puduvals registered before the introduction of the revised scheme of settlement also, no arrears be charged exceeding 5 times the assessment fixed by para 10 of the new scheme as *taravila* for future registries ... ... ... | ,, | 1188 |
| 430 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 44 dated the 10th January 1905 recommending the reduction of the period for preferring objections against rough pattahs from 30 to 15 days ... ... | ,, | 1189 |
| 431 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 63 dated the 16th January 1905 requesting orders whether Kandukrishi lands leased out to a single individual or family may be sub-divided and pattahs issued for the sub-divisions according to actual possession and enjoyment ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 432 | Huzur letter No. S. 20 dated the 17th January 1905 requesting to arrange for the boundaries of Munro Island being fixed in consultation with the Mission agent as a preliminary to the final settlement of the dispute ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 1190 |
| 433 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 5209/58 dated the 23rd January 1905/11th Makaram 1080 directing that enquiries into claims for *kirippuvaram* be made along with registration enquiries and that all lapsed claims be disallowed ... ... ... | ,, | 1191 |
| 434 | Huzur letter Reference on C. No. 1268/R. of 1904 dated the 6th/13th February 1905 *in re.* the reservation of suitable grounds for the grazing of cattle in the taluks under settlement ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 435 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 1167 dated the 13th February 1905/2nd Kumbham 1080 stating that the previous sanction of Government should be obtained for occupancy being noted in the names of Europeans and Americans in respect of tax-free lands ... ... | ,, | 1192 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 436 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 327 dated the 28th February 1905 forwarding a sketch shewing the boundaries of Munro Island as fixed by the settlement and survey officers in consultation with the Mission agent ... ... ... ... ... | IV | 1193 |
| 437 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 349 dated the 1st March 1905 *in re.* the conduct of assessment operations under the new scheme of settlement ... ... ... ... ... ... | " | " |
| 438 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 101 dated the 6th March 1905/23rd Kumbham 1080 *in re.* the assessment of lands in the Mekkara village, Shencottah taluk ... ... ... | " | 1195 |
| 439 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 378 dated the 7th March 1905 requesting orders whether assessment rates for individual properties may be fixed by him without reference to Government ... ... | " | 1197 |
| 440 | Huzur letter No. S. 88 dated the 9th March 1905 stating that no changes in the procedure in vogue in the conduct of assessment operations are called for and that block reports may be sent up taluk by taluk or village-war according to circumstances ... ... ... | " | 1198 |
| 441 | Huzur letter No. S. 118 dated the 19th/24th March 1905 stating that the sanction of Government is not necessary for fixing the rates of assessment for individual properties ... ... ... ... | " | 1199 |
| 442 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Memo of instructions dated the 25th March 1905/12th Meenam 1080 *in re.* the conduct of field operations for assessment purposes ... ... ... ... | " | " |
| 443 | Huzur letter No. 1137 dated the 28th March 1905 stating that rather than laying down a general rule fixing a limit of 15 days for peferring rough pattah objections, it would be enough if a notice is published just before the issue of rough pattahs declaring that between two specified dates (the interval being fixed according to the number of pattadars in a pakudi) all complaints on rough pattahs would be heard and disposed of ... ... ... ... ... | " | 1201 |
| 444 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 511 dated the 4th April 1905 forwarding for the sanction of Government three lists of settlement records, (1) those to be preserved with the field party, (2) those to be handed over to the taluk at the time of introduction of new rates and (3) those to be destroyed, (with copies of lists)... ... ... | " | 1202 |
| 445 | Deputy Settlement Peishkar's letter to the Settlement Dewan Peishkar, No. 96 dated the 7th April 1905, suggesting that a rule be issued to the effect that the *taravila* leviable on puduvals under para 10 of the new scheme should be regulated as far as possible by the period of previous occupation ... ... ... ... ... | " | 1205 |
| 446 | Huzur Docket No. C. 4 dated the 8th April 1905 forwarding for report copy of a D. O. to the Dewan from the Resident dated the 1st April 1905 *in re.* certain points connected with the new scheme of settlement introduced in Vrichikam 1080 ... ... ... | " | " |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 447 | Huzur letter No. S. 169 dated the 17th April 1905 stating that separate pattahs may be granted to individual members in possession of particular fields of Kandukrishi holdings ... ... ... | IV | 1208 |
| 448 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter to the Deputy Settlement Peishkar, Party No. V, No. 547 dated the 18th April 1905, stating that in fixing the *taravila* leviable on puduvals under para 10 of the new scheme, the assessment for the actual period of enjoyment should be levied when it is less than five years and five years' assessment when it is five years and above ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 449 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 596 dated the 21st April 1905 requesting that in the taluks where assessment work has not been finished puduvals be sanctioned to be registered in the names of the persons in enjoyment, the realisation of the *taravila* under the rules being left to be done at the time of issuing rough pattahs ... | ,, | 1209 |
| 450 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 612 dated the 22nd April 1905 reporting on the re-survey of Umayanallur Sanketham in the Quilon taluk ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 451 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 657 dated the 27th April 1905 requesting the sanction of Government for reserved trees on *nirthal* lands being dealt with in the same manner as those on puduval lands. | ,, | 1210 |
| 452 | Huzur Sadhanom (Land Revenue) No. 3394 dated the 2nd May 1905/ 20th Medam 1080 stating that in view of the facts pointed out by the Quilon Dewan Peishkar and therein set forth it is undesirable to subdivide a Kandukrishi holding as has been done by the settlement department and that a single pattah should be issued for the entire holding ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 1211 |
| 453 | Huzur letter No. 215 dated the 2nd May 1905 requesting to arrange for the survey department being furnished with lists (1) of puduvals registered subsequent to the completion of the original survey in the ten taluks settled before 1080, (2) of sub-divisions of fields made by the settlement department in those ten taluks and (3) of puduvals registered subsequent to the completion of the original survey in the 15 taluks under settlement operations in 1081, and stating that the survey of sub-divisions in the taluks under settlement operations should be done by the settlement department itself ... ... | ,, | 1213 |
| 454 | Huzur letter No. S. 222 dated the 3rd May 1905 stating that *nirthal* lands may be treated on the same footing as puduval lands in the matter of the realisation of the value of reserved trees standing on them ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 455 | Huzur letter No. S. 226 dated the 5th May 1905 calling for additional information regarding the boundaries of Umayanallur Sanketham. | ,, | 1214 |
| 456 | Huzur letter No. S. 230 dated the 6th May 1905 *re* the imposition of a water-rate on the wet lands in the taluks of Eraniel and Kalkulam ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 1215 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 457 | Huzur letter No. S. 237 dated the 9th May 1905 sanctioning the proposals of the Settlement Dewan Peishkar in regard to the assessment work of Mekkara village and stating that the puduvals in the village may be registered in the name of the Tiruvaduthura Mutt in accordance with para 10 of the revised scheme of settlement ... ... ... | IV | 1216 |
| 458 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 781 dated the 10th May 1905 reporting that instructions have been issued for the names of persons in occupation of puduval and *nirthal* lands being noted down at the registration enquiries and for the registries being completed after recovery of *taravila* subsequent to the fixing of assessment rates ... | ,, | 1217 |
| 459 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 791 dated the 14th May 1905 *in re.* the substitution of the old kottah land measure in the taluks of Kalkulam and Eranicl by the parah standard of the Settlement Royal Proclamation ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 460 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar' Order No. 7969/673 dated the 16th May 1905/3rd Idavam 1080 abolishing the cocoanut frond cess levied on certain gardens in the Mannar and Kurattisseri villages in conformity with the abolition of the *kettuthengu* cess charged on them ... | ,, | 1220 |
| 461 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 804 dated the 16th May 1905 *in re.* the treatment of Bhattavrithi lands in the Shencottah taluk ... | ,, | 1221 |
| 462 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 805 dated the 16th May 1905 reporting on the several points referred to in the Resident's D. O. of the 1st April 1905 to the Dewan *in re.* the new scheme of settlement... | ,, | 1229 |
| 463 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 821 dated the 19th May 1905 recommending the levy of a water-rate on the wet lands in the Shencottah taluk and the abolition of the *kudipathi* system ... | ,, | 1231 |
| 464 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 8312/705 dated the 27th May 1905/14th Idavam 1080 stating that trees standing on bunds or *varambu vriksham* should be treated in the same manner as puduval lands under the new scheme in the matter of recovery of value before registry ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 1233 |
| 465 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1048 dated the 14th June 1905 requesting the approval of Government to his ruling to the effect that sites of private temples assessed to revenue under the old accounts should continue to pay tax in future also while those which were tax-free should be left unassessed ... ... ... ... | ,, | 1234 |
| 466 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. C. 233 dated the 22nd June 1905 submitting for the orders of Government certain suggestions for the future conduct of settlement work ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 467 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. C. 245 dated the 23rd June 1905 requesting orders as to the stage in the settlement operations up to which applications for puduvals should be entertained and dealt with by the settlement department ... ... ... | ,, | 1238 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 468 | Huzur letter No. 11/C. dated the 27th June 1905 stating that *Ludipatha* in the Shencottah taluk has no bearing on settlement and will be dealt with separately and that the settlement of the taluk may be proceeded with without regard to that question ... ... ... | IV | 1239 |
| 469 | Huzur Docket No. 15/C. dated the 27th June 1905 forwarding copy of letter to the Chief Engineer, No. 14/C. dated the 27th June 1905, *in re* the improvement of the irrigational facilities of the Shencottah taluk ... ... ... ... ... ... | " | 1240 |
| 470 | Huzur letter No. S. 345 dated the 1st July 1905 stating that the practice of assessing only such of the sites on which Hindu religious institutions stand as have been assessed to revenue under the old accounts and leaving unassessed those that have been hitherto free from assessment may be continued in future ... ... ... ... | " | 1241 |
| 471 | Huzur letter No. S. 367 dated the 6th July 1905 stating that applications for puduvals received subsequent to the closing of registration work in a village may be rejected by the settlement department ... ... | " | " |
| 472 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1231 dated the 10th July 1905 requesting orders whether separate title deeds have not to be issued for inam lands as laid down by section 24 of the Setttlement Royal Proclamation and whether the practice in vogue of issuing a common pattah for all kinds of properties under section 29 is correct and may be continued in future ... ... ... ... | " | 1242 |
| 473 | Huzur letter No. S. 375 dated the 12th July 1905 approving of the proposals of the Settlement Dewan Peishkar *in re* the disposal of settlement records with the reservation that the *chuguform* proposed for destruction by the Peishkar had better be preserved ... ... | " | " |
| 474 | Huzur letter No. S. 376 dated the 12th July 1905 sanctioning the adoption of the parah land measure in place of the kottah in the taluks of Eraniel and Kalkulam ... ... ... ... ... | " | 1243 |
| 475 | Huzur letter No. S. 377 dated the 12th July 1905 passing orders on the suggestions of the Settlement Dewan Peishkar for the future conduct of settlement work ... ... ... ... ... | " | " |
| 476 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 293/C. dated the 12th July 1905 *in re* the treatment of the Kandukrishi tenure and the commutation rate for paddy in the Shencottah taluk ... ... ... | " | 1244 |
| 477 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 302/C. dated the 12th July 1905 *in re* the treatment of Bhattavritti lands in the Shencottah taluk ... ... ... ... ... ... | " | 1247 |
| 478 | Huzur letter No. S. 386 dated the 17th July 1905 calling for further information in regard to the treatment of Bhattavritti lands in the Shencottah taluk ... ... ... ... ... | " | 1248 |
| 479 | Huzur letter No. 1150/Rev. of 1905 dated the 17th July 1905 stating that the prohibition to the registry of puduvals on either side of the Quilon-to-Shencottah railway has already been withdrawn ... | " | 1251 |

| Serial No. | Description | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 480 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 330 dated the 18th July 1905/ 3rd Karkadakam 1080 stating that the lands in the Shencottah taluk borne in the name of Sripandarakariamchaivarkal and in the enjoyment of ryots should be confirmed on Pattom or disposed of as puduval according as they now pay tax or are enjoyed tax-free ... ... | IV | 1251 |
| 481 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 9078/804 dated the 18th July 1905/3rd Karkadakam 1080 directing the conversion of the cocoanut oil levied on certain Palliyarakavu Devaswom Velichennapattom gardens in the Pulinkunnu village into money at 15 fs a parah of oil ... | „ | 1252 |
| 482 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1323 dated the 20th July 1905 *in re.* the treatment of service inam lands in the Shencottah taluk ... | „ | 1253 |
| 483 | Huzur letter No. S. 400 dated the 20th July 1905 stating that the Kandukrishi tenure in the Shencottah taluk should be treated in the same manner as Perumpattu and that 21 & 25/32 fs. per kottah of paddy should be adopted as the commutation rate instead of 25 fs. 13 cash as originally ordered ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 1254 |
| 484 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 373 dated the 21st July 1905/ 6th Karkadakam 1080 stating that certain lands in the Shencottah pakudi borne in the name of Sripandarakariamchaivarkal should be continued as Kuttakapattom. (Subsequently modified) ... ... | „ | 1255 |
| 485 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1362 dated the 26th July 1905 requesting the abrogation of the ruling prohibiting the registry of puduvals &c., on either side of the Quilon-Shencottah cart-road ... | „ | 1256 |
| 486 | Huzur letter No. S. 416 dated the 27th July 1905 stating that the Settlement Dewan Peishkar may himself pass orders as to what service inams should be retained and what may be enfranchised in the Shencottah taluk ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 1257 |
| 487 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1397 dated the 30th July 1905 *in re.* the Umayanallur Sanketham... ... ... ... | „ | „ |
| 488 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1419 dated the 3rd August 1905 *in re.* the treatment of foreign service inams in the Shencottah taluk ... ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 1262 |
| 489 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1425 dated the 5th August 1905 recommending that Konkanee Brahmins be described in the pattahs issued in their names as Konkanee Brahmins ... ... | „ | 1263 |
| 490 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1441 dated the 6th August 1905 requesting the abrogation of the ruling requiring all puduval applications within the limits of the Kottayam town being submitted to Government for sanction ... ... ... ... | „ | 1264 |
| 491 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1457 dated the 8th August 1905 *in re.* the distribution of the village settlement registers and the adoption of the diglott style in the settlement register form ... | „ | 1265 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 492 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1468 dated the 10th August 1905 recommending that the pattahs for the lands belonging to the Sundararaja Perumal Devaswom in Ilattur which is under Government management be drawn up in the name of the Devaswom and handed over to the Shencottah Tahsildar and that the maintenance of the temple be attended to as heretofore by leasing out the properties under Sirkar management ... ... ... ... | IV | 1266 |
| 493 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1469 dated the 10th August 1905 recommending that certain tamarind trees owned by Government, but standing on private lands in the villages of Atchenpudur and Puliyara be confirmed in the names of the land-owners on levy of *vilayartham* calculated at 25 times the assessment leviable on each tree under the Settlement Royal Proclamation, viz., 2 chs ... | „ | 1267 |
| 494 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 582/C. dated the 11th August 1905 *in re.* the treatment of Bhattavrithi lands in the Shencottah taluk ... ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 1268 |
| 495 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1485 dated the 13th August 1905 recommending that the pattah for the lands belonging to the Bala Subrahmanya Swamy's pagoda at Ayikudi which is under Government management be drawn up in the name of the temple and lodged with the Shencottah Tahsildar and that the maintenance of the temple be attended to as heretofore by leasing out the properties under Sirkar management ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 1275 |
| 496 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1187 dated the 14th August 1905 *in re.* above ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 1276 |
| 497 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 645/C. dated the 16th August 1905 submitting proposals for the revision of kist-bundy in the Shencottah taluk ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 1277 |
| 498 | Huzur letter No. S. 457 dated the 19th August 1905 stating that the revision of kist-bundy throughout the whole State will be taken up as a general question after the question of tax-payment in kind which is now engaging the attention of Government and is closely connected with the other is disposed of ... ... ... ... | „ | 1281 |
| 499 | Huzur letter No. S. 463 A dated the 25th August 1905 stating that the practice of submitting each application for the registry of pudnvals within the limits of the Kottayam town for the sanction of Government should be continued in future ... ... ... | „ | 1282 |
| 500 | Huzur letter No. C. 24 dated the 27th August 1905 stating that the term 'Konkanee Brahmin' may be used in the pattahs issued in their names... ... ... ... ... ... | „ | „ |
| 501 | Huzur letter No. C. 25 dated the 27th August 1905 stating that title deeds should be issued in the case of inam lands falling under section 24 of the Settlement Royal Proclamation ... ... ... | „ | 1283 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 502 | Huzur letter No. C. 26 dated the 27th August 1905 sanctioning the Settlement Dewan Peishkar's proposals *in re.* the treatment of the lands belonging to the Sundararaja Perumal Devaswom in Hattar ... | IV | 1283 |
| 503 | Huzur letter No. C. 28 dated the 20th August 1905 sanctioning the proposals of the Settlement Dewan Peishkar *in re.* the treatment of the lands belonging to the Bala Subrahmanya Swamy's pagoda at Ayikudi ... ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 1284 |
| 504 | Huzur letter No. C. 29 dated the 30th August 1905 calling for further information about the foreign service inams in the Shencottah taluk... | „ | „ |
| 505 | Huzur letter No. C. 30 dated the 31st August 1905 *in re.* the distribution of the village settlement registers ... ... ... | „ | 1285 |
| 506 | Huzur letter No. C. 31 dated the 31st August 1905 sanctioning the registry on Pandarapattom on levy of *vilayartham* amounting to not more than 25 times the assessment the tamarind and other trees belonging to Government but standing on private ground in the villages of Aichenpudur and Puliyara ... ... ... ... | „ | „ |
| 507 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 321/31 dated the 29th September 1905/14th Kanni 1081 recommending the continuance of the remission of tax on certain lands in Neyyattinkara allowed in favour of the Neyyattinkara Krishnapuram Brahmin village by way of *karathulchilavu* in accordance with past usage ... ... | „ | 1286 |
| 508 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1818 dated the 15th October 1905 *in re* the treatment of *chartam* in the taluks of Kalkulam, Eraniel and Vilavankod ... ... ... ... | „ | 1287 |
| 509 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 510/72 dated the 16th October 1905/31st Kanni 1081 *in re.* the service inams in the Shencottah taluk which should be retained in the future and which may be enfranchised at the settlement with or without the levy of *vilayartham*, (with two lists of properties containing the orders passed) ... ... | „ | 1288 |
| 510 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 513/41 dated the 16th October 1905/31st Kanni 1081 *in re.* the treatment of the lands belonging to the Kuttalanathaswamy temple in Karkudi... ... ... | „ | 1311 |
| 511 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1838 dated the 19th October 1905 requesting orders as to the tenures which must be treated as inams falling under section 24 of the Settlement Royal Proclamation and for which title deeds should be issued instead of the ordinary pattah and forwarding copies of title deed forms for approval ... | „ | — |
| 512 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1857 dated the 25th October 1905 submitting his report on the several points referred to in the Resident's D. O. to the Dewan of the 1st April 1905 *in re.* the new scheme of settlement ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 1313 |
| 513 | Huzur letter No. S. 534 dated the 29th October 1905 sanctioning the proposals of the Settlement Dewan Peishkar fixing the boundaries of the Umayanallur Sanketham and directing the settlement of the tract being proceeded with at once ... ... ... ... | „ | 1317 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 514 | Huzur letter No. 36/C dated the 4th November 1905 calling for proposals for the revision of establishment in accordance with the revision of forecast settled upon in conference and containing a resumé of the points discussed thereat ... ... ... ... | IV | 1318 |
| 515 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 155/C. dated the 10th November 1905 submitting proposals for the revision of establishment in accordance with the revision of forecast resolved upon in conference ... | .. | 1321 |
| 516 | Huzur letter Ref. on C. No. A/Rev. dated the 10th November 1905 calling for opinion on the question of relieving Government and other lands of *tirippuvaram* and like charges and arranging for payments being made direct from the treasury ... ... ... | ,, | 1331 |
| 517 | Huzur letter No. S. 563 dated the 12th/13th November 1905 stating that *chattram* may be treated as an extra cess in the Kalkulam and Eraniel taluks while the original decision to treat it as rajabhogam may stand in the case of the Vilavankod taluk ... ... | ,, | .. |
| 518 | Huzur (Land Revenue) Sadhanom No. 355 dated the 20th November 1905/5th Vrischikam 1081 stating that arrangements have been made for the *karathilchilavu* allowed in favour of the Nayyattinkara Krishnapuram Brahmin village being converted into a direct money payment from the treasury .. ... .. .. | ,, | 1332 |
| 519 | Proceedings of Government No. S. 585 dated the 22nd November 1905 revising the forecast of time required for the completion of settlement operations and making the necessary changes in the scale of establishment fixed under the new scheme .. ... ... ... | ,, | 1333 |
| 520 | Huzur letter No. S. 589 dated the 23rd November 1905 transferring the work of the settlement of the Quilon Cantonment to the revenue department... .. .. ... ... ... | ,, | 1340 |
| 521 | Huzur letter No. S. 590 dated the 23rd November 1905 approving of the title deed forms and specifying the cases in which title deeds should be issued instead of the ordinary pattah ... ... ... | ,, | .. |
| 522 | Proceedings of Government No. S. 593 dated the 24th November 1905 *in re.* the treatment of Bhattavrithi lands in the Shencottah taluk ... | ,, | 1341 |
| 523 | Huzur letter Ref. on C. No. S. 600 dated the 24th November 1905 forwarding copy of the above Proceedings ... ... ... | ,, | 1343 |
| 524 | Huzur letter No. S. 604 dated the 27th November 1905 sanctioning the recommendation of the Settlement Dewan Peishkar *in re.* the treatment of the lands belonging to the Kuttalanathaswamy temple in Karkudi ... .. .. .. ... ... | ,, | .. |
| 525 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1992 dated the 4th December 1905 according his support to the proposal to substitute money payments from the Sirkar treasury in place of *tirippuvaram*... ... | ,, | 1344 |

| Serial No. | Description | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 526 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 2049 dated the 9th December 1905 recommending the continuance of the practice of levying the quit-rent chargeable on certain lands in the Shencottah taluk in money and the cesses payable in kind wholly in paddy ... ... | IV | 1344 |
| 527 | Government Notification dated the 9th December 1905 declaring in supersession of the notification of the 12th Midhunam 1062 that no puduvals should in future be registered within half a mile of any railway station ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 1345 |
| 528 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 2050 dated the 11th December 1905 *in re.* the reservation of suitable tracts for the grazing of cattle ... | ,, | 1346 |
| 529 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 2062 dated the 12th December 1905 *in re.* the settlement of the virtathies of Jemmies and Madambi-mars in the taluks of Chengannur and Mavelikara ... ... | ,, | 1348 |
| 530 | Huzur letter No. S. 617 dated the 15th December 1905 confirming the boundaries of the Munro Island as fixed by the settlement and survey departments in consultation with the Mission agent ... ... | ,, | 1349 |
| 531 | Huzur letter No. S. 698 dated the 16th December 1905 sanctioning the continuance of the practice of levying respectively in money and in kind the quit-rent on certain lands and the paddy cesses in the Shencottah taluk ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 532 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 190/C. dated the 17th December 1905 *in re.* the levy of arrears on puduvals registered under the old scheme of settlement ... ... ... ... | ,, | 1350 |
| 533 | Huzur letter Reference A on C No. 1972/R. dated the 21st December 1905 *in re.* the registry of puduvals on either side of the Shencottah-Quilon cart-road ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 1351 |
| 534 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 282/C. dated the 26th December 1905 *in re.* the levy of a water-rate on the wet lands in the Eraniel and Kalkulam taluks ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 535 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadharam No. 3343/156 dated the 26th December 1905/12th Dhanu 1081 stating that the Sripadom lands in the Vilavankod taluk originally subject to the levy of *chattam* have been charged with rajabhogam according to Government orders ... | ,, | 1352 |
| 536 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 1149/169 dated the 29th December 1905/15th Dhanu 1081 sanctioning certain lands in Samburvadakara belonging to the Sri Mulanathaswamy temple being granted to the occupants on levy of *vilayartham* ... ... | ,, | 1353 |
| 537 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 332/C. dated the 30th December 1905 giving a list of the tenures for which title deeds should be granted in the Shencottah taluk ... ... ... ... | ,, | 1354 |

| Serial No. | Description | Vol | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 538 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 299 dated the 31st December 1905/17th Dhanu 1081 *in re* the treatment of lands belonging to the Kavungaucheri Devaswom in the Pattanapuram taluk ... ... | IV | 1355 |
| 539 | Huzur letter No. 80/R. 51 dated the 4th January 1906 stating that arrears should be levied according to the old rules in respect of the puduvals registered before the new scheme of settlement came into force and that the Proceedings of Government introducing the new scheme cannot have retrospective effect ... ... ... | ,, | 1357 |
| 540 | Huzur letter No. 564/R.260 dated the 11th January 1906 stating that the *attuvari* and other cesses levied on the wet lands in the Chaniel and Kalkulam taluks may be merged in the wet land assessment as proposed and calling for draft of a notification reserving the right of Government to levy any reasonable water-rate for the additional supply to be provided by the Petchipara and connected works ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 541 | Huzur letter No. 1555/R. 507 dated the 31st January 1906 stating that an entry should be made in the remarks column of the settlement register in respect of the lands belonging to the Ganapati Devaswom, Talavalli, that the tax need not be collected ... ... ... | ,, | 1358 |
| 542 | Proceedings of Government No. C/1 dated the 2nd February 1906/ 20th Makaram 1081 abolishing the system of payment of wet land tax in kind together with the connected papers, namely, ... ... | ,, | 1361 |
| | i. Memorandum by Dewan V. P. Madhava Rao dated the 10th October 1905 regarding payment of land-tax in kind ... ... | ,, | 1364 |
| | ii. Note on 'Taxation in kind' by Mr. E. R. Subroyen, Financial Adviser to Government ... ... ... ... | ,, | 1384 |
| | iii. Appendix— | | |
| | (*a*) Queries and answers ... ... ... ... | ,, | 1393 |
| | (*b*) Memorandum by Mr. N. Subrahmanya Aiyar M. A. ... ... | ,, | 1449 |
| | (*c*) Mr. Subrahmanya Aiyar's D. O. dated the 14th August 1905 ... | ,, | 1465 |
| | (*d*) Mr. Ratnaswamy Aiyar's Memorandum ... ... | ,, | 1468 |
| | (*e*) Letter dated the 15th October 1905 from Mr. P. S. Muthukaruppa Pillai recommending a commutation rate of 10 chs. per parah of paddy ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 1473 |
| 543 | Huzur letter No. 1755/R. 600 dated the 2nd February 1906 stating that papers relating to the fixing of rates are documents to which access cannot be allowed to any department ... ... ... | ,, | 1475 |
| 544 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 287/1 C. dated the 15th February 1906 forwarding draft of a notification regarding the levy of a water-rate on all lands which will be benefited by the construction of the Petchipara reservoir and connected works and pointing out the necessity and desirability of including the settled taluks of Nanchanad within the scope of the notification ... ... ... | ,, | 1476 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 545 | Huzur Docket No. 3067/R. 1250 dated the 20th February 1906 stating that Eraveli and Paschima Devaswom lands in Kanjirapally may be dealt with on the same terms as Sirkar lands as the Devaswoms have been adjudged to be under Sirkar management ... ... | IV | 1478 |
| 546 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 22 dated the 23rd February 1906/12th Kumbham 1081 directing that in fixing assessment rates, due regard must be paid to the assignments, if any, charged on the lands and that the *munkaram* on such lands should be taken as the sum total of the Sirkar tax and the assignments ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 547 | Survey Superintendent's letter No. 136/89 dated the 27th February 1906 requesting to arrange for the sub-divisons made by the settlement department being numbered in a uniform manner and for rectifications being made in the records already prepared according to the suggestions made ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 1479 |
| 548 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 23 dated the 28th February 1906/17th Kumbham 1081 directing that an entry be made in the remarks column of inam title deeds to the effect that the assignments charged on the lands (to be specified) are payable to the holders thereof and that the same will be payable to Government in the event of cessation of payment to the holders ... ... ... | ,, | 1481 |
| 549 | Huzur letter No. 3413/R. 1402 dated the 28th February 1906 forwarding a sketch map of Travancore and stating that puduvals lying to the east of the line marked by the Conservator in the map as running from north to south should not be registered and that no puduvals should be granted to the west of the line also if they happen to lie within reserves ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 550 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 68/C. dated the 28th February 1906 submitting the scheme report of the Mavelikara taluk ... | ,, | 1485 |
| 551 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 383/B. dated the 10th March 1906 submitting the scheme report of the Chengannur taluk ... | ,, | 1491 |
| 552 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1410 dated the 14th March 1906 submitting the scheme report of the Changanachery taluk ... | ,, | 1496 |
| 553 | Proceedings of Government No. 4459/R. 1817 dated the 19th March 1906 *in re.* the revision of the rate of equivalence in paruhs of the Nanchanad kottah grain measure, (English, Malayalam and Tamil) ... | ,, | 1500 |
| 554 | Proceedings of Government No. 4692/R. 1874 dated the 21st March 1906 laying down the terms on which lands may generally be granted for rubber plantation ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 1504 |
| 555 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 445 dated the 23rd March 1906 submitting the scheme report of the Ehamel taluk ... ... | ,, | 1505 |
| 556 | Proceedings of Government No. A/R. 1912 dated the 24th March 1906 passing orders on the scheme report of the Mavelikara taluk ... | ,, | 1510 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 557 | Proceedings of Government No. A/R. 1913 dated the 24th March 1906 passing orders on the scheme report of the Chengannur taluk ... | IV | 1515 |
| 558 | Proceedings of Government No. A/R. 1914 dated the 24th March 1906 passing orders on the scheme report of the Changanachery taluk .... | .. | 1518 |
| 559 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 476 dated the 28th March 1906 requesting orders *in re* the abolition of the practice of recovering *tadivila* on jack and punna trees standing on puduvals ... ... | .. | 1521 |
| 560 | Proceedings of Government No. 5965/R. 2524 dated the 8th April 1906 passing orders on the scheme report of the Eraniel taluk ... | .. | .. |
| 561 | Huzur letter No. 6080/R. 2553 dated the 10th April 1906 stating that in the case of punna and jack trees which are taxed at the settlement *tadivila* need not be recovered when puduvals are registered ... | .. | 1524 |
| 562 | No. V Party Deputy Settlement Peishkar's letter to the Settlement Dewan Peishkar, No. 152 dated the 16th April 1906, requesting orders whether *tadivila* should not be recovered on punna trees standing on puduvals in places where they are not assessed to revenue ... | .. | .. |
| 563 | Government Notification No. 6586/R. 2719 dated the 18th April 1906 stating that in commuting the paddy into money at the *vilathuram* rate of 11 chs. a parah fractions of a cash should be treated as equivalent to one cash ... ... ... ... ... | .. | 1525 |
| 564 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 601 dated the 20th April 1906 stating that *tadivila* should be recovered on punna trees standing on puduvals in places where they are not assessed to revenue ... | .. | 1526 |
| 565 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter to the Superintendent of Survey, No. 638 dated the 2nd May 1906, stating that his proposals regarding the numbering of sub-divisions made by the settlement department cannot be adopted in the taluks under final settlement and in those far advanced in settlement operations and requesting to arrange for the old method being adopted and the puduval survey records adjusted accordingly ... ... ... ... ... | .. | .. |
| 566 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 3308/428 dated the 3rd May 1906/21st Medam 1081 stating that the *tirippuvaram* charged on *pazhanilam* wet lands should be rateably distributed for annual payment in the same manner as the tax on such lands is fixed at the settlement in supersession of the system of allowing fallow remissions | .. | 1527 |
| 567 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No 683 dated the 12th May 1906 requesting to arrange for the publication in the Gazette of a notification regarding the cancelment of a fair pattah... ... ... | .. | .. |
| 568 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 3347/239 dated the 12th May 1906/30th Medam 1081 sanctioning the proposal to assess the virichi lands of Jenmies in the Mavelikara and Chengannur taluks with ½ rajabhogam and to continue the levy of the *chunadu* cess charged on them and to issue pattahs to the tenants ... ... ... | .. | 1528 |
| 569 | Government Notification dated the 20th May 1906 cancelling all grants made by the British military authorities and by the Sirkar within the Quilon Cantonment on Kuttakapattom or any other revocable tenure which conferred only an occupancy right without permanent title ... | .. | 1529 |
| 570 | Huzur letter No. 9152/R. 3749 dated the 1st June 1906 stating that the cancelment of a pattah need not be notified in the Gazette, but that a fresh pattah may be issued with an entry that the original has been cancelled ... ... ... ... ... ... | .. | 1530 |

| Serial No. | Description | Vol. | Page |
|---|---|---|---|
| 571 | Huzur letter No. 9940/R.4113 dated the 11th June 1906 requesting to arrange for the money value of the portion of the paddy tax recoverable at the new commutation rate of 11 chs. per parah being also entered in the pattahs together with the total amount of the tax in money ... ... ... ... ... ... | IV | 1530 |
| 572 | Huzur Docket No. 10434/R. 4381 dated the 18th June 1906 directing the levy of seigniorage on bamboos standing on puduvals at the rate of Rs. (4) four per 100 bamboos before the lands are registered ... | ,, | 1533 |
| 573 | Government Notification No. 10305/R. 4413 dated the 18th June 1906 regarding the levy of a water rate on all lands benefited by the construction of the Petchipara reservior and connected works ... | ,, | 1532 |
| 574 | Government Notification dated the 20th June 1906 directing the levy of a seigniorage on bamboos standing on puduvals at Rs. (4) four per 100 bamboos ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 1533 |
| 575 | Survey Superintendent's letter No. 382/243 dated the 26th June 1906 requesting that the system of numbering sub-divisions proposed by him be adopted in the taluks not far advanced in settlement operations ... | ,, | ,, |
| 576 | Government Notification No. 11799/R. 5019 dated the 11th July 1906 stating that the abolition of the system of payment of the wet land tax in kind will not apply to the lands attached to the Cherukole Nelpura in the Mavelikara taluk and the Aranali Nelpura in the Karthikapally taluk ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 1534 |
| 577 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1007 dated the 14th July 1906 referring for orders the question of the treatment to be accorded to the Sripandaravaka wet lands attached to the Neendakara Sanketham originally charged with money tax or *vadakadama* ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 578 | Huzur letter No. 12600/R. 5412 dated the 28th July 1906 stating that in respect of Sripandaravaka wet lands in the Kalkulam and Eraniel taluks the tax known as '*vadakadama*' should be left untouched without being subjected to revision or the process of converting the money tax into one of paddy and that the existing tenures should be continued without change ... ... ... ... | ,, | 1536 |
| 579 | Huzur letter No. 12601–12605/R. 5407–5411 dated the 28th July 1906 sanctioning a revised scheme of kist-bundy or instalments for the payment of land-tax with effect from the 1st Chingam 1082 ... | ,, | ,, |
| 580 | Government Notification No. 12809/R. 5520 dated the 30th July 1906 revising the existing scheme of kist-bundy ... ... ... | ,, | 1537 |
| 581 | Government Notification No. 13084/R. 5670 dated the 6th August 1906 declaring that the scheme for the abolition of tax-payment in kind will be introduced in all the taluks from the 1st Chingam 1082 ... | ,, | 1538 |
| 582 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1114 dated the 6th August 1906 recommending that the benefit of the new scheme of settlement as regards puduvals registered after it came into force be extended to those registered under the old rules ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 583 | Huzur letter No. 13540/R. 5861 dated the 13th August 1906 stating that the levy of arrears on puduvals registered under the old rules should be continued as the new scheme cannot have retrospective effect ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 1539 |

| Serial No. | Description | Vol | Page |
|---|---|---|---|
| 584 | Huzur letter No. 13857/R. 6080 dated the 18th August 1906 requesting to arrange for the unfinished portion of the *oluguform* work in the taluks of Alangad, Kunnatnad, Muvattupuzha and Minachil being taken up and completed by the settlement department and settlement operations started in those taluks at an early date ... ... | IV | 1539 |
| 585 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1188 dated the 25th August 1906 *in re.* the publication of a notification regarding the distribution of fair pattahs ... ... ... ... ... | " | 1540 |
| 586 | Huzur letter No. 14116/R. 6285 dated the 27th August 1906 *in re.* the issue of a notification under section 80 of the Settlement Royal Proclamation ... ... ... ... ... ... | " | 1541 |
| 587 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1221 dated the 29th August 1906 stating that a single notification regarding the issue of fair pattahs as well as the commencement of collection of new tax from a specified date will suffice in respect of the taluks settled in future ... ... | " | 1542 |
| 588 | Huzur letter No. 14592/R. 6285 dated the 29th August 1906 requesting to arrange for a settlement register being separately prepared in respect of the settled taluks for the properties belonging to each Devaswom under Sirkar management together with the abstract totals in the form appended to the village registers and calling for proposals for the same being prepared in respect of the taluks to be hereafter settled ... | " | " |
| 589 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1234 dated the 30th August 1906 *in re.* the settlement of Toppipattom lands in the Mavelikara taluk ... ... ... ... ... ... | " | 1543 |
| 590 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1240 dated the 30th August 1906 requesting orders on the subject of the revision of the rules for conducting assessment work and the adoption of the suggestion of the Madras Government about appeals and the fixing of an appeal time ... | " | 1545 |
| 591 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 72/C dated the 4th September 1906 *in re.* the grant of powers to the Settlement Dewan Peishkar and his Assistants in the matter of revising the proceedings of their subordinates ... ... ... ... ... | " | 1546 |
| 592 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 213/33 dated the 4th September 1906/19th Chingam 1082 stating that tax-free Jenmom lands alienated on Irakaranma should be converted into Kudijenmom and assessed with one-fourth pattom ... ... ... ... | " | 1547 |
| 593 | Huzur letter No. R. 6586 dated the 9th September 1906 stating that in regard to taluks to be settled in future a single notification intimating that pattahs will be issued and declaring that the new tax will be recovered from a specified date may be issued as proposed ... | " | 1548 |
| 594 | Proceedings of Government No. 15223/R. 6603 dated the 11th September 1906 appointing a committee for formulating proposals and a working plan for Land Records Maintenance ... ... ... | " | " |
| 595 | Proceedings of Government No. 15436/R. 6634 dated the 13th September 1906/28th Chingam 1082 directing that 8 parahs and 6½ edangalies be adopted as the rate of conversion of a kuttah for commuting the paddy tax into money in the taluks of Nanchinad in respect of the portion paid in kind till 1082 ... ... ... ... | " | 1551 |
| 596 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1353 dated the 15th September 1906 suggesting certain modifications in the forms of pattah and tarapere necessitated by the abolition of the system of tax-payment in kind and omission of portions of foot-notes in the existing rough pattah form. | " | 1553 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 597 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 82/C. dated the 18th September 1906 suggesting certain further omissions in the foot-notes in the rough pattah form ... ... ... ... ... | IV | 1554 |
| 598 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No 1373 dated the 20th September 1906 submitting the scheme report of the Kottarakara taluk ... | ,, | 1555 |
| 599 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No 86/C dated the 21st September 1906 suggesting that, as settlement operations have far advanced in the taluks of Kottarakara, Pattanapuram and Kunnattur, the work of counting bamboos standing on puduvals in those taluks be taken away from the settlement department and entrusted to the forest department and that a note be made in the pattahs that the seigniorage value of bamboos, if any, will have to be paid by the pattadars before they are cut down and removed or within one month from date of issue of pattah and that such values will in cases of non-payment be recovered as arrears of revenue ... ... | ,, | 1559 |
| 600 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1461 dated the 5th October 1906 suggesting that in view of the High Court decision relating to the Kaviyur Devaswom the settlement of the tax-free lands belonging to the Devaswom be left out of the operations of the settlement department ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 1560 |
| 601 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 89/C. dated the 6th October 1906 suggesting that the settlement register of the properties belonging to Sirkar Devaswoms be arranged to be prepared by the revenue department from the village settlement registers and requesting sanction for the entertainment of a special staff in case it should be done by the settlement department ... ... ... ... | ,, | 1566 |
| 602 | Huzur letter No. 17002/R. 7157 dated the 9th October 1906 communicating the decision of Government to extend to the revenue department the procedure recently laid down for the guidance of the settlement department in the matter of registering puduvals in hilly tracts and elsewhere ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 1568 |
| 603 | Report of the Land Records Maintenance Committee dated the 15th October 1906 ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 1569 |
| 604 | Proceedings of Government No. 17455/R. 7383 dated the 13th October 1906 passing orders on the scheme report of the Kottarakara taluk ... | ,, | 1580 |
| 605 | Huzur letter No. 17872/R. 7570 dated the 23rd October 1906 sanctioning a special agency for preparing the settlement registers of properties belonging to the Sirkar Devaswoms in the settled taluks under the control of the Settlement Dewan Peishkar ... ... ... | ,, | 1583 |
| 606 | Proceedings of Government No. 18053/R. 7632 dated the 26th October 1906 directing that 15 panths and 8¾ edangalies be adopted as the rate of conversion of a kottah in the Shencottah taluk for commuting the paddy tax into money at the new commutation rate in respect of the portion paid in kind till 1082 ... ... ... | ,, | 1581 |
| 607 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1634 dated the 29th October 1906 requesting early orders *in re.* the treatment of bamboos standing on puduvals registered by the settlement department in the taluks of Kottarakara, Kunnattur and Pattanapuram ... ... ... | ,, | 1586 |
| 608 | Huzur letter No. 18297/R. 7698 dated the 30th October 1906 stating that individual applications for revising the proceedings of subordinates cannot be entertained by the Settlement Dewan Peishkar and his Assistants except as provided for in para 6 of the Proceedings of Government No. S. 262 dated the 23rd September 1904 ... ... | ,, | ,, |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 609 | Huzur letter No. 18418/R. 7762 dated the 30th October 1906 sanctioning the proposals of the Settlement Dewan Peishkar in regard to the treatment of Toppupattom lands in the Mavelikara taluk ... ... | IV | 1587 |
| 610 | Huzur letter No. 18417/R. 7763 dated the 30th October 1906 sanctioning the revised form for patapers and the omission of the whole of the foot-notes in the rough pattah and stating that the question of the revised pattah form will be decided after the decision by Government of the proposal to effect a further reduction in the kist-bundy made by the Land Records Maintenance Committee ... ... ... | " | " |
| 611 | Huzur letter No. R. 7829 dated the 31st October 1906 stating that the existing procedure in regard to assessment operations, appeals against enhancement of rates &c., may be continued without change as already decided by Government ... ... ... ... | " | 1588 |
| 612 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1644 dated the 31st October 1906 reporting that delay in the receipt of puduval survey records from the survey department would tend to put off the closing of settlement work and requesting the issue of orders for the same being supplied for each taluk before the preparation of block statements ... | " | " |
| 613 | Huzur letter No. 18702/R. 7900 dated the 3rd November 1906 stating that a seigniorage of Rs. (2) two should be levied hereafter on each clump of bamboos irrespective of the number that may be found in it and that the recovery of value should be made by the settlement department itself, employing additional hands where necessary ... ... ... | " | 1589 |
| 614 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter to the Survey Superintendent, No. 1684 dated the 3rd November 1906, requesting expression of opinion on the proposal to conduct the survey of puduvals and subdivisions in the taluks under settlement *pari passu* with classification by surveyors attached to and working under the orders of the settlement officers ... ... ... ... ... | " | 1590 |
| 615 | Government Notification No. 18761/R. 7951 dated the 5th November 1906 fixing the seigniorage on bamboos at Rs. (2) two per clump irrespective of the number of bamboos in each clump ... ... | " | 1591 |
| 616 | Survey Superintendent's letter to the Settlement Dewan Peishkar, No. 683/449 dated the 6th November 1906, stating that the proposal in regard to the carrying out of the survey of sub-divisions and puduvals *pari passu* with classification is not impracticable and may be tried ... | " | " |
| 617 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1715 dated the 7th November 1906 requesting the issue of orders to the survey department to confine itself in the matter of puduval survey to ascertaining the survey areas of puduvals the rough measurements of which are furnished to it by the settlement department and to make no additions or alterations whatever in respect of them and to arrange for the puduval survey papers being supplied to the settlement department at least before chittah work is taken in hand ... ... ... ... | " | 1592 |
| 618 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1716 dated the 7th November 1906 stating that the cost of employment of additional hands for counting bamboo clumps in puduvals in the taluks of Kottarakara, Pattanapuram and Kunnattur would be prohibitive and requesting that the proposal to make a note in the pattah issued for puduvals in those taluks already recommended by him be sanctioned ... ... | " | 1593 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 619 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1736 dated the 9th November 1906 requesting the sanction of Government for puduval and sub-division survey being conducted *pari passu* with settlement by surveyors working under the orders of the settlement officers in the taluks under settlement, *viz.*, Parur, Alangad, Kunnatnad, Muvattupuzha, Minachil and Todupuzha ... ... ... | IV | 1594 |
| 620 | Proceedings of Government No. 74 dated the 10th November 1906 directing that no *tarawila* and *tadivila* be levied on the puduvals in the Mekkara village and stating that the lands will be liable to the payment of tax only after the expiration of the concession term ... | ,, | 1595 |
| 621 | Huzur letter No. 20004/R. 8492 dated the 19th November 1906 stating that the settlement department need not take note of any puduvals occupied subsequent to registration and that strict instructions have been issued to the survey department to confine itself to ascertaining the areas of puduvals referred to it by the settlement department without making any additions or alterations in respect of them and to send up the puduval survey records before the chittah work is taken up in each taluk ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 1597 |
| 622 | Huzur letter No. 20161/R. 8546 dated the 20th November 1906 sanctioning the proposals for conducting the survey of puduvals and sub-divisions in the taluks of Parur, Alangad, Kunnatnad, Muvattupuzha, Todupuzha and Minachil by professional surveyors under the control of the settlement party officers *pari passu* with settlement operations. | ,, | ,, |
| 623 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter to the Survey Superintendent, No. 1843 dated the 23rd November 1906, requesting to arrange for the required number of surveyors for puduval and sub-division survey in the northern taluks under settlement being deputed for work under the respective party officers with instructions to conduct the survey on the lines on which it was being carried on in the survey department ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 1598 |
| 624 | Huzur letter No. 20690/R. 8727 dated the 26th November 1906 exempting the taluks of Kottarakara, Pattanapuram and Kunnattur from the operation of the rule requiring the levy of seigniorage on bamboos standing on puduval lands ... ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 625 | Huzur letter No. 21224/R. 8853 dated the 3rd December 1906 stating that the survey department, instead of confining itself to the survey of the puduvals the rough measurements of which have been furnished by the settlement department, has been allowed to survey all the numbers coming to its notice on the ground and rectify mistakes and omissions, but that the settlement department may confine itself to puduvals registered before the survey and need not take note of any new numbers which may be left to be dealt with by the revenue department ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 1599 |
| 626 | Huzur letter No. 21501/R. 9040 dated the 7th December 1906 to the Division Peishkars stating that *kadapattom* may be restricted to the shops in the towns under the Towns Conservancy and Improvement Regulation III of 1076 and that shops in other parts of the State should be left untouched, the land-tax on the sites being alone recovered ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 1604 |

| Serial No. | Description | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 627 | Survey Superintendent's letter No. 772/179 dated the 5th December 1906 communicating the deputation of surveyors for work under the control of the party officers and forwarding copy of the instructions to them regarding the mode of conducting the survey of puduvals and sub-divisions ... ... ... ... ... | IV | 1605 |
| 628 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 2224 dated the 18th December 1906 requesting that a plan showing the boundaries of proposed reserves be arranged to be supplied to the settlement officers in the taluks of Minachil, Muvattupuzha and Todupuzha to enable them to deal with applications for puduvals without having to refer the cases to the forest department as nearly ¾ of each of these taluks are reported to lie to the east of the line fixed by the forest department for puduval registry ... ... ... ... | ,, | 1607 |
| 629 | Huzur letter No. R. 9614 dated the 20th December 1906 enquiring whether the settlement of the Vanjipuzha Edavaka villages has been completed ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 1608 |
| 630 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 1470/70 dated the 21st December 1906/6th Dhanu 1082 recommending the abolition of the *pothudapadisa* charged on behalf of the Krishnaswamy Devaswom in the Mavelikara taluk under Huzur orders ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 631 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 2067 dated the 24th December 1906 submitting the scheme report of the Pattanapuram taluk ... | ,, | 1609 |
| 632 | Huzur letter No. 22665/R. 9786 dated the 28th December 1906 stating that the settlement of the Kaviyur Devaswom lands may be conducted to completion in accordance with previous orders ... ... | ,, | 1619 |
| 633 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 2118 dated the 28th/29th December 1906 stating that the Vanjipuzha Edavaka villages cannot be settled by Government as the free-hold villages of Edapally and Kilimanur ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 634 | Huzur Sadhanom No. 9834/R. dated the 31st December 1906/16th Dhanu 1082 stating that the settlement of the Vanjipuzha Edavaka villages may be proceeded with on the lines indicated in the Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 1721/121 dated the 24th Kanni 1079 ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 1621 |
| 635 | Huzur letter No. R. 9905 dated the 31st December 1906 stating that the survey department will not be able to send up wholly the puduval survey records of Kottayam, Pattanapuram, Shertallay, Vaikam, Kunnattur and Ettumanur before the time fixed for the commencement of chittah work in each of these taluks and that the settlement department may proceed with its work, taking note of only such of the puduvals as the survey department may be able to furnish the records for, the rest being left to be dealt with by the revenue department in the same manner as puduvals brought to light during the course of survey ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 1622 |
| 636 | Huzur Docket No. 22947/R. 9868 dated the 31st December 1906 forwarding copy of letter to the Conservator requesting him to arrange for the settlement department being supplied with maps showing the boundaries of settled and proposed reserves falling within the taluks of Muvattupuzha, Todupuzha and Minachil ... ... | ,, | 1625 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 637 | Proceedings of Government No. 314 dated the 21st January 1907 passing orders on the scheme report of the Pattanapuram taluk ... | V | 1627 |
| 638 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 1826/92 dated the 22nd January 1907/9th Makaram 1082 requesting orders on certain points connected with the treatment of *kuttuthengu* in the Parur taluk ... | ,, | 1631 |
| 639 | Huzur letter No. 478/R. dated the 28th/30th January 1907 stating that the settlement of the Vaipuzase Edavaka villages should be conducted by the settlement department as already decided ... ... | ,, | 1631 |
| 640 | Huzur letter No. 571/R. dated the 4th February 1907 *re* Toppupattom Lands in the Mavelikara taluk ... ... ... | ,, | 1635 |
| 641 | Huzur Sadhanom No. 6160/R dated the 4th February 1907/22nd Makaram 1082 *re* the treatment of *kuttuthengu* in the taluks of Shertallay and Parur ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 1636 |
| 642 | Huzur letter No. 878/R. dated the 9th February 1907 sanctioning among other things cert in modifications in para 3, section 1, Part III of the Revised Classification and Registration Rules dated the 13th October 1904 *re* the period of notice prior to the commencement of registration inquiries ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 1637 |
| 643 | Government Notification No. R 861 dated the 9th February 1907 *re* the modification of para 3 of section 1, Part III of the Revised Classification and Registration Rules dated the 13th October 1904 ... | ,, | 1638 |
| 644 | Huzur letter No. R 866 dated the 9th February 1907 stating that information on the points specified therein should be furnished in future villagewise block reports ... ... ... ... | ,, | 1639 |
| 645 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 278 dated the 10th February 1907 recommending the abolition of the *kaditral* cess in the Parur taluk | ,, | 1640 |
| 646 | Proceedings of Government No. 948/R dated the 13th February 1907 *re* Land Records Maintenance (with the rules and programme of instructions originally issued thereunder) ... ... ... | ,, | 1642 |
| 647 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 323 dated the 16th February 1907 recommending the preservation of records of escheat cases instead of destroying them as sanctioned in Huzur letter No. S. 375 dated the 12th July 1905 ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 1673 |
| 648 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 338 dated the 19th February 1907 soliciting orders whether in view of the fact that the valuation of trees on puduvals registered by the settlement department is regulated by the Revised Classification Rules dated the 13th October 1904 the valuation adopted by the forest department in respect of cases referred to them under the old rules should be accepted and acted upon by the settlement department ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 649 | Huzur Sadhanom No. 1218/R. dated the 19th February 1907 to the Quilon Division Peishkar sanctioning the abolition of '*polikadapalisa*' charged on behalf of the Mavelikara Krishnaswamy temple ... | ,, | 1674 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 650 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 364 dated the 21st February 1907 recommending the transfer of the work of settlement of the lands belonging to the Pattazhi Devaswom to the revenue department and the cancellation of the proceedings already taken by the settlement department in the matter ... ... ... ... | V | 1675 |
| 651 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 392 dated the 24th February 1907 *in re.* the treatment of *kettuthengu* in the taluks of Vaikam, Shertallay and Ettumanur ... ... ... ... | " | 1677 |
| 652 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanam No. 2113/104 dated the 26th February 1907/15th Kumbham 1082 *in re.* the treatment of *kettuthengu* in the taluks of Parur, Vaikam, Ettumanur and Shertallay ... | " | 1686 |
| 653 | Hazur letter No. 1509/L. R. dated the 28th February 1907 sanctioning the abolition of the *kodikeel* cess in the Parur taluk ... ... | " | 1687 |
| 654 | Huzur letter No. 1585/L. R. dated the 2nd March 1907 sanctioning the records of escheat cases being excluded from the category of records to be destroyed ... ... ... ... ... | " | " |
| 655 | Huzur letter No. 1639/L. R. dated the 5th March 1907 stating that the settlement department need not adopt the valuation of reserved trees made by the forest department in respect of puduval cases disposed of under the new rules ... ... ... ... ... | " | 1688 |
| 656 | Huzur letter No. 1765/L. R. dated the 9th March 1907 transferring the work of settlement of the lands belonging to the Pattazhi Devaswom to the revenue department and sanctioning the records already prepared by the settlement department being treated as cancelled ... ... ... ... ... | " | " |
| 657 | Huzur letter No. 1860/L. R. dated the 14th March 1907 forwarding copy of letter to the Conservator of Forests requesting him to furnish the settlement department with maps of proposed reserves and asking that instructions be issued to the party officers to reject all applications for puduvals within proposed reserves ... ... ... | " | 1689 |
| 658 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. C/5 dated the 14th March 1907 *in re.* puduval and sub-division survey ... ... ... | " | 1690 |
| 659 | Huzur letter No. 1890/L. R. dated the 16th March 1907 *in re.* the treatment of *kettuthengu* in the taluks of Parur, Vaikam, Ettumanur, and Shertallay ... ... ... ... ... ... | " | 1692 |
| 660 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 559 dated the 21st/25th March 1907 suggesting certain modifications in the pattah form... ... | " | 1693 |
| 661 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 570 dated the 21st March 1907 *in re.* the treatment of Kuttukapattom lands in the Palliport and Pulienthuruthu Farms ... ... ... ... | " | 1696 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 662 | Proceedings of Government No. 2296/L. R dated the 28th March 1907 *in re.* puduval and sub-division survey ... ... ... | V | 1706 |
| 663 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 689 dated the 31st March 1907 *in re.* the treatment of Toppupattom lands in the Mavelikara taluk ... | .. | 1708 |
| 664 | Huzur letter No. 2678/L. R dated the 5th April 1907 sanctioning the modifications proposed in the form of fair pattah ... ... | ,, | 1709 |
| 665 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 2471/121 dated the 6th April 1907/24th Meenam 1082 *in re.* the treatment of house-sites in the Kulkulam taluk originally granted tax-free ... ... ... | ,, | 1710 |
| 666 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 757 dated the 11th April 1907 *in re.* the registry of lands adjoining those leased to the Madras Government for the construction of the Periyar Dam ... ... | ,, | 1711 |
| 667 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 777 dated the 16th April 1907 *in re.* the treatment of Kudipullitanathu lands in the Shertallay taluk ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 668 | Huzur letter No. 3166/L. R. dated the 19th April 1907 *in re.* Toppupattom lands in the Mavelikara taluk ... ... ... | ,, | 1713 |
| 669 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 827 dated the 22nd/24th April 1907 *in re.* the mode of calculation of *kettuthenga* in the taluks of Parur, Vaikam, Shertallay and Ettumanur ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 670 | Huzur letter No. S. R. 1967 dated the 24th April 1907 prohibiting the registry of pudavals lying in the belt between the line showing the limits of cadastral survey and the line marked by the Conservator for puduval registries in the map forwarded with Huzur letter No. 3413/R 1402 dated the 28th February 1906 ... ... ... | ,, | 1715 |
| 671 | Huzur letter No. 3500/L. R. dated the 2nd May 1907 *in re.* the mode of calculation of *kettuthenga* in the taluks of Parur, Vaikam, Shertallay and Ettumanur ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 1716 |
| 672 | Huzur Sadhanom No. 3537 dated the 3rd May 1907 sanctioning the proposals of the Settlement Dewan Peishkar in regard to the treatment of village sites in the Kulkulam taluk ... ... ... | ,, | 1717 |
| 673 | Huzur letter No. 3559/L. R dated the 3rd May 1907 sanctioning the *kudiana* on Kudipullitanathu lands in the Shertallay taluk being treated as michavaram and added to the rajabhogam leviable on the lands under section 24 of the Settlement Royal Proclamation ... | ,, | ,, |
| 674 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 973 dated the 9th May 1907 submitting the scheme report of the Kottayam taluk ... ... | ,, | 1718 |

TRIVANDRUM PUBLIC LIBRARY



| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 675 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 966 dated the 12th/13th May 1907 *in re.* the preparation of the settlement register of the properties belonging to Sirkar Devaswoms ... ... .. ... | V | 1733 |
| 676 | Proceedings of Government No. 3880/L. R dated the 15th May 1907 *in re.* puduval and sub-division survey ... ... | ,, | 1731 |
| 677 | Survey Superintendent's letter to the Settlement Dewan Peishkar, No. 363/247 dated the 17th May 1907, forwarding copy of the revised instructions to be issued to the surveyors doing sub-division work in the settlement department ... ... ... ... | ,, | 1736 |
| 678 | Proceedings of Government No. 2711/P. W. dated the 19th May 1907 granting certain concessions for the cultivation of wet lands within the Parur Bund (English and Malayalam) ... ... ... | .. | 1739 |
| 679 | Huzur letter No. 3969/L. R. dated the 20th May 1907 stating that the prevalence of palm blight in the Minachil and other taluks should be taken into consideration in assessing the trees at the settlement ... | .. | 1745 |
| 680 | Huzur letter No. 4291/L. R. dated the 28th May 1907 *in re* the scheme report of the Kottayam taluk ... ... ... ... | .. | .. |
| 681 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 2962/143 dated the 28th May 1907/15th Idavam 1082 stating that an establishment costing Rs 140 a month is required for the Vanjipuzha Edavaka settlement and that it is likely to take about 2 years for completion .. ... | .. | 1716 |
| 682 | Survey Superintendent's letter to the Settlement Dewan Peishkar, No. 393/270 dated the 31st May 1907, forwarding copy of the instructions for the restoration of missing boundary marks for communication to the surveyors and supervising officers ... ... ... | .. | 1747 |
| 683 | Huzur letter No. 4602/L. R. dated the 3rd June 1907 stating that the records of registration cases should be preserved and not destroyed as sanctioned in Huzur letter No. S. 375 dated the 12th July 1905 .. | ,, | 1749 |
| 684 | Huzur letter No. 4639/L. R. dated the 5th June 1907 sanctioning additional establishment up to the end of 1082 for transcribing the settlement registers of the properties belonging to Sirkar Devaswoms ... ... ... ... ... ... | .. | .. |
| 685 | Huzur letter No 5451/L. R. dated the 24th June 1907 forwarding copy of sketch of the Periyar water-spread shewing the lands leased out to the Madras Government and stating that no puduvals should be registered within the limits leased out to the British Government or within the catchment area of the Periyar ... ... ... ... | .. | 1750 |
| 686 | Huzur Sadhanom No. 5453/L. R. dated the 24th June 1907/10th Mithunam 1082 sanctioning the proposals of the Settlement Dewan Peishkar contained in his Sadhanom No. 2962/143 dated the 15th Idavam 1082 for the settlement of the Vanjipuzha Edavaka villages ... ... | .. | .. |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 687 | Proceedings of Government No. 294 dated the 26th June 1907 *in re.* Vedavirutti lands in the Shencottah pakudi ... ... ... | V | 1751 |
| 688 | Proceedings of Government No. S. R. 3142 dated the 1st July 1907 *in re.* the forest policy of Government .. ... ... | „ | 1753 |
| 689 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1391 dated the 7th July 1907 *in re.* the scheme report of the Kottayam taluk ... ... | „ | 1759 |
| 690 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1450 dated the 17th July 1907 recommending that 3 fs. be fixed as the maximum assessment per acre leviable on the dry lands unsuited for coffee or tea cultivation in the villages of Peermode and Periyar ... ... ... ... | „ | 1764 |
| 691 | Huzur letter No. S. R. 3613 dated the 22nd/23rd July 1907 forwarding copy of G. O. No. S. R. 3142 dated the 1st July 1907 on the general question of the reservation of forests and drawing attention to the matter of setting apart land for cattle grazing ... ... ... | „ | 1766 |
| 692 | Proceedings of Government No. 6676/L. R. dated the 23rd July 1907 passing orders on the scheme report of the Kottayam taluk ... | „ | „ |
| 693 | Huzur letter No. 6965/L. R. dated the 29th July 1907 stating that the rate for dry lands in the villages of Peermode and Periyar may be fixed ordinarily at 3 fs. per acre and that in special cases where a lower rate has to be adopted the previous sanction of Government should be obtained ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 1771 |
| 694 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1636 dated the 8th/10th August 1907 *in re.* the treatment of Tripakudathu Devaswom Azhiyasan-ketham lands in the Talayazham village, Vaikam taluk ... ... | „ | 1772 |
| 695 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1661 dated the 12th/14th August 1907 recommending the abolition of the cess known as '*Chengannur devaswom manushya jeevitam*' borne in the name of Pattazhi Bhagavathi Sripandarakaryamchaivarkal in the Malu proverty, Pattanapuram taluk .. ... ... ... | „ | 1773 |
| 696 | Huzur letter No. 7507/L. R. dated the 13th August 1907 *in re.* Toppu-puttom lands in the Mavelikara taluk ... ... ... | „ | 1774 |
| 697 | Government Notification (Circular) No. 4506/P. W. dated the 14th August 1907 prohibiting any registry of land within a distance of 20 feet on either side from the centre of public roads (English and Malyalam) ... ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 1776 |
| 698 | Government Notification (Circular) No. 7604/L. R. dated the 16th August 1907 fixing 100 acres as the maximum area of puduval lands that could ordinarily be registered in the name of a single individual. (English and Malayalam) ... ... ... ... | „ | „ |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 699 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1727 dated the 27th August 1907 *in re.* the preparation of the settlement register of the properties belonging to Sirkar Devaswoms ... ... ... ... | V | 1777 |
| 700 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1735 dated the 28th August 1907 *in re.* the commutation value of the *kettuthengu* assigned to the Tanneermukkam Devaswom in the Shertallay taluk ... ... | ,, | 1778 |
| 701 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1853 dated the 5th September 1907 *in re.* Toppupattom lands in the Mavelikara taluk ... ... | ,, | 1780 |
| 702 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 249/14 dated the 6th September 1907/21st Chingam 1083 stating that Pandaravaka tax-free Janom lands are not liable to assessment on the ground of alienation ... | ,, | 1781 |
| 703 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 377/20 dated the 15th September 1907/30th Chingam 1083 stating that tax-free Jenmom lands gifted to strangers as '*sarvaswadanom*' should be converted into Kudijenmom and assessed accordingly ... ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 704 | Huzur letter No. 8345/L. R. dated the 19th September 1907 *in re.* the preparation of the settlement register of the properties belonging to Sirkar Devaswoms ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 1782 |
| 705 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1946 dated the 22nd September 1907 requesting orders whether the assessment on the Pulienthuruthu Kuttakapattom gardens may be fixed at 3 times the settlement assessment in accordance with the procedure followed by the revenue department ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 706 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 2 dated the 23rd September 1907/7th Kanni 1083 *in re.* the disposal of rough pattah objections relating to assessment rates ... ... ... ... | ,, | 1786 |
| 707 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 551/21 dated the 29th September 1907/13th Kanni 1083 recommending that the Pandaravaka Attiper properties borne in the name of Eali Mudaliar Kaki Mudaliar in the Shertallay taluk be assessed with rajabhogam only in cases where they have not been alienated, that the alienated holdings be treated as Otti and that the *kettuthengu* charged on them be calculated and levied in money at the rate of one fanam per tree according to past usage ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 708 | Huzur letter No. 8621/L. R. dated the 30th September 1907 *in re.* Tripakudathu Devaswom Azhiasankethom lands in the Talayazham village, Vaikam taluk ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 1788 |
| 709 | Huzur letter No. 8623/L. R. dated the 30th September 1907 *in re.* '*Chengannur devaswom manushya jeevitam*' cess borne on the Pattazhi Devaswom ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 710 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 2001 dated the 4th October 1907 *in re.* the preparation of the settlement register of the properties belonging to Sirkar Devaswoms ... ... ... ... | V | 1789 |
| 711 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 2004 dated the 7th/9th October 1907 *in re.* Tripakudathu Devaswom Azhiasanketham lands ... | ,, | ,, |
| 712 | Huzur letter No. 8884/L. R. dated the 20th October 1907 sanctioning the *kuttakapattom* tax on the Pulienthuruthu properties being fixed at three times the settlement pattom ... ... ... ... | ,, | 1790 |
| 713 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 2074 dated the 22nd October 1907 submitting the scheme report of the Peermede and Periyar villages ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 714 | Huzur letter No. 9024/L. R. dated the 26th October 1907 *in re.* the preparation of the settlement register of the properties belonging to Sirkar Devaswoms ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 1795 |
| 715 | Huzur letter No. 9025/L. R. dated the 26th October 1907 *in re.* Toppupattom lands in the Mavelikara taluk ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 716 | Huzur letter No. 9148/L. R. dated the 30th October 1907 sanctioning the Tripakudathu Devaswom Azhiasanketham lands being treated as inam and charged with the quit-rent hitherto levied on them ... | ,, | 1796 |
| 717 | Huzur Sadhanom No. 9250/L. R. dated the 2nd November 1907 sanctioning the proposal of the Settlement Dewan Peishkar *in re.* the treatment of the Pandaravaka Attiper lands borne in the name of Eali Mudaliar Kaki Mudaliar in the Shertallay taluk ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 718 | Huzur letter No. 9276/L. R. dated the 4th November 1907 *in re.* the commutation value of the *kettuthengu* tax assigned to the Tanneermukkam Devaswom in the Shertallay taluk... ... ... | ,, | 1797 |
| 719 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 2200 dated the 9th November 1907 *in re.* '*Chengannur devaswom manushya jeevitam*' cess ... | ,, | 1798 |
| 720 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 2253 dated the 15th November 1907 *in re.* the preparation of the settlement register of the properties belonging to Sirkar Devaswoms ... ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 721 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 1199/120 dated the 18th November 1907/3rd Vrichikam 1083 *in re.* the treatment of *kettuthengu* ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 1799 |
| 722 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 1370 dated the 23rd November 1907/8th Vrichikam 1083 containing certain instructions for the preparation of the thanathuchittah in respect of lands recorded as 'assessed waste at the disposal of Government' on account of the non-payment of *taravila* and *tadivila* leviable under the rules ... | ,, | 1800 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 723 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 2304 dated the 23rd November 1907 recommending that records of rough pattah inquiries sanctioned to be destroyed be preserved in future, that area lists be transferred to the revenue department and that supervisor's office records be destroyed ... ... ... ... ... ... | V | 1801 |
| 724 | Government Notification No. 9759/L. R. dated the 25th November 1907 regarding an addition to the Revised Rules for Classification and Registration dated the 13th October 1904 to the effect that the Settlement Dewan Peishkar is authorised to revise all proceedings of his subordinates at any time after they are passed by them ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 725 | Huzur letter No. 9870/L. R. dated the 28th November 1907 sanctioning the scheme of assessment proposed for the villages of Peermede and Periyar ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 1802 |
| 726 | Huzur letter No. 10098/L. R. dated the 2nd December 1907 *re.* the preparation of the settlement register of the properties belonging to Sirkar Devaswoms ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 727 | Dewan's D. O. dated the 5th December 1907 calling for an expression of views regarding the recovery of old arrears on puduvals registered by the settlement department in the taluks of Kottarakara and Pattanapuram ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 1803 |
| 728 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's D. O. dated the 6th December 1907 stating that the levy of arrears on puduvals registered before the new scheme should be continued under the old rules, but that a concession may be made in the case of the Kottarakara and Pattanapuram taluks for the payment of the same in three years in 6 equal instalments ... | ,, | ,, |
| 729 | Huzur letter No. S. R. 6557 dated the 9th December 1907 calling for a report as to the ownership of the Kavunganchery Devaswom and the tenures on which the Devaswom lands are held and other particulars ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 1804 |
| 730 | Huzur letter No. 10406/L. R. dated the 9th December 1907 sanctioning the proposals of the Settlement Dewan Peishkar contained in his letter No. 2304 dated the 23rd November 1904 regarding the preservation, transfer to the revenue department and destruction of certain settlement records ... ... ... ... | ,, | 1806 |
| 731 | Huzur letter No. 10536/L. R. dated the 11th December 1907 sanctioning the abolition of '*Chengannur devaswom manushya jeevitam*' cess ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 1807 |
| 732 | Huzur letter No. 10709 dated the 16th December 1907 forwarding copy of letter to the Quilon Division Peishkar sanctioning the recovery of back arrears on puduvals registered by the settlement department in the taluks of Kottarakara and Pattanapuram in 6 equal instalments in three years ... ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 733 | Proceedings of Government No. S. R. 6777 dated the 16th December 1907 *in re.* the survey of Kannan Devan Hills... ... ... | V | 1808 |
| 734 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 1882/140 dated the 21st December 1907 /6th Dhanu 1083 stating that pattahs may be granted for lands endowed for '*vazhivadu*' in Sirkar temples with a note to that effect and that information thereof should be sent to the taluk authorities ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 1811 |
| 735 | Huzur Docket No. 74/L. R. dated the 7th January 1908 forwarding copy of Government Proceedings No. 54 dated the 20th December 1907 directing that certain lands in the Shencottah pakudi ordered at the settlement to be recorded as Sirkar tanathu and leased out on kuttakapattom be registered in the names of the occupiers permanently at the highest garden and dry rates of the village and on recovery of *vilayartham* equivalent to 10 years' assessment ... | ,, | 1812 |
| 736 | Government Notification No. 189/L. R. dated the 12th January 1908 laying down certain rules for the collection of land revenue ... | ,, | 1813 |
| 737 | Huzur Sadhanom No. 447 dated the 21st January 1908/8th Makaram 1083 forwarding for report the Poonjat Chief's communication regarding the deputation of Sirkar officers for the settlement of the Poonjat Edavaka ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 738 | Proceedings of Government No. S. R. 353 A dated the 21st January 1908 *in re.* the forest policy of Government (English and Malayalam) ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 1814 |
| 739 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 2198/224 dated the 24th January 1908/11th Makaram 1083 *in re.* the preparation of separate chittahs for inam lands for which title deeds have to be issued ... ... | ,, | 1816 |
| 740 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 2201/225 dated the 24th January 1908/11th Makaram 1083 containing certain instructions for the conversion of the paddy tax into money and its distribution over the several instalments... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 1817 |
| 741 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 97 dated the 24th January 1908 submitting the information called for in regard to the Kavunganchery Devaswom ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 1818 |
| 742 | Huzur letter No. 570/L. R. dated the 25th January 1908 calling for an expression of views *in re.* the apportionment of the cost of boundary demarcation stones .. ... ... ... ... | ,, | 1819 |
| 743 | Huzur Docket No. 882/L. R. dated the 27th January 1908 forwarding copy of letter to the Quilon Dewan Peishkar stating that the Vedaviruthi lands in the Shencottah taluk should be continued in the names of the villagers of Amman Sannidhi Gramom, Shencottah, as a service inam in supersession of G. O. dated the 26th June 1907 ... | ,, | ,, |

| Serial No. | Description | Vol. | Page |
|---|---|---|---|
| 744 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 124 dated the 27th January 1908 stating that the Government should not undertake the settlement of the Poonjat Edavaka ... ... ... ... | V | 1820 |
| 745 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 2283/229 dated the 28th January 1908/15th Makaram 1083 *in re.* the preparation of separate chittahs and taulaperes for Inam lands for which titledeeds are issued ... ... ... ... ... ... | " | 1821 |
| 746 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 2298/329 dated the 28th January 1908/15th Makaram 1083 *in re.* the treatment of *ubhayam tirippu* ... ... ... ... ... ... | " | 1822 |
| 747 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 171 dated the 1st February 1908 stating that the system of apportioning the cost of boundary demarcation rateably on the assessment calls for no modification ... | " | 1823 |
| 748 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sudhanom No. 2530/122 dated the 10th February 1908/28th Makaram 1083 *in re.* the assessment of the lands belonging to the Sri Kurumba Devaswom owned by the Cranganore Chief ... ... ... ... ... ... | " | 1824 |
| 749 | Proceedings of Government No. 1458 dated the 11th February 1908 *in re.* the treatment of *tairippu* gardens in the Munambom or Pallipport Farm ... ... ... ... ... | " | 1825 |
| 750 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 2616/151 dated the 12th February 1908/30th Makaram 1083 *in re.* the fixing of *tarapila* on puduvads ... ... ... ... ... ... | " | 1828 |
| 751 | Statement of work done in the Settlement Central Office *in re.* the preparation of the settlement register of the properties belonging to Sirkar Devaswoms, up to the end of Makaram 1083 ... ... | " | 1829 |
| 752 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 2738/162 dated the 19th February 1908/7th Kumbham 1083 stating that the extra cess known as '*chuvattu velicheenna*' charged on the Peruvaram Devaswom wet lands in the Kottuvalli village of the Parur taluk may be retained on all but Patrom tenures ... ... ... ... | " | 1830 |
| 753 | Huzur letter No. 1868/L. R. dated the 20th February 1908 calling for opinion on the question of allowing remissions on fallows and reduction of assessment on wet lands cultivated with dry crops in the Samburvadakara, Klankad and Ayikadi manams of the Shencottah taluk ... ... ... ... ... ... | " | 1837 |
| 754 | Proceedings of Government No. 2216/L. R. dated the 23rd February 1908 dispensing with references to the forest department in cases of puduval registry made by the revenue department (English and Malayalam)... ... ... ... ... ... | " | 1839 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 755 | Huzur letter No. 2071/L. R. dated the 24th February 1908 stating that the Cochin Sirkar have been informed that their request for the cancellation of the assessment imposed on the lands of the Sri Kurumba temple belonging to the Cranganore Chief cannot be complied with ... ... ... ... ... | V | 1838 |
| 756 | Huzur letter No. 2447/L. R. dated the 6th March 1908 forwarding for remarks copy of Cochin Dewan's letter *in re.* the cancellation of the assessment imposed on the lands of the Sri Kurumba temple ... | ,, | 1839 |
| 757 | Government Notification No. 2847/L. R. dated the 12th March 1908 revising the *tadirila* rates for puduvals registered by the revenue department ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 1841 |
| 758 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 162 dated the 14th March 1908 requesting the issue of orders to the forest department to return the puduval cases referred to them from the settlement department as such reference has been declared unnecessary by G. O. No. 2216/L. R. dated the 23rd February 1908 ... ... ... ... | ,, | 1842 |
| 759 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 3145/177 dated the 18th March 1908/6th Meenam 1083 stating that alienated Jenmom lands liable to be converted into Kudijenmom and assessed with one-fourth parcom should be registered in the names of the actual occupants... ... | ,, | — |
| 760 | Huzur letter No. 2939/L. R. dated the 19th March 1908 stating that instructions have been issued fixing 4 fs. as the minimum rate of assessment for puduvals in the Nedumangad taluk ... ... | ,, | 1842 |
| 761 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 513 dated the 19th March 1908 stating that the remissions on fallows and reduction of assessment on the wet lands cultivated with dry crops asked for by the ryots of Sambuvadakara, Ayikudi and Klankad cannot be granted ... | ,, | — |
| 762 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 3161/236 dated the 19th March 1908/7th Meenam 1083 cancelling the registries made within the survey area of Amaravatikulam in the Periyar village recorded as poramboke at the survey ... ... ... ... | ,, | 1845 |
| 763 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 540 dated the 21st March 1908 recommending the withdrawal of the settlement department from the carrying out of the settlement of the Vanjipuzha Edavaka villages ... | ,, | 1846 |
| 764 | Proceedings of Government No. 3518/L. R. dated the 27th March 1908 withdrawing from the settlement of the Vanjipuzha Edavaka villages ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 1849 |
| 765 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 608 dated the 29th March 1908 *in re.* the treatment of the *panakadanna* lands belonging to Pattara Tampi in the Colachel village ... ... ... ... | ,, | 1852 |

| Serial No. | Description | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 766 | Huzur Sadhanom No. 3409/L. R. dated the 30th March 1908/18th Meenam 1083 calling for a report on the question of *karathaldhikaru* ... | V | 1855 |
| 767 | Proceedings of Government No. 3519/L. R. dated the 2nd April 1908 directing the forest department to return the puduval cases referred by the settlement department and to furnish the latter with complete and accurate lists of all settled and proposed reserves and sketches or maps relating to them ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 1858 |
| 768 | Proceedings of Government No. 3527/L. R. dated the 2nd April 1908 declining to comply with the requisition of the Poonjat Chief that arrangements be made for the settlement of his Edavaka lands along with the settlement of the Minachil taluk ... ... ... | „ | .. |
| 769 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 3390/193 dated the 3rd April 1908/22nd Meenam 1083 stating that pattahs for redeemable Kanapattom lease-holds should be granted to the tenant and not to the Jenmi ... ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 1859 |
| 770 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 3391/194 dated the 3rd April 1908/22nd Meenam 1083 *in re* above ... ... ... | „ | 1860 |
| 771 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter to the Superintendent, Cardamom Hills, No. 646 dated the 3rd April 1908, *in re*. the registry of lands within the catchment area of the Periyar ... ... ... | „ | .. |
| 772 | Proceedings of Government No. 2845/P. W. dated the 6th April 1908 passing orders on the Kodayar Special Officer's report *in re*. the levy of water-cess on the lands benefited by the Petchipara reservoir and connected works ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 1861 |
| 773 | Proceedings of Government No. 3822/L. R. dated the 7th April 1908 requesting the submission of proposals for the settlement of Sirkar and Sirkar Devaswom lands within the Poonjat Edavaka ... .. | „ | 1866 |
| 774 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 820 dated the 8th April 1908 *in re*. the treatment of the Sirkar Tripakudathu Devaswomvaka tanathu lands ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 1868 |
| 775 | Huzur letter No. 3666/L. R. dated the 9th April 1908 calling for a full report on *kuppuvaram* assignment, tracing its origin and development, and stating how the question has been dealt with by the settlement department in the several cases that must have come to notice at the time of settlement ... ... ... ... ... | .. | 1869 |
| 776 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 696 dated the 18th April 1908 referring for orders certain questions connected with the treatment of certain descriptions of Munambom Kuttakapattom lands ... ... | „ | .. |
| 777 | Huzur letter No. 4035/L. R. dated the 21st April 1908 forwarding for an expression of views the Conservator's letter No. 4025 dated the 3rd April 1908 *in re*. the registry of puduval lands ... ... | „ | 1874 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page |
|---|---|---|---|
| 778 | Proceedings of Government No. 1436/L. R. dated the 22nd April 1908 declining to allow the prayer of the ryots of Sambuvadakara, Ayikudi and Klankad for the grant of remissions for fallows and reduction of assessment on the wet lands cultivated with dry crops ... ... | V | 1875 |
| 779 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 749 dated the 24th April 1908 stating that settlement operations in the Vanjipuzha Idavaka villages have been stopped as directed and that the survey of the sub-divisions in the villages has not been commenced ... ... ... | " | 1877 |
| 780 | Huzur Sadhanom No. 1355/L. R. dated the 25th April 1908/13th Medam 1083 *in re.* the assessment leviable on certain Sripadom tarathu lands in the Kadapatnam pakudi ... ... ... | " | 1878 |
| 781 | Government Notification (Circular No. 1370/L. R. dated the 27th April 1908 stating that areas in excess of ayacut measurements treated as Sirkar tanathu by the settlement department should be given to the owners of adjoining lands (English and Malayalam) ... ... | " | 1879 |
| 782 | Huzur letter No. 1443/L. R. dated the 28th April 1908 *in re.* the waste lands near the Tottapally lock ... ... ... ... | " | 1880 |
| 783 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 772 dated the 1st May 1908 *in re.* the registry of puduvals ... ... ... ... | " | 1882 |
| 784 | Government Notification No. 1880/L. R. dated the 5th May 1908 cancelling the prohibition to the registry of puduval and poramboke lands affected by the Kodayar Project ... ... ... ... | " | 1883 |
| 785 | Huzur letter No. 5284/L. R. dated the 16th May 1908 sanctioning the proposals of the Settlement Dewan Peishkar contained in his letter No. 696 dated the 18th April 1908 *in re.* the treatment of certain descriptions of Munambom Kuttakapattom lands ... ... | " | 1884 |
| 786 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 4069/156 dated the 22nd May 1908/9th Idavam 1083 *in re.* the treatment of *Varathalchilavu* remission with special reference to the Alleppy Anantanarayanapuram Devaswom ... ... ... ... ... ... | " | " |
| 787 | Proceedings of Government No. 3522/L. R. dated the 25th May 1908 *in re.* the alteration of the kist months to Tulam and Vrichikam and Meenam and Medam for the Kanni and Kumbham crops respectively and the fixing of the same months for the payment of the garden tax ... ... ... ... ... ... | " | 1887 |
| 788 | Huzur letter No. 5743/L. R. dated the 28th May 1908 stating that there is no necessity for inserting as suggested by the Conservator of Forests a condition in the registry of puduval lands that, if the lands are subsequently found to be within settled or proposed reserves the registry will be cancelled ... ... ... ... | " | 1888 |

| Serial No. | Description | Vol. | Page |
|---|---|---|---|
| 789 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 998 dated the 5th June 1908 submitting the scheme of assessment for the villages in the Anchmana tract ... ... ... ... ... ... | V | 1889 |
| 790 | Huzur letter No. 6094/L. R. dated the 8th June 1908 *in re.* the treatment of Tripakudathu Devaswom tanathu lands in the Vetchoor proverty ... ... ... ... ... ... | " | 1892 |
| 791 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1034 dated the 13th June 1908 submitting the consolidated block report of the Kunnattu taluk ... | " | 1893 |
| 792 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1082 dated the 15th June 1908 recommending the lands belonging to the Sri Kurumba Devaswom being treated as 'Sarvamanyam Kuzhuzhivu' ... ... | " | 1902 |
| 793 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1178 dated the 27th June 1908 *in re.* the waste lands near the Tottapally lock ... ... | " | 1901 |
| 794 | Government Notification No. 7285/L. R. dated the 29th June 1908 cancelling the provision in the Pokkuvaravu Rules laying down the sanction of Government as a necessary antecedent condition for the registration of titles in the names of Europeans and Americans (English and Malayalam) ... ... ... ... | " | 1903 |
| 795 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 4598/540 dated the 29th June 1908/16th Mithunam 1083 *in re.* the *tirppuvaram* due to the Anavaravuzhatha Pillayar temple in the Erattal taluk ... ... | " | " |
| 796 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 4623/501 dated the 30th June 1908/17th Mithunam 1083 stating that pattahs should be issued for the entire demand leviable on lands on which remission is allowed by way of *karathilchillavu*, information being given to the revenue department of the fact for taking action for the continuance or otherwise of the *karathilchillavu* arrangement ... ... ... ... | " | 1906 |
| 797 | Huzur letter No. 7374/L. R. dated the 6th July 1908 calling for information as to the survey numbers of the lands belonging to the Sri Kurumba temple and the pakuthes in which they are situate ... | " | 1907 |
| 798 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1266 dated the 6th July 1908 submitting his report on *Tirppuvaram* ... ... ... | " | " |
| 799 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1272 dated the 7th July 1908 *in re.* the grant of *tirppuvaram* on *nirthal* lands re-assigned by Government ... ... ... ... ... | " | 1911 |
| 800 | Huzur letter No. 7541/L. R. dated the 7th July 1908 stating that any further demarcation of the Sirkar and Sirkar Devaswom lands within the Poonjat Edavaka than what has been done by the survey department should be attended to by the settlement department after local enquiry and investigation ... ... ... ... | " | 1912 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 801 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No 1292 dated the 9th July 1908 submitting the scheme of assessment proposed for the *taiveppu* gardens in the Pallipoit Farm... ... ... ... ... | V | 1913 |
| 802 | Huzur letter No. 7921/L. R dated the 11th July 1908 sanctioning the rates of assessment proposed for the villages in the Anchanad tract and the exclusion of the tract from the operation of the notification requiring the levy of seigniorage on bamboos ... ... ... | " | 1915 |
| 803 | Cardamom Superintendent's letter to the Settlement Dewan Peishkar, No. 647 dated the 13th July 1908, *in re*, the registry of certain lands within the cardamom area of the Periyar ... ... ... | " | 1916 |
| 804 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1347 dated the 15th July 1908 stating that the land belonging to the Sri Kurumba Devaswom bears survey no. 98/1 and is situated in the Puthenchira village ... ... | " | " |
| 805 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 1971/293 dated the 21st July 1908/6th Karkidakam 1083 stating that pattahs for redeemable Kanipattom lease-holds should be issued in the names of the tenants... | " | 1917 |
| 806 | Proceedings of Government No. 9798/L. R dated the 2nd August 1908 fixing a uniform fee of 4 chs. for every demand notice issued under the Revenue Recovery Regulation ... ... ... ... | " | " |
| 807 | Government Notification No. 9152/L. R dated the 3rd August 1908 exempting the Anchanad tract from the operation of the notification requiring the levy of seigniorage on bamboos (English & Malayalam)... | " | 1918 |
| 808 | Huzur letter No. 9304/L. R dated the 5th August 1908 sanctioning the rates of assessment proposed for the *taiveppu* gardens in the Munambom Farm. ... ... ... ... ... | " | 1919 |
| 809 | Government Notification (Circular) No. 9647/L. R. dated the 8th August 1908 directing that applications for the assignment of poramboke should not be dismissed on the mere ground that the land applied for is poramboke, but that the land should be inspected by the Tahsildar and action taken to have it transferred to the head of assessed waste if registry thereof be unobjectionable or to prosecute the trespasser and eject him if the land has been entered upon ... ... ... | " | " |
| 810 | Proceedings of Government No. 10099/L. R. dated the 8th August 1908 abolishing the system of *karathibhattam* and substituting direct money payment from the treasury (English and Malayalam) ... | " | 1921 |
| 811 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1578 dated the 10th August 1908 *in re* the enfranchisement of the service inams endowed for *namaskara mazhuvadu* in the Tirumkara Devaswom ... ... | " | 1927 |
| 812 | Huzur letter No. 9951/L. R dated the 11th August 1908 calling for opinion regarding the remission of *Chala melpathi* in the Neyyattinkara taluk recommended by the Trivandrum Division Deputy Peishkar ... ... ... ... ... ... | " | 1929 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 813 | Proceedings of Government No. 6621/S. R. dated the 12th August 1908 raising the tax on the lands granted for coffee and tea cultivation to 12 annas an acre ... ... ... ... ... | V | 1929 |
| 814 | Proceedings of Government No. 10347/L. R. dated the 12th August 1908 fixing 4 fs. as the minimum rate of assessment on the puduvals in the Trivandrum and Padmanabhapuram Divisions and 5 fs. in the Quilon and Kottayam Divisions ... ... ... ... | .. | 1932 |
| 815 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1609 dated the 14th August 1908 stating that *Chala melpathi* cannot be remitted but should be rateably charged on the tanathu and alienated lands of the Jenmies by whom it has been made payable ... ... ... ... | .. | 1933 |
| 816 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1621 dated the 17th August 1908 submitting a detailed report on the assessment of the Anchanad tract ... ... ... ... ... ... | .. | 1931 |
| 817 | Huzur letter No. 10634 dated the 22nd August 1908 to the Deputy Peishkar, Trivandrum Division, stating that *Chala melpathi* in the Neyyattinkara taluk cannot be remitted ... ... ... | .. | 1942 |
| 818 | Huzur Docket No. 10768/L. R. dated the 22nd August 1908 forwarding copy of letter to the Cochin Dewan communicating the cancellation of the assessment imposed on the land owned by the Sri Kumaraswamy Devaswom ... ... ... ... ... ... | .. | — |
| 819 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1696 dated the 22nd August 1908 *in re Varathilchillavu* remission allowed in favour of Cherattamon Mooppan ... ... ... ... ... | .. | 1943 |
| 820 | Government Notification (Circular) No. 10981/L. R. dated the 27th August 1908 laying down that no application for puduval lands should be struck off without inspecting the locality or for default of appearance of parties ... ... ... ... ... | .. | 1945 |
| 821 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1768 dated the 29th August 1908/1st September 1908 submitting the consolidated scheme report of the Parur tank ... ... ... ... ... | .. | 1946 |
| 822 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1769 dated the 29th August 1908 recommending the Pandaravaka Attipere lands owned by Edi Mudaliar Kaki Mudaliar in the Shertallay taluk being dealt with under section 25 of the Settlement Royal Proclamation and charged with one-fourth or one-half the settlement assessment ... ... | .. | 1977 |
| 823 | Government Notification No. 10982/L. R. dated the 31st August 1908/16th Chingam 1084 stating in supersession of the notice dated the 9th December 1905 that no puduvals should in future be registered within a furlong of any railway station (English and Malayalam) ... | .. | 1955 |

| Serial No. | Description | Vol | Page |
|---|---|---|---|
| 824 | Huzur letter No. 11041/L. R. dated the 1st September 1908 stating that Government have waived the rule requiring the previous sanction of Government for the registration of titles in the names of Europeans and Americans ... ... ... ... ... | V | 1959 |
| 825 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1817 dated the 7th September 1908 submitting proposals for the commencement of settlement work in the Poonjat Edavaka in respect of Sirkar and Sirkar Devaswom lands ... | .. | 1960 |
| 826 | Proceedings of Government No. 11859/L. R. dated the 15th September 1908 *in re* the treatment of *Irrippuravum* (English and Malayalam) ... | .. | .. |
| 827 | Huzur letter No. 11841/L. R. dated the 18th September 1908 sanctioning the proposals for the settlement of the Sirkar and Sirkar Devaswom lands within the Poonjat Edavaka ... ... ... ... | .. | 1969 |
| 828 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1900 dated the 18th September 1908 stating that the request of the Karaikar of the Poonjat Chief for postponing settlement operations in the Poonjat village has been refused ... ... ... ... ... ... | .. | .. |
| 829 | Proceedings of Government No. 1263/L. R. dated the 27th September 1908 containing instructions for the guidance of land revenue officers in the matter of dealing with applications for poramboke lands ... | .. | 1970 |
| 830 | Huzur letter No. 12435/L. R. dated the 8th October 1908 sanctioning the Poodaravaka Attipett lands owned by Eah Mudaliar in the Shertallay taluk being charged with one-half pattom irrespective of the question whether the lands have been alienated or not ... ... ... | .. | 1971 |
| 831 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 2354 dated the 12th October 1908 submitting the consolidated block report of the Vaikam taluk ... | .. | .. |
| 832 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 2198 dated the 22nd/25th October 1908 requesting that instructions be issued to the officer representing Government to point out to the settlement department fully and satisfactorily the Sirkar and free-hold boundaries in connection with the settlement of the Sirkar and Sirkar Devaswom lands within the Poonjat village ... ... ... ... ... | .. | 1982 |
| 833 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 2208 dated the 25th October 1908 recommending the No. VII Party Officer, Mr. Krishna Aiyangar, being invested with powers under the Boundary Regulation in connection with the settlement of the Poonjar boundaries &c., in the Minnehil taluk ... ... ... ... ... ... | .. | 1986 |
| 834 | Government Notification (Circular) No. 13248/L. R. dated the 27th October 1908 stating that the settlement tax on all tanat anchuttah lands should be duly brought under current demand and that no such tax should be written off or cancelled, even in respect of lands forming the sites of Government buildings, without the previous sanction of Government ... ... ... ... ... | .. | 1987 |
| 835 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 2248 dated the 31st October 1908 submitting the consolidated block report of the Alungad taluk ... | .. | .. |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 336 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 2249 dated the 31st October 1908/2nd November 1908 submitting the consolidated block report of the Shertallay taluk ... ... ... ... ... | V | 2002 |
| 337 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 2256 dated the 3rd November 1908 submitting the consolidated block report of the Ettumanur taluk ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 2014 |
| 338 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 2268 dated the 4th November 1908 requesting cancellation of Huzur Sadhanom No. 9250 dated the 2nd November 1907 directing the unalienated Attipere lands of Eali Mudaliar Kaki Mudaliar being assessed with 1/8 pattom ... ... | ,, | 2024 |
| 339 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 71/C dated the 5th November 1908/20th Vrichikam 1084 stating that no puduval should be taken on the ground of excess over ayacut measurements when the holdings lie in the midst of registered properties ... ... ... | ,, | 2025 |
| 340 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 2295 dated the 7th November 1908 submitting the consolidated block report of the Todupuzha taluk... | ,, | 2026 |
| 341 | Huzur letter No. 13486/L. R. dated the 7th November 1908 sanctioning the No. VII Party Officer, Mr. Krishna Aiyangar, being invested with the powers under the Boundary Regulation ... ... | ,, | 2035 |
| 342 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 2330 dated the 10th November 1908 stating that the settlement of the Sirkar and Sirkar Devaswom lands in the Poonjat village is being delayed owing to the failure of the Minachil Tahsildar to furnish the settlement department with particulars of the lands... ... ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 343 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 2352 dated the 11th November 1908 *in re.* above ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 2036 |
| 344 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 2395 dated the 16th November 1908 recommending the Pandaravaka Attipere lands held by the Alleppey Anantanarayanapuram Devaswom being assessed with one-half pattom ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 2037 |
| 345 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 2413 dated the 18th November 1908 submitting the consolidated block report of the Minachil taluk ... | ,, | 2039 |
| 346 | Huzur letter No. 13821/L. R. dated the 18th November 1908 stating that the notification investing the No. VII Party Officer, Mr. Krishna Aiyangar, with the powers under the Boundary Regulation has already been published in the Gazette dated the 10th November 1908 ... ... | ,, | 2049 |
| 347 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 2416 dated the 28th November 1908 submitting the consolidated block report of the Muvattupuzha taluk ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 348 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 40/C dated the 28th November 1908 *in re.* the incorrect procedure followed by the revenue department in the matter of the recovery of arrears on the Munambon Kuttakapattom lands ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 2059 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 849 | Huzur letter No. 18841/L. R. dated the 1st December 1908 stating that the previous order of 1907 regarding the treatment of unalienated Attipere lands of Eali Mudaliar Kaki Mudaliar may be taken as modified by the subsequent order of 1908 ... ... ... ... | V | 2063 |
| 850 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's D. O. to the Dewan dated the 15th December 1908 requesting the issue of orders to the Minachil Tahsildar to supply the settlement department with lists of Sirkar and Sirkar Devaswom lands and other lands on which tax is due to or leviable by Government within the Poonjat village ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 851 | Huzur letter No. S. R. 9967 dated the 16th December 1908 forwarding copy of memorandum, No. S. R. 4470 dated the 30th August 1907, *in re.* the boundary between Vanjipuzha Edavaka and Sirkar lands marked by Athumban Todu ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 2064 |
| 852 | Proceedings of Government No. 15503 dated the 16th December 1908 *in re tirippuvaram* charged for *dwadasidharmam* in the Tazhakudi pakudi ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 2068 |
| 853 | Proceedings of Government No. 8 dated the 12th December 1908 *in re. tirippuvaram* claimed by Vishnu Sridharan Namboori of Mannar ... | ,, | 2070 |
| 854 | Huzur letter No. 15516/L. R. dated the 21st December 1908 extending the time for the payment of *tadivila* and *taravila* on puduvals up to the date of commencement of preparation of fair pattahs ... ... | ,, | 2071 |
| 855 | Proceedings of Government No. 15656/L. R. dated the 21st December 1908 re-affirming their Proceedings No. 3822/L. R. dated the 7th April 1908 and directing the revenue department to furnish the settlement department with the required lists for the settlement of the Sirkar and Sirkar Devaswom lands within the Poonjat village ... | ,, | ,, |
| 856 | Huzur letter No. 15743/L. R. dated the 29th December 1908 sanctioning the recommendation to assess with one-half pattom the Attipere lands held by the Alleppey Anantanarayanapuram Devaswom ... | ,, | 2074 |
| 857 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 170 dated the 19th January 1909 reporting, in reply to a reference from Government, that as the rates of *tadivila* and the conditions of puduval registry in force in the settlement department are more favourable than those obtaining in the revenue department and as the tariff and *kuttikanom* rates charged by the forest department are also higher than the settlement rates, the prayer of the Minachil and Todupuzha ryots for a reduction in the *tadivila* rates cannot be complied with ... ... ... | ,, | 2075 |
| 858 | Huzur Sadhanom No. 2462 dated the 10th February 1909 sanctioning the abolition of the '*swamistanam*' cess levied in the Kunnattur taluk ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 2076 |
| 859 | Huzur letter No. 2816/L. R. dated the 11th February 1909 stating that the rates of *tadivila* call for no modification in respect of the Minachil and Todupuzha taluks ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 2077 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 860 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 200/C dated the 25th February 1909 stating that the Minachil Tehsildar has not furnished the required lists and that the demarcation of the boundaries of the Sirkar and Sirkar Devaswom lands within the Poonjat village is in consequence not making any progress and suggesting the appointment of a special officer for conducting the case on the Government side ... ... | V | 2078 |
| 861 | Proceedings of Government No. 1375 dated the 4th March 1909 *in re.* the waste lands near the Tottapally lock ... ... ... | " | 2081 |
| 862 | Huzur Docket No. 1552/L. R. dated the 16th March 1909 calling for the papers connected with the treatment of Unkat Desom in the Kunnatnad taluk ... ... ... ... ... ... | " | 2083 |
| 863 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 588 dated the 16th March 1909 requesting that the necessary arrangements be made for the settlement department being furnished with lists of the Sirkar and Sirkar Devaswom lands within the Poonjat village as the Party Officer cannot proceed with the work of boundary demarcation without them ... | " | " |
| 864 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 623 dated the 19th March 1909 requesting orders whether the ayacut holdings lying within the Kunni Elam tract should be settled by the settlement department and if so to issue the necessary instructions for the survey records being supplied to the department ... ... ... ... | " | 2084 |
| 865 | Huzur letter No. 1933/L. R. dated the 21st March 1909 forwarding copy of letter to the Head Sirkar Vakil asking him to put the draft notification therewith sent regarding the appointment of Mr. Cheriyan, District and Sessions Judge, Nagercoil, as Boundary Settlement Officer for the settlement of the disputes regarding the lands within the Poonjat pakudi in proper form in consultation with the Settlement Dewan Peishkar ... ... ... ... ... ... | " | 2085 |
| 866 | Huzur letter No. 5071/L. R. dated the 24th March 1909 *in re.* above ... | " | 2086 |
| 867 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 643 dated the 24th March 1909 submitting a revised notification regarding the appointment of Mr. Cheriyan as Boundary Settlement Officer for the settlement of the disputes regarding the lands within the Poonjat pakudi ... ... | " | 2087 |
| 868 | Huzur letter No. 5125/L. R. dated the 3rd April 1909 *in re.* the settlement of the ayacut holdings within the Kunni Elam tract... ... | " | 2088 |
| 869 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 711 dated the 3rd/7th April 1909 recommending that the Pandravaka Attipere lands in the Alangad taluk be assessed with one-half pattom ... ... | " | 2089 |
| 870 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 712 dated the 3rd/7th April 1909 recommending that the Pandravaka Attipere lands in the Parur taluk be assessed with one-half pattom ... ... ... | " | " |
| 871 | Government Notification No. 5541/L. R. dated the 10th April 1909 *in re.* the appointment of Mr. Cheriyan as Special Settlement Officer for the adjudication of boundary disputes in the Poonjat village ... ... | " | 2090 |
| 872 | Huzur letter No. 5515/L. R. dated the 10th April 1909 communicating the appointment of Mr. Cheriyan as Poonjat Boundary Settlement Officer and stating that the survey and settlement parties may make the field survey and field settlement of the entire pakudi in accordance with the decision of the Special Officer and that the decision of the High Court may be awaited in regard to the dispute on the northern boundary of the pakadi ... ... ... ... | " | 2091 |

| Serial No. | Description | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 873 | Proceedings of Government No. 5647 dated the 10th April 1909 declaring that no claim for *thrippuvaram* can be recognised on *virthal* lands. | V | 2091 |
| 874 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 768 dated the 16th April 1909 submitting proposals for the assessment and registry of the lands in Anakalpatd and Keelapatd in the Amelmad tract commanded by the Talliar Right Bank channel ... ... ... ... | „ | 2092 |
| 875 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 795 dated the 21st April 1909 submitting the consolidated block report of the Kunnumad taluk ... | „ | 2094 |
| 876 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 829 dated the 21st/29th April 1909 reporting on the complaint of Pallam *re* the treatment of the lands of the Trikovil Devaswom ... ... ... ... | „ | 2111 |
| 877 | Proceedings of Government No. 7197 dated the 30th April 1909 restricting the levy of arrears on the puduvals registered by the revenue department to ten years and sanctioning the same being recovered in two or three instalments when they are claimed for more than 5 years ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 2114 |
| 878 | Huzur letter No 6682/L. R. dated the 1st May 1909 stating that copies of official correspondence cannot be given to parties ... ... | „ | 2115 |
| 879 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 873 dated the 6th May 1909 stating that the raising of the assessment on coffee lands to the level of that imposed on those granted for rubber cultivation will not be against the Settlement Royal Proclamation and Rules in force ... ... | „ | 2116 |
| 880 | Proceedings of Government No. 7352 dated the 9th May 1909 declaring that there shall be only one kist month for the punja crops in north Travancore *viz.* Idavam, instead of two as heretofore ... ... | „ | 2117 |
| 881 | Proceedings of Government No. 7506/L. R. dated the 9th May 1909 fixing the minimum *taravila* leviable on all lands reclaimed from the backwaters at Rs 25 per acre ... ... ... ... | „ | 2118 |
| 882 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 894 dated the 10th May 1909 recommending that the Pandaravaka Attipere lands as well as the Sirkar Tirumoozhikulathu Devaswomvaka Attipere lands in the Kunnatnad taluk be assessed with one-half puttom and requesting a general sanction for the Pandaravaka Attipere tenures in the taluks under settlement being assessed likewise with half-puttom ... | „ | 2119 |
| 883 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter to the No. VII Party Officer, No. 961, dated the 18th May 1909, stating that Perumpadappuvaka and Vadakkekovilakathu Tampanvaka Anubhogam properties should be taxed under the orginal tenure only ... ... ... | „ | 2120 |
| 884 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 961 dated the 18th/20th May 1909 recommending that a clause be entered in the pattahs issued for ayacut registries in the Kanikod pakuth on which rubber cultivation is now or is likely to be hereafter carried on to the effect that the lands will be taxable with rubber tax if utilised for the cultivation of that product ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 2121 |
| 885 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1197 dated the 23rd June 1909 *re* the treatment to be accorded to the lands of Perumpadappuvaka Anubhogam tenure ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 2122 |
| 886 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1201 dated the 23rd June 1909 recommending that Urakat Desom in the Kunnatnad taluk be treated as the fee-hold desom of Akavoor Nambooripad ... ... ... | „ | 2123 |

| Serial No. | Description | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 887 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1218 dated the 27th June 1909 recommending the issue of orders for the Sirkar dues in the Vazhakulam property being collected direct from the ryots by Sirkar agency from the date of introduction of the new rates as already decided by Government in their demi-official letter of the 12th May 1909 and the conversion of the *Iarathil-chilavu* due to the Elangalloor Swaroopam into a direct money payment from the treasury ... ... | V | 2135 |
| 888 | Huzur letter No. 8907/L. R. dated the 1st July 1909 sanctioning the ayacut registries in the Kurikod pakudi now found cultivated with rubber being dealt with in the same way, in regard to the imposition of rubber tax, as cherikal lands and a similar condition inserted in the pattahs granted for such lands at the settlement ... ... | .. | 2126 |
| 889 | Huzur letter No. 5118/P. W. dated the 6th July 1909 *re* the Teakoy estate and other lands acquired by Mr. R. D. Fenton in the Poonjar village ... ... ... ... ... ... | .. | 2127 |
| 890 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1315 dated the 10th July 1909 stating that as the settlement of the Poonjar village is being held in abeyance pending disposal of the boundary dispute by the Special Officer, the question of encroachment by Mr. R. D. Fenton may be referred to that officer for disposal ... ... ... ... | .. | 2130 |
| 891 | Revised Rules dated the 25th July 1909 for the maintenance of boundary and field demarcation marks ... ... ... ... | .. | 2131 |
| 892 | Huzur letter No. 10329 dated the 2nd August 1909 forwarding for report a revision petition presented on behalf of Edh Mudaliar Kaki Mudaliar regarding the increased assessment imposed on the Attipere lands held by the petitioner in the Shertallay taluk ... ... ... | .. | 2142 |
| 893 | Huzur letter No. 10330 dated the 2nd August 1909 forwarding for report a revision petition presented on behalf of the Anantanarayanapuram Devaswom regarding the increased assessment imposed on the Attipere lands held by the Devaswom in the Shertallay taluk ... | .. | .. |
| 894 | Huzur letter No. 10413/L. R. dated the 4th August 1909 *re* the *Iarathilchilavu* assignment due to Cheruttamma Nossan ... ... | .. | 2143 |
| 895 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1506 dated the 11th August 1909 reporting on the revision petition of Edh Mudaliar Kaki Mudaliar regarding the treatment of certain Attipere lands in the Shertallay taluk ... ... ... ... ... ... | .. | 2144 |
| 896 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1521 dated the 11th August 1909 reporting on the revision petition of the Alleppy Anantanarayanapuram Devaswom regarding the treatment of certain Attipere lands in the Shertallay taluk ... ... ... ... ... | .. | 2145 |
| 897 | Huzur letter No. 10743/L. R. dated the 12th August 1909 stating that instructions have been issued for the Sirkar dues in the Vazhakulam village being collected by the revenue department and for the *Iarathilchilavu* due to the Elangalloor Swaroopam being commuted into a direct money payment from the treasury ... ... ... | .. | 2146 |
| 898 | Huzur letter No. S. R. 5630 dated the 13th August 1909 stating that the ayacut holdings within the Kanni Elam tract should be settled by the settlement department as soon as the survey of the lands is completed... | .. | 2147 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 899 | Proceedings of Government No. 14110/L. R. dated the 22nd August 1909 withdrawing the privilege of fallow remission granted on certain rain-fed and channel-fed wet lands in Nanchanad ... ... | V | 2150 |
| 900 | Huzur letter No. 11792/L. R. dated the 9th September 1909 sanctioning the treatment of Urakat Desom in the Kunnatnad taluk as the Desa-ozhiva of Akaivoor Nambooripad ... ... ... ... | ,, | 2151 |
| 901 | Proceedings of Government No. 13817/L. R. dated the 30th October 1909 directing that in regard to every pudiwal registry made by the revenue department subsequent to Dhanu 1085 a sketch drawn to scale and specifying the correct boundaries and measurements of the land be prepared by the Tahsildar, signed by him and appended to the *ozhiyoo* of the lands ... ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 902 | Huzur letter No. 14274/L. R. dated the 8th November 1909 *in re.* the treatment to be accorded to the Cochin Sirkar Ambhogam lands ... | ,, | 2153 |
| 903 | Huzur letter No. S. R. 7501 dated the 9th November 1909 forwarding the survey records of the ayacut holdings in the Kanni Elam tract for carrying out their settlement ... ... ... ... | ,, | 2154 |
| 904 | Huzur letter No. 13925/L. R. dated the 10th November 1909 *in re.* the treatment of certain Pandaravaka *panakadama* lands in the Colachel village ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 2158 |
| 905 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1877 dated the 22nd November 1909 reporting on a petition of Pallath Achan *in re.* the settlement of the lands within the Paruyakat Desom ... ... ... | ,, | 2159 |
| 906 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1878 dated the 22nd November 1909 *in re.* above ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 2164 |
| 907 | Proceedings of Government No. 14473/L. R. dated the 23rd November 1909 cancelling the orders imposing half-pattom assessment on the Attipere lands of Vettakal Mudalan and directing their being assessed with 1/8 pattom ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 2166 |
| 908 | Huzur letter No. 14444/L. R. dated the 26th November 1909 *in re.* the registration of the agreement regarding Munro Island ... ... | ,, | 2168 |
| 909 | Proceedings of Government No. 15038/L. R. dated the 8th December 1909 sanctioning the proposals of the Settlement Dewan Peishkar regarding the assessment and registry of the lands commanded by the Talliar Right Bank channel in the Anchanad tract ... ... | ,, | 2170 |
| 910 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1934 dated the 12th December 1909 *in re.* the treatment of certain Pandaravaka *panakadama* lands in the Colachel village ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 2171 |
| 911 | Proceedings of Government No. 170/L. R. dated the 9th January 1910 cancelling the order imposing half-pattom assessment on the Attipere lands in the Shertallay taluk held by the Alleppey Anantanarayana-puram Devaswom and directing the lands being assessed with rajabhogam amounting to one-eighth of the pattom as in the past ... | ,, | 2172 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 912 | Proceedings of Government No. 1150/L. R. dated the 19th February 1910 *in re* the settlement of the lands in the Quilon Cantonment ... | V | 2174 |
| 913 | Proceedings of Government No. 2331/L. R. dated the 9th March 1910/ 1st April 1910 directing that the Pandaravaka *panakadama* wet lands held by Parava Tampi in the Colachel village be assessed wholly in money at 6 chs. per parah of paddy ... ... ... | " | 2178 |
| 914 | Huzur letter No. 2782/L. R. dated the 16th April 1910 enquiring whether the Pandaravaka Attipere properties in the taluks of Paravur, Kunnatnad and Alangad are not of the same nature as the same class of lands in the Shertallay taluk and should not be treated on the same lines as the latter ... ... ... ... ... | " | 2180 |
| 915 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 310 dated the 19th April 1910 stating that the Pandaravaka Attipere lands in the taluks of Paravur, Alangad and Kunnatnad are of the same nature as the same class of lands in the Shertallay taluk and may be similarly treated ... | " | " |
| 916 | Proceedings of Government No. 4033/L. R. dated the 6th May 1910 directing that in the case of unregistered Sirkar wet lands to be registered as puduvals hereafter the system of calculating the assessment on a paddy basis may continue, but that the rate of conversion for the whole of the paddy assessment shall be uniformly at 11 chs. per parah, throughout the State, from the 1st Idavam 1085 ... ... | " | 2181 |
| 917 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 433 dated the 25th May 1910 communicating the registration of the agreement of Munro Island and forwarding the original agreement and two copies of the plan ... | " | 2182 |
| 918 | Government Notification (Circular) dated the 31st May 1910 prohibiting the registry of lands within 20 feet on either side of the central line of public roads ... ... ... ... ... | " | 2194 |
| 919 | Revised Rules dated the 1st June 1910 for the maintenance of demarcation marks. (with Government Notification No. 5671/L. R. dated the 30th June 1910) ... ... ... ... ... | " | 2195 |
| 920 | Huzur letter No. 4756/L. R. dated the 3rd June 1910 stating that the Attipere lands in the taluks of Paravur, Alangad and Kunnatnad may be assessed with 1/8 pattom as in the case of similar lands in the Shertallay taluk ... ... ... ... ... | " | 2206 |
| 921 | Proceedings of Government No. 5006/L. R. dated the 11th June 1910 fixing Rs. 25 as the minimum *vilayartham* per acre leviable on reclamations from rivers and canals ... ... ... ... | " | " |
| 922 | Royal Proclamation dated the 17th June 1910/3rd Mithunam 1085 fixing in modification of section 27 of the Settlement Proclamation of 1061, ten times the Pandarapattom assessment as the *vilayartham* leviable on the Palliport Farm Kuttakapattom lands for their conversion into the Pandarapattom tenure and sanctioning the recovery thereof along with the kist in twenty yearly instalments beginning with 1086, provided that the concession shall not apply to lands which have been converted into Pandarapattom before the issue of this Proclamation or to the *tairuppu* or converted gardens governed by G. O. No. 1458/L. R. dated the 11th February 1908 (English and Malayalam)... | " | 2207 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 923 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 496 dated the 28th June 1910 forwarding a statement showing the corrections necessitated by the agreement relating to Munro Island ... ... ... | V | 2209 |
| 924 | Proceedings of Government No. 7570/L. R. dated the 29th July 1910 fixing a minimum *vilayathum* of Rs. 100 per acre for all occupied unregistered Sirkar lands within the towns of Trivandrum, Nagercoil, Quilon, Alleppey and Kottayam and directing all unoccupied Sirkar lands within the said limits being disposed of by public auction at an upset price of 100 Rs. per acre ... ... ... ... | ,, | 2276 |
| 925 | Royal Proclamation dated the 3rd October 1910/17th Kanni 1086 raising the tax on lands granted for coffee and tea cultivation from 12 annas to one rupee per acre and that on all grass lands from 4 annas to 5 annas per acre and declaring that they shall remain unaltered until the next general revenue settlement of the State (English and Malayalam) ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 2278 |
| 926 | Proceedings of Government No. 11229/L. R. dated the 6th November 1910 withdrawing the concession of allowing partial remission of the tax on manja lands cultivated with punja dry crops in nine villages of Nanchanad in respect of the lands lying within the area commanded by the Kuhayar Project ... ... ... ... | ,, | 2280 |
| 927 | Government Notification No. 12341/L. R. dated the 17th November 1910 regarding the cession of Godthruthu to Cochin (English and Malayalam) ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 2292 |
| 928 | Proceedings of Government No. 10/L. R. dated the 6th February 1911 rejecting the petition of Palkeh Achan regarding the treatment of the lands belonging to the Trikovil Devaswom owned by the petitioner... | ,, | 2294 |
| 929 | Extract from the Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 81 dated the 6th March 1911 *in re* the compilation of certain additional statistics for the final report ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 2295 |
| 930 | Proceedings of Government No. 2082/L. R. dated the 6th March 1911 fixing Rs. 3 per acre as the minimum *vilayathum* leviable on all puduvals generally and declaring that when lands have to be disposed of by public auction under the Puduval Rules the upset price shall be Rs. 3 (three) per acre ... ... ... ... | ,, | 2296 |
| 931 | Proceedings of Government No. 2706/L. R. dated the 17th March 1911 passing orders on the report of the Special Settlement Officer regarding the Poonjat boundary dispute ... ... ... ... | ,, | 2297 |
| 932 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 124 dated the 6th April 1911 *in re* the printing and distribution of taluk ayacuts ... ... | ,, | 2302 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 933 | Extract from Huzur letter No. 3033/L. R. dated the 7th April 1911 *in re* the compilation of certain additional statistics for the final report ... | V | 2303 |
| 934 | Proceedings of Government No. 3202/L. R. dated the 8th April 1911 fixing 5 fs. per acre as the minimum rate of assessment leviable on all puduvals registered under the Puduval Rules from the 1st Medam 1086 throughout the State ... ... ... ... ... | " | .. |
| 935 | Proceedings of Government No. 3427/L. R. dated the 16th April 1911 cancelling the Cherikal Rules and the notifications relating to *mala-waram* and *vilameladi* assessment and directing that all cherikals and other lands available for registry be hereafter dealt with under the Puduval Rules except those for the cultivation of special products ... | " | 2304 |
| 936 | Proceedings of Government No. 20/L. R. dated the 6th May 1911 *in re* the treatment of the lands in the Parayakat Desom .. ... | " | 2305 |
| 937 | Huzur letter No. 4285/L. R. dated the 24th May 1911 *in re* the printing of the taluk ayacuts ... ... ... ... ... | " | 2308 |
| 938 | Huzur Sadhanom to the Kottayam Division Peishkar, No. 2095 dated the 9th June 1911/27th Idavam 1086, enfranchising the service inam lands endowed for *namaskara vazhivadu* in the Sirkar Tirunakara temple ... ... ... ... ... ... | " | 2309 |
| 939 | Proceedings of Government No. 3038/S. R. dated the 18th June 1911 *in re* the reservation of forest areas ... ... ... ... | " | 2311 |
| 940 | Proceedings of Government No. 5917/L. R. dated the 7th July 1911 *in re* the Vazhukampara Estate ... ... ... ... | " | 2315 |
| 941 | Proceedings of Government No. 8146/L. R. dated the 13th September 1911 cancelling the *chiravari* levied on the wet lands within the Parur Bund with effect from the 1st Chingam 1087 ... ... | " | 2318 |
| 942 | Huzur letter No. 8310/L. R. dated the 5th October 1911 sanctioning the abolition of the *manushya pattam* cess charged on the Cheranallur Devaswom in the Kunnatnad taluk ... ... ... | " | 2321 |
| 943 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 336 dated the 17th November 1911 *in re* the printing of the State Ayacut ... ... ... | " | .. |
| 944 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 371 dated the 21st November 1911 *in re* above ... ... ... ... ... | " | 2322 |
| 945 | Huzur letter No. 9835/L. R. dated the 28th November 1911 sanctioning 500 copies of the State Ayacut being struck off at the Government Press ... ... ... ... ... ... | " | 2323 |

# II. CHERIKAL SETTLEMENT.

# II. CHERIKAL SETTLEMENT.


| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 946 | Government Notification dated July 1865/24th Mithunam 1040 prohibiting the clearing of jungle containing timber trees of 10 (angulam) vannams and above for malavaram cultivation ... | VI | 1 |
| 947 | Government Notification Jamabundy No. 5674 dated April 1870/25th Meenam 1045 *in re.* the taking up of cherikal lands for malavaram cultivation ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 2 |
| 948 | Government Notification Jamabundy No. 4167 dated March 1881/6th Meenam 1056 *in re.* the taking up of cherikal lands for malavaram cultivation ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 949 | Government Notification Jamabundy No. 5786 dated the 6th February 1884/25th Makaram 1059 fixing the assessment on paddy cultivation on cherikals at 2 fs. per parah of 128 perukoms for Pandaravaka cherikals and one fanam for Jenmi cherikals ... ... ... | ,, | 3 |
| 950 | Government Notification (Circular) Jamabundy No. 1894 dated the 10th October 1884/26th Kanni 1060 fixing the assessment on ginger cultivation on cherikals at 2 fs. per parah of 128 perukoms for Pandaravaka cherikals and one fanam for Jenmi cherikals ... | ,, | 4 |
| 951 | Government Notification Jamabundy No. 5034 dated the 17th March 1887/5th Meenam 1062 fixing the assessment on sugar-cane cultivation on cherikals at 7½ fs. per parah of 64 perukoms for Pandaravaka cherikals, 5⅝ fs. for Adiyarapattom cherikals and 3¾ fs. for Jenmi cherikals ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 5 |
| 952 | Government Notification dated the 12th May 1887/31st Medom 1062 fixing the assessment on cherikals cultivated with other grains than paddy at 1½ fs. per parah of 128 perukoms for Pandaravaka cherikals and ¾ fanam for Jenmi cherikals ... ... ... ... | ,, | 6 |
| 953 | Government Notification No. 6909 dated the 9th May 1888/28th Medam 1063 fixing the assessment on cherikals cultivated with plantains, yams, tapioca and other roots at 2 fanams per parah of 128 perukoms for Pandaravaka cherikals, 1½ fanams per parah for Adiyarapattom cherikals and 1 fanam per parah for Jenmi cherikals ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 954 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 1061 dated the 11th February 1903/29th Makaram 1078 stating that in levying back arrears on cherikals registered by the settlement department allowance should be made for the malavaram tax realised from the registry holders by the revenue department ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 7 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 956 | Dewan's letter No A./Rev. dated the 26th April 1906 declaring that the ownership of Thokad cherikal in the Minachil taluk vests in the Sirkar ... ... ... ... ... ... | VI | 8 |
| 956 | Huzur letter No 535/Pol. dated the 15th June 1905 forwarding a copy of the Inspection Notes of the Dewan's tour to the High Ranges and drawing attention to the portions relating to settlement work. (with extract of Inspection Notes relating to cherikal settlement) ... | " | 9 |
| 957 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 171 dated the 1st August 1905/ 17th Karkadakam 1080 directing the settlement of cherikals being held in abeyance pending the framing of special rules ... ... | " | 11 |
| 958 | Proceedings of Government No. 4692/R. 1874 dated the 21st March 1906 laying down the conditions on which lands may be granted for rubber cultivation... ... ... ... ... ... | " | " |
| 959 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's D O. to the Conservator of Forests dated the 28th August 1906 requesting an expression of his views regarding the grant of concessions to ryots in respect of valuation of trees on cherikal lands before they are registered ... ... ... | " | 13 |
| 960 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's D. O. dated the 29th August 1906 to the Division Peishkars of Quilon and Kottayam and the Settlement Party Officers requesting information on certain points connected with cherikal cultivation and its special features ... ... ... | " | " |
| 961 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1255 dated the 3rd September 1906 stating that the appointment of a special officer for submitting proposals for cherikal settlement is unnecessary and that he would do the same shortly ... ... ... ... ... | " | 14 |
| 962 | Huzur letter No 15048/R. 6424 dated the 7th September 1906 approving of the action taken by the Settlement Dewan Peishkar in the matter of collecting materials for submitting proposals for cherikal settlement and asking for the same being sent up at an early date ... | " | 15 |
| 963 | No. IV Party Officer Mr. Ayyadurai Aiyar's D. O. to the Settlement Dewan Peishkar dated the 23rd September 1906 submitting the information called for about cherikal settlement ... ... | " | |
| 964 | No. III Party Officer Mr. Padmanabhan Tampi's D. O. to the Settlement Dewan Peishkar dated the 3rd October 1906 submitting the information called for in regard to the cherikals in his Party ... ... | " | 21 |
| 965 | No. VI Party Officer Mr. Sooriperumal Pillai's report No. 72/2.3 dated the 3rd October 1906/17th Kanni 1082 submitting his opinion regarding the rules to be framed for cherikal settlement ... ... | " | 22 |
| 966 | No. VII Party Officer Mr. Kesava Aiyar's D. O. to the Settlement Dewan Peishkar dated the 29th October 1906 submitting his views in re cherikal settlement ... ... ... ... ... | " | 25 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 967 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's D. O. dated the 12th November 1906 to the Division Peishkars of Quilon and Kottayam and Party Officers Nos. III to VII asking for certain information about *desaazhiva* cherikals ... | VI | 28 |
| 968 | No. V Party Officer Mr. Krishna Aiyangar's letter No. 59 dated the 14th November 1906 *in re.* the settlement of cherikals ... ... | „ | „ |
| 969 | No. III Party Officer Mr. Padmanabhan Tampi's D. O. to the Settlement Dewan Peishkar dated the 15th November 1906 *in re. desaazhiva* cherikals in his Party ... ... ... ... | „ | 29 |
| 970 | No. V Party Officer Mr. Krishna Aiyangar's letter No. 70 dated the 21st November 1906 *in re. desaazhiva* cherikals in his Party ... ... | „ | „ |
| 971 | Kottayam Division Peishkar Mr. Sankara Menon's D. O. to the Settlement Dewan Peishkar dated the 29th November 1906 forwarding his opinion *in re.* rules for the assessment of cherikal lands ... ... | „ | 30 |
| 972 | No. VI Party Officer Mr. Souriperumal Pillai's D. O. to the Settlement Dewan Peishkar dated the 2nd December 1906/17th Vrichikam 1082 *in re. desaazhiva* cherikals in his Party ... ... ... | „ | 35 |
| 973 | Kottayam Dewan Peishkar Mr. Sankara Menon's D. O. to the Settlement Dewan Peishkar dated the 3rd December 1906 regarding *desaazhiva* cherikals ... ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 36 |
| 974 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1850 dated the 6th December 1906 reporting the cause of delay in submitting proposals for cherikal settlement ... ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 37 |
| 975 | No. VII Party Officer Mr. Kesava Aiyar's letter No. 124 dated the 7th December 1906 *in re. desaazhiva* cherikals in his Party ... ... | „ | „ |
| 976 | Conservator's letter to the Settlement Dewan Peishkar No. 2111 dated the 20th December 1906 *in re.* valuation of trees in cherikals ... | „ | 38 |
| 977 | Quilon Dewan Peishkar Mr. Kesava Pillai's D. O. to the Settlement Dewan Peishkar dated the 25th December 1906 *in re.* rules for cherikal settlement ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 39 |
| 978 | Quilon Dewan Peishkar Mr. Kesava Pillai's D. O. to the Settlement Dewan Peishkar dated the 25th December 1906 *in re. desaazhiva* cherikals ... ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 42 |
| 979 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's D. O. dated the 28th December 1906 to the No. III Party Officer *in re.* cherikals in the Kunnattur taluk ... | „ | „ |
| 980 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 31 dated the 6th/7th January 1907 submitting proposals for the settlement of cherikal lands ... | „ | 43 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 981 | Proceedings of Government No. 949/R. dated the 13th February 1907 passing orders on the proposals of the Settlement Dewan Peishkar for the settlement of cherikals ... ... ... ... | VI | 50 |
| 982 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 363 dated the 21st February 1907 submitting draft rules for the settlement of cherikals ... ... | „ | 52 |
| 983 | Huzur letter No. 1649/L. R. dated the 5th March 1907 communicating for further views certain observations on the draft rules for cherikal settlement ... ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 54 |
| 984 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. C/2 dated the 11th March 1907 submitting his remarks on the observations on the draft rules for cherikal settlement ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 55 |
| 985 | Huzur letter No. 2363/L. R. dated the 30th March 1907 forwarding copy of the Cherikal Settlement Rules as finally passed by Government (with copy of the Rules) ... ... ... ... ... | „ | „ |
| 986 | Proceedings of Government No. 3919/L. R. dated the 13th May 1907 *in re* the imposition of rubber tax on cherikals used for rubber cultivation ... ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 58 |
| 987 | Huzur Docket No. 4440/L. R. dated the 29th May 1907 forwarding copy of the endorsement granted by Government on a petition praying for the revision of the Cherikal Rules, declining re-consideration of the matter. | „ | 59 |
| 988 | No. VII Party Officer Mr. Kesava Aiyar's letter No. 168 dated the 30th May 1907 stating that cherikal settlement may be conducted along with the general settlement in the taluks of his Party ... ... | „ | 63 |
| 989 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1279 dated the 22nd June 1907 *in re.* the registry in the names of single individuals of cherikals embracing more than 100 acres in one block and the disposal of Pandaravaka cherikals in auction ... ... ... ... | „ | 64 |
| 990 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's D. O. dated the 22nd June 1907 reporting on a memorial presented by Messrs. Sri Kumaran Chennan Namburi and others *in re.* cherikal settlement ... ... ... | „ | 67 |
| 991 | Proceedings of Government No. 6132/L. R. dated the 6th July 1907 passing orders on the above memorial ... ... ... | „ | 70 |
| 992 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1416 dated the 13th July 1907 *in re.* certain corrections in the vernacular translation of the Cherikal Settlement Rules published in the Gazette ... ... ... | „ | 72 |
| 993 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1440 dated the 15th July 1907 *in re.* the imposition of rubber tax on cherikal lands ... ... | „ | 73 |
| 994 | Huzur letter No. 6372/L. R. dated the 15th July 1907 stating that cherikals embracing more than 100 acres may be registered in the names of single individuals with the previous sanction of Government and that a clause may be added in such registries to the effect that the lands will be liable to the imposition of higher rates of tax if cultivated with special products and approving of the proposal to auction Sirkar cherikals, an exception being made in the case of Pulayars, Pariahs &c., and *bonâ fide* settlers ... ... ... ... | „ | 75 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 995 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 3784/345 dated the 26th July 1907/11th Karkadakam 1082 stating that the lands originally treated as cherikals but which have since changed their character and are in every way comparable to ordinary lands should be dealt with like the latter class of property in the matter of assessment ... ... | VI | 76 |
| 996 | Huzur letter No. 6926/L. R. dated the 27th July 1907 *in re.* the liability to rubber tax of small cherikal holdings when cultivated with rubber ... ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 77 |
| 997 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1600 dated the 1st/3rd August 1907 stating that the condition as to liability to the imposition of rubber tax should be extended to all holdings registered under the Cherikal Settlement Rules ... ... ... ... | „ | „ |
| 998 | Huzur letter No. 7852/L. R. dated the 28th August 1907 sanctioning the proposal to extend to all holdings registered under the Cherikal Settlement Rules the condition as to liability to the imposition of rubber tax when cultivated with rubber ... ... ... ... | „ | 78 |
| 999 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1309 dated the 22nd July 1908 *in re.* the assessment of cherikal lands registered by the revenue department on 1/8 pattom after the passing of the Cherikal Settlement Rules ... ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 79 |
| 1000 | Huzur letter No. S. R. 6786 dated the 25th August 1908 requesting to arrange for the inquiry connected with the Noorvakad Devaswom cherikals being stayed until further orders ... ... ... | „ | 80 |
| 1001 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 247/7 dated the 31st August 1908/16th Chingam 1084 *in re.* Mukkanjiram cherikal in the Matapalli pakudi ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 84 |
| 1002 | Proceedings of Government No. 11421 dated the 2nd September 1908 stating that cherikals registered on 1/8 pattom by the revenue department after the passing of the Cherikal Settlement Rules may be charged with 1/2 pattom by the settlement department ... ... | „ | 85 |
| 1003 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1832 dated the 12th September 1908 stating that the inquiry into the Noorvakad Devaswom cherikals has been stopped as directed ... ... ... ... | „ | 86 |
| 1004 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 2013 dated the 30th September 1908 submitting the scheme of assessment for the cherikal lands in the Kumbazha village, Chengamnur taluk ... ... ... | „ | 87 |
| 1005 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 2019 dated the 30th September 1908 requesting orders whether *taravila* and *tadiolla* should be levied on Jenmi cherikals and if so, at what rates, and whether in the event of their non-payment, the lands may be treated as "assessed waste at the disposal of Government" ... ... ... | „ | 88 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1006 | Huzur letter No. 13102/L. R. dated the 20th October 1908 sanctioning the scheme of assessment proposed for the cherikal lands in the Kumbazha village ... ... ... ... ... | VI | 90 |
| 1007 | Proceedings of Government No. 14775/L. R. dated the 20th November 1908 *in re.* Mukkanjiram cherikal in the Matapalli pakudi ... | ,, | ,, |
| 1008 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 2444 dated the 22nd November 1908 requesting the issue of orders to the forest department not to make valuations of reserved trees on lands falling within the province of settlement operations and applying for the sanction of Government for credit being allowed to applicants for the amounts realised under *tadivila* by the forest department in respect of the lands applied for by them and for recovering the excess only, if any ... ... | ,, | 92 |
| 1009 | Proceedings of Government No. 14627/L. R. dated the 22nd November 1908 exempting Jenmi cherikals from liability to *taravila* and *tadivila* ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 93 |
| 1010 | Dewan's D. O. dated the 11th December 1908 *in re.* the recovery of *tadivila* and *taravila* on cherikals by instalments when the amounts exceed a certain limit ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 94 |
| 1011 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's D. O. dated the 13th December 1908 stating that it is inadvisable to lay down any rule sanctioning the recovery of *tadivila* and *taravila* on cherikals by instalments, but that a concession may be allowed, as is being now done, for the payment on this account being accepted till the date of commencement of preparation of fair pattahs in modification of the rule requiring payment within three days of intimation ... ... ... | ,, | 95 |
| 1012 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's D. O. dated the 14th December 1908 reporting the number of trees in, and the extent of, the cherikals in the Changanachery taluk ... ... ... ... | ,, | 96 |
| 1013 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 79/C. dated the 14th December 1908 recommending the exemption from *taravila* and *tadivila* of Pandaravaka cherikals on which only half or less than the Pandarapattom tax has been hitherto levied or was leviable ... ... | ,, | 97 |
| 1014 | Huzur letter No. 15516/L. R. dated the 21st December 1908 extending the time for payment of *taravila* and *tadivila* on cherikals and puduvals up to the date of commencement of preparation of fair pattahs. | ,, | ,, |
| 1015 | Huzur letter No. 12/L. R. dated the 4th January 1909 stating that the Forest department has been instructed not to make valuations of trees standing on lands falling within the province of settlement operations and that credit may be allowed to the applicants for the *tadivila* paid by them to the forest department in respect of the trees standing on the lands applied for by them provided that the settlement valuation exceeds the price fixed by the forest department ... ... | ,, | 98 |
| 1016 | Huzur letter No. 1299/L. R. dated the 19th January 1909 calling for a report *in re.* Mukkanjiram cherikal lands in the Matapalli pakudi ... | ,, | 99 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1017 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 187 dated the 1st February 1909 *in re.* the treatment of certain Anubhogam cherikals claimed as wholly tax-free by Tuppan Suryan Bhattatiripad of Kaladi Manakal ... | VI | 99 |
| 1018 | Huzur letter No. 1896/L. R. dated the 4th February 1909 sanctioning the exemption from payment of *taravila* and *tadivila* of Pandaravaka cherikals held on favourable tenures ... ... ... | „ | 100 |
| 1019 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. C. 127 dated the 9th February 1909 *in re.* the settlement of cherikal viruthies ... ... | „ | 101 |
| 1020 | Huzur letter No. 4089/L. R. dated the 5th March 1909 sanctioning the cherikals claimed as wholly rent-free by Tuppan Suryan Bhattatiripad of Kaladi Manakal being treated as Jenmi cherikal under the Cherikal Settlement Rules ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 102 |
| 1021 | Huzur letter No. 4485/L. R. dated the 15th March 1909 stating that the cherikal viruthi cases may be disposed of by the settlement department under the rules for viruthi settlement ... ... ... | „ | „ |
| 1022 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 589 dated the 17th March 1909 *in re.* Mukkanjiram cherikal... ... ... ... | „ | 103 |
| 1023 | Huzur letter No. S. R. 2218 dated the 25th March 1909 forwarding for opinion the Quilon Acting Dewan Peishkar's suggestion to leave all Pandaravaka cherikals unregistered until the forest department correctly demarcate the boundaries of all settled and proposed reserves and shew them on the village maps ... ... ... | „ | 105 |
| 1024 | Huzur letter No. 5990/L. R. dated the 20th April 1909 declining to reconsider the order already passed in regard to the claim of Elangallur Swaroopam to the assessment on the Mukkanjiram cherikal lands ... | „ | 106 |
| 1025 | Proceedings of Government No. 73 dated the 16th May 1909 dismissing the claim of Rani Kartha to certain cherikals in the Rani pakudi... | „ | 108 |
| 1026 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1051 dated the 31st May/3rd June 1909 requesting orders as to the treatment to be accorded to cherikals falling under (1) Pandaravaka Thettom tenure (2) Pandaravaka Adiyarapattom tenure and (3) Pandaravaka Thettom and Adiyarapattom tenures combined, in the Pampadi, Nedumkunnam and Kanjirapally villages ... ... ... ... ... | „ | „ |
| 1027 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1182 dated the 21st June 1909 stating that it would be enough if the registry of such of the Pandaravaka cherikals only as lie contiguous to the notified boundaries of reserves is deferred, pending correct demarcation of the reserve boundaries by the forest department ... ... ... | „ | 112 |
| 1028 | Proceedings of Government No. S. R. 4575 dated the 22nd June 1909 *in re.* Noonvakad Devaswom cherikals ... ... ... | „ | 120 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1029 | Huzur letter No 8869/L. R dated the 30th June 1909 sanctioning the proposals of the Settlement Dewan Peishkar regarding the treatment of Thettom, Adiyarapattom and Mukkoorn Thettom and Kalkoorn Adiyarapattom cherikals in the Pampadi, Nedumkunnam and Kanjirapally villages ... ... ... ... ... | VI | 132 |
| 1030 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1309 dated the 9th July 1909 requesting orders whether the settlement of the cherikals in the Kumbazha village may be proceeded with in accordance with the decision of the High Court declaring them as the Jenmom of Edavana Pandarathil ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 133 |
| 1031 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1327 dated the 13th July 1909 requesting orders whether the revision of assessment sanctioned in G. O. No. 11421 dated the 2nd September 1908 can be applied to cherikals registered by the revenue department on 1/8 pattom *prior* to the passing of the Cherikal Settlement Rules ... ... | ,, | 138 |
| 1032 | Huzur letter No S. R 5319 dated the 29th July 1909 stating that the settlement of Pandaravaka cherikals may be proceeded with except in regard to those lying contiguous to reserved forests ... ... | ,, | 139 |
| 1033 | Huzur letter No. 10418/L. R. dated the 4th August 1909 stating that G. O. No. 11421 dated the 2nd September 1908 will not apply to lands registered by the revenue department before the passing of the Cherikal Settlement Rules ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 1034 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1550 dated the 28th August 1909 *in re.* the settlement of cherikals in the Kumbazha village. ... | ,, | 140 |
| 1035 | Huzur Docket No. S. R. 6061 dated the 29th August 1909 stating that the settlement of the cherikals in the Kumbazha village may be held in abeyance ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 141 |
| 1036 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1622 dated the 19th September 1909 submitting a consolidated report on the assessment of the cherikal lands in the Todupuzha taluk ... ... ... ... | ,, | 143 |
| 1037 | No. IV Party Officer Mr. Kesava Aiyar's letter No. 103 dated the 4th October 1909 stating that the cherikals claimed by the Nooruvakad Devaswom have been decided to belong to the Sirkar ... ... | ,, | 148 |
| 1038 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1779 dated the 3rd November 1909 *in re.* the assignment of malavaram claimed by Kaladi Manakal Bhattatiripad on certain cherikals in the Akalakunnam village ... | ,, | 149 |
| 1039 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1944 dated the 15th December 1909 submitting a consolidated report on the assessment of the cherikals in the Minachil taluk ... ... ... ... | ,, | 150 |
| 1040 | Huzur letter No. 15832/L. R. dated the 26th December 1909 rejecting the claim of Kaladi Manakal Bhattatiripad to the remission of the malavaram on certain cherikal lands in the Akalakunnam village ... | ,, | 151 |

| Serial No. | Description | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1041 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 15 dated the 8th January 1910 reporting on the scheme of assessment of the cherikal properties in the villages of the Muvattupuzha taluk ... ... ... | VI | 152 |
| 1042 | Huzur letter No. 184 L. R. dated the 18th January 1910 sanctioning the scheme report of the cherikals in the Muvattupuzha taluk ... | „ | 156 |
| 1043 | Proceedings of Government No. 13/L. R. dated the 4th March 1911 rejecting the claim of Messrs. John & Co., Alleppey, to the Perumpuzha and 8 other cherikals in the Todupuzha taluk ... ... ... | „ | 157 |
| 1044 | Proceedings of Government No. 3427/L. R. dated the 16th April 1911 abolishing the system of *malavaram* and *vilameladi* assessment and cancelling the rules on the subject, as also the Cherikal Settlement Rules dated the 23rd March 1907, and directing all cherikals and other Sirkar lands available for registry being dealt with under the ordinary Puduval Rules except those applied for for the cultivation of special products ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 158 |
| 1045 | Huzur Docket No. 8024/L. R. dated the 20th September 1911 forwarding copy of letter to the Kottayam Dewan Peishkar regarding the grant of certain cherikals in the Todupuzha taluk to Messrs. John & Co., Alleppey, for rubber cultivation ... ... ... | „ | 160 |

# III. VIRUTHI SETTLEMENT.

# III. VIRUTHI SETTLEMENT.

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1046 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 1187/98 dated the 30th April 1886/19th Medam 1061 recommending that the viruthi lands in the villages of Palkulangara and Kadakampalli of which the original grantees have died without heirs or which have been relinquished by the holders &c. be registered on the service tenure in the names of the occupants without *adiyara* and *arialam* as persons are not forthcoming to take up the lands in auction on the service tenure ... | VI | 161 |
| 1047 | Royal Proclamation (Viruthi) dated the 16th May 1886/4th Idavam 1061 (English and Malayalam) ... ... ... ... | ,, | 162 |
| 1048 | Huzur letter No. S. 150 dated the 5th June 1886 forwarding copy of the Viruthi Proclamation of 1061 and calling for the schedule of rates therein referred to and proposals for the revision of the system on the lines indicated therein ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 166 |
| 1049 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 57 dated the 14th July 1886 suggesting certain arrangements for carrying out the intentions of Government as laid down in the Viruthi Proclamation ... ... | ,, | 167 |
| 1050 | Government Notification regarding the appointment of a Committee for examining into the existing system of Nair Viruthies and for proposing for the consideration of Government measures for ameliorating and reforming the same in accordance with the Royal Proclamation dated the 4th Idavam 1061 ... ... ... ... | ,, | 169 |
| 1051 | Government Notification dated the 12th December 1887/28th Vrichikam 1063 relieving virutbicars from the obligation to supply provisions for the camp of the members of the Royal family on tour ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 1052 | Settlement Deputy Peishkar's Order No. 4168/188 dated the 31st January 1888/19th Makaram 1063 stating that registration enquiries in respect of viruthi lands may be held in abeyance though under the Proclamation they are liable to be dealt with like other lands in the matter of finding out the persons entitled to registry ... ... | ,, | 170 |
| 1053 | Government Notification dated the 13th April 1888/2nd Medam 1063 relieving viruthicars from the obligation to supply provisions and render personal service in connection with the tours of the Rajahs of of Mavelikara, Ennakad, &c., and of Koil Tampurans, Swamiyars and Namburipads ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 1054 | Report of the Viruthi Committee dated the 12th June 1889 ... | ,, | 171 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1055 | Government Notification No 10156 dated the 9th July 1889/27th Mithunam 1064 relieving viruthicars from the obligation to supply articles to the mofussil oottupurahs and dewaswoms and substituting the purchase system tentatively for a period of two years ... | VI | 184 |
| 1056 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 442 dated the 5th April 1893/24th Meenam 1068 *re* the disposal of the enfranchised viruthi lands in the Nellamon adhikaram of the Trivandrum taluk ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 1057 | Royal Proclamation dated the 7th August 1893/24th Karkadakam 1068 exempting the viruthicars completely and permanently from all obligations in respect of supplies and restricting the service to personal labour and to certain other demands of a customary nature, provided that the supply of provisions and materials in connection with the five annual festivals, the sexennial Murajapam and other ceremonies of an occasional and exceptional character at the Capital shall be continued to be made by the viruthicars (English and Malayalam). | ,, | 185 |
| 1058 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 478 dated the 26th February 1894/16th Kumbham 1069 stating that the viruthi service should be re-arranged as laid down in the Royal Proclamation and pattahs issued for the viruthi lands as for other properties and requesting to take steps for the scheme being worked out at the settlement... ... | ,, | 186 |
| 1059 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No 586 dated the 10th April 1894/29th Meenam 1069 appointing Mr. Padmanabha Naidu as Special Officer in charge of viruthi settlement in the taluks of Trivandrum and Chirayinkil under the control of the Settlement Dewan Peishkar ... | ,, | ,, |
| 1060 | Huzur Settlement Order No 587 dated the 10th April 1894/29th Meenam 1069 to Mr. Padmanabha Naidu appointing him as Special Officer in charge of viruthi settlement in the Trivandrum and Chirayinkil taluks under the orders of the Settlement Dewan Peishkar ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 187 |
| 1061 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Memo of instructions No. 81 dated the 17th April 1894/6th Medam 1069 *re* the settlement of viruthi lands. | ,, | 188 |
| 1062 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 7245/458 dated the 27th July 1894/13th Karkadakam 1069 reporting on the re-arrangement of the viruthi service in the Trivandrum taluk and requesting sanction to the proposals ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 190 |
| 1063 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No 1052/64 dated the 10th October 1894/25th Kanni 1070 reporting on the re-arrangement of the viruthi service in the Chirayinkil taluk and requesting sanction to the proposals ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 1064 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 270 dated the 21st November 1894/7th Virchikam 1070 laying down the lines on which the viruthi service should be re-arranged in the Trivandrum taluk and calling for further particulars on those lines ... ... ... ... | ,, | 191 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1065 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 367 dated the 19th December 1894/3rd Dhanu 1070 calling for proposals for the settlement of the viruthi holdings in the Chirayinkil taluk on the lines laid down for Trivandrum ... | VI | 192 |
| 1066 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 526 dated the 19th February 1895/9th Kumbham 1070 communicating the appointment of Deputy Settlement Peishkar Suriyanarayana Aiyar as Erayili Settlement Officer for the whole State under the direct orders of Government in place of Mr Padmanabha Naidu and dissociating the work of viruthi settlement from that of general settlement ... ... ... | „ | 193 |
| 1067 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 526 dated the 19th February 1895/9th Kumbham 1070 to Deputy Settlement Peishkar Surianarayana Aiyar *in re* above ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 191 |
| 1068 | Erayili Deputy Peishkar's Memorandum dated the 19th March 1895/7th Meenam 1070 proposing the entire abolition of the viruthi system so far as the proverties of Palkulangara and Vanchiyur are concerned and recommending that such of the enfranchised viruthies as are in the possession of the original grantees or strangers for more than 50 years be granted to the occupants as Pattom on levy of *vilayartham* and that the rest be sold in auction ... ... ... ... | „ | 195 |
| 1069 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 689 dated the 3rd April 1895/22nd Meenam 1070 sanctioning the proposals of the Erayili Deputy Peishkar for the settlement of the viruthi holdings in the proverties of Palkulangara and Vanchiyur ... ... ... ... | „ | 199 |
| 1070 | Erayili Deputy Peishkar's Memorandum dated the 12th April 1895/1st Medam 1070 shewing the lines on which the viruthi holdings should be settled in the remaining 7 proverties of the Trivandrum taluk and recommending that full pattom be imposed on the holdings retained for personal labour but that no *vilayartham* be levied on them ... | „ | 201 |
| 1071 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 754 dated the 19th May 1895/7th Idavam 1070 sanctioning the proposals for the viruthi settlement of seven adhikarams of the Trivandrum taluk ... ... ... | „ | 223 |
| 1072 | Erayili Deputy Peishkar's Memorandum dated the 30th May 1895/18th Idavam 1070 shewing the lines for the settlement of the *vazhathope* Erayili lands ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 225 |
| 1073 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 859 dated the 17th June 1895/1th Mithunam 1070 sanctioning the proposals for the settlement of the *vazhathope* Erayili lands ... ... ... ... | „ | 231 |
| 1074 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom to the Trivandrum Division Peishkar, No. 881 dated the 20th June 1895/7th Mithunam 1070, *in re* the *vazhathope* Erayili lands and the quinquennial revision of rates to be allowed for the supplies to be made by the holders ... ... ... | „ | 234 |
| 1075 | Government Notification No. 1078 dated the 14th August 1895/30th Karkadakam 1070 sanctioning the repayment in money at the commutation rate of the *mudaleipu* due by the virudhicars in paddy ... | „ | 236 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1076 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 1 dated the 18th August 1895/3rd Chingam 1071 sanctioning the introduction of the settlement rates of tax on the viruthi holdings in the Trivandrum taluk from the beginning of 1071 M. E. ... ... ... ... | VI | 237 |
| 1077 | Erayili Deputy Peishkar's Memorandum dated the 12th November 1895/28th Tulam 1071 shewing the lines on which the viruthi holdings in the Chirayinkil taluk should be settled ... ... ... | ,, | 238 |
| 1078 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 567 dated the 28th December 1895/11th Dhanu 1071 sanctioning the proposals for the settlement of the viruthi holdings in the Chirayinkil taluk ... ... ... | ,, | 248 |
| 1079 | Resident's letter to the Dewan, No. 1468 dated the 26th May 1896, forwarding a petition from the viruthi holders of the Chirayinkil taluk ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 250 |
| 1080 | Dewan's letter to the Resident, No. S. 125 dated the 4th/5th June 1896, *in re* the petition of the viruthi holders of the Chirayinkil taluk ... | ,, | 254 |
| 1081 | Resident's letter to the Dewan, No. 1688 dated the 10th July 1896, *in re*. the petition of the viruthicars of the Chirayinkil taluk... ... | ,, | 256 |
| 1082 | Dewan's letter to the Resident, No. V dated the 16th July 1896, *in re*. the petition of the viruthicars of the Chirayinkil taluk ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 1083 | Resident's letter to the Dewan, No 2198 dated the 17th July 1896, stating that he has given an endorsement to the petition of the viruthi holders of the Chirayinkil taluk that he sees no reason to interfere ... | ,, | 258 |
| 1084 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 1812 dated the 6th August 1896/23rd Karkadakam 1071 sanctioning the introduction of the settlement rates of tax on the viruthi holdings in the Chirayinkil taluk from the beginning of 1072 M. E. ... ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 1085 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom to the Trivandrum Division Peishkar, No. 1812 dated the 6th August 1896/23rd Karkadakam 1071, *in re*. the introduction of the settlement rates of tax on the viruthi holdings in the Chirayinkil taluk from the beginning of 1072 M. E. ... ... | ,, | 259 |
| 1086 | Royal Proclamation dated the 12th October 1896/28th Kanni 1072 remitting *mudaleilpu* and *chumatturanom* leviable on separate loans and arrears of latter (English and Malayalam) ... ... ... | ,, | 260 |
| 1087 | Erayili Deputy Peishkar's Memorandum dated the 10th November 1896/26th Tulam 1072 shewing the lines on which the virnthi holdings in the Nedumangad taluk should be settled ... ... | ,, | 261 |
| 1088 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 620 dated the 4th December 1896/21st Vrichikam 1072 sanctioning the proposals for the settlement of the viruthi holdings in the Nedumangad taluk... ... ... | ,, | 266 |

| Serial No. | Description | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1089 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 1772 dated the 20th July 1897/6th Karkadakam 1072 sanctioning the introduction of the settlement rates of tax on the viruthi holdings in the Nedumangad taluk from the beginning of 1073 M. E. ... ... ... ... | VI | 267 |
| 1090 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 1772 dated the 20th July 1897/6th Karkadakam 1072 to the Trivandrum Division Peishkar *in re.* the introduction of the settlement rates of tax on the viruthi holdings in the Nedumangad taluk from the beginning of 1073 M. E. ... | „ | 268 |
| 1091 | Erayili Deputy Peishkar's Memorandum dated the 23rd November 1897/9th Vrichikam 1073 shewing the lines on which the viruthi settlement of the Neyyattinkara taluk should be conducted ... ... | „ | 269 |
| 1092 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 530 dated the 6th December 1897/23rd Vrichikam 1073 sanctioning the proposals for the settlement of the viruthi holdings in the Neyyattinkara taluk ... ... | „ | 273 |
| 1093 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 1909 dated the 27th July 1898/13th Karkadakam 1073 retransferring the work of viruthi settlement in the non-settled taluks to the settlement department and laying down the lines on which it should be conducted in future ... ... | „ | 274 |
| 1094 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 126 dated the 13th September 1898/29th Chingam 1074 fixing the number and calling for a nominal list of the viruthikars appointed to render personal labour in the Neyyattinkara taluk ... ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 276 |
| 1095 | Rules dated the 19th October 1898/4th Tulam 1074 defining the conditions of the viruthi tenure and the duties of the viruthi holders in the taluks of Trivandrum, Chirayinkil, Nedumangad and Neyyattinkara (English and Malayalam) ... ... ... ... | „ | 277 |
| 1096 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 518 dated the 16th November 1898/2nd Vrichikam 1074 forwarding copy of the Viruthi Rules for information and attention ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 283 |
| 1097 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 764 dated the 27th December 1898/14th Dhanu 1074 appointing Mr. Venkiteswara Aiyar, Settlement Assistant, as Erayili Settlement Officer of the Kartikapally taluk and placing him under the direct orders of Government ... ... | „ | „ |
| 1098 | Erayili Assistant's Memorandum dated the 11th April 1899 *in re.* the settlement of the viruthi holdings in the Kartikapally taluk ... | „ | 284 |
| 1099 | Memorandum by Dewan Krishnaswamy Row passed under sanction of H. H. the Maharaja dated the 2nd May 1899 on the reorganisation of the viruthi service and the settlement of the viruthi lands in the Kartikapally taluk ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 314 |
| 1100 | Dewan's letter No. S. 352 dated the 31st August 1899 forwarding copy of the Memorandum passed under sanction of H. H. the Maharaja regarding the settlement of the viruthi lands in the Kartikapally taluk. | „ | 319 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1101 | Huzur Settlement Sadharom No. 76 dated the 2nd September 1899/18th Chingam 1075 directing the introduction of the new rates of tax in respect of the viruthi lands in the Kartikapally taluk from the beginning of 1075 M. E. ... ... ... ... | VI | 319 |
| 1102 | Government Notification dated the 26th September 1899 giving a description of the Enayili cases in which alone second appeals are allowed to Government ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 320 |
| 1103 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 306 dated the 11th November 1899 submitting proposals for the settlement of the viruthi lands in the Quilon taluk ... ... ... ... ... | „ | „ |
| 1104 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 534 dated the 5th December 1899/21st Vrichikam 1075 sanctioning the proposals for the settlement of the viruthi holdings in the Quilon taluk ... ... ... | „ | 347 |
| 1105 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 3994/287 dated the 24th January 1900/12th Makaram 1075 *in re.* the appointment of munnila viruthikars for the Kartikapally taluk ... ... ... | „ | 349 |
| 1106 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 5368/360 dated the 12th/March 1900/1st Meenam 1075 submitting proposals for the viruthi settlement of the Karunagapally taluk ... ... ... | „ | „ |
| 1107 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 921 dated the 16th March 1909/5th Meenam 1075 sanctioning the assignments of land proposed for the munnilakars of the Kartikapally taluk and their being paid 10 fs. a month each ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 388 |
| 1108 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 104 dated the 9th April 1900 recommending the abolition of the system of auctioning viruthi lands and the substitution of *vilayartham* in all cases ... ... | „ | 389 |
| 1109 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No 1196 dated the 1st May 1900/20th Medam 1075 sanctioning the proposals for the settlement of the viruthi lands in the Karunagapally taluk ... ... ... ... | „ | 390 |
| 1110 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 9084/640 dated the 11th June 1900/30th Idavam 1075 *in re.* the appointment of munnila and other viruthikars in the Quilon taluk ... ... ... | „ | 397 |
| 1111 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 1449 dated the 27th June 1900/15th Mithunam 1075 stating that the settlement tax on the viruthi lands in the Quilon taluk should be collected from the beginning of 1076 M. E. ... ... ... ... ... ... | „ | „ |
| 1112 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 269 dated the 31st July 1900 *in re.* the giving up of auction sales of viruthi lands and the substitution of *vilayartham* in all cases... ... ... ... | „ | 398 |
| 1113 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 27 dated the 22nd August 1900/7th Chingam 1076 sanctioning the appointment of munnila and other viruthicars in the Quilon taluk ... ... ... ... | „ | 402 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1114 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 3381/272 dated the 27th December 1900/13th Dhanu 1076 *in re.* the reorganisation of the *velakali* viruthi service in the Karunagapally taluk ... ... | VI | 403 |
| 1115 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 870 dated the 9th January 1901/26th Dhanu 1076 sanctioning the proposals for the reorganisation of the *velakali* viruthi service in the Karunagapally taluk ... ... | ,, | 404 |
| 1116 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 1033 dated the 7th February 1901/26th Makaram 1076 sanctioning the levy of a *vilayartham* of 40 times the assessment in certain cases ... ... ... | ,, | 405 |
| 1117 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 38 dated the 26th August 1901/11th Chingam 1077 stating that the settlement tax on the viruthi lands in the Karunagapally taluk should be collected from the beginning of 1077 M. E. ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 406 |
| 1118 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 1451/108 dated the 9th October 1901/24th Kanni 1077 *in re.* the assignments of land for munnila service in the Karunagapally taluk ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 1119 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 373 dated the 13th December 1901/28th Vrichikam 1077 sanctioning the assignments of land proposed for the munnilakars in the Karunagapally taluk and their being paid 10 fs. a month each ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 408 |
| 1120 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 217 dated the 30th September 1902/14th Kanni 1078 stating that the amount of the previous *adiyara* and *adukkuvathu* should be deducted from the amount of the *vilayartham* leviable under the rules in the settlement of viruthi holdings ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 1121 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No 4826/359 dated the 5th February 1903/23rd Makaram 1078 referring for orders the question of *vilayartham* to be levied on viruthi lands in the possession of persons who have taken up the same in auction for *adiyara* on the service tenure but whose possession does not extend to 25 years ... ... | ,, | 409 |
| 1122 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 1140 dated the 26th February 1903/15th Kumbham 1078 sanctioning the retention of certain Kandukrishi viruthies in the Neyyattinkara taluk ... ... ... | ,, | 410 |
| 1123 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 1176 dated the 5th March 1903/22nd Kumbham 1078 stating that in viruthi cases in which the holders have acquired the lands on *adiyara*, 25 times the assessment need alone be levied as *vilayartham* even when their possession is below 25 years and that the amount originally levied as *adiyara* should be deducted from the amount of the *vilayartham* leviable under the rules ... | ,, | 411 |
| 1124 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 72 dated the 3rd April 1903 submitting proposals for the settlement of the viruthi lands in the Ampalapuzha taluk ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 412 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1125 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 1727 dated the 17th June 1903/3rd Mithunam 1078 sanctioning the proposals for the settlement of the viruthi lands in the Ampalapuzha taluk ... ... ... | VI | 438 |
| 1126 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 10026/701 dated the 21st July 1903/6th Karkadakam 1078 *in re.* the settlement of three Kandukrishi viruthies in the Neyyattinkara taluk ... ... ... | „ | 439 |
| 1127 | Huzur Jamabundy Sadhanom No. 3282 dated the 21st February 1904/9th Kumbham 1079 stating that no viruthi holding should be granted on *vilayartham* unless the holder produces a certificate from the proverticar that no *chilavumuchan* or *vilavasi* arrears are outstanding against him ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 141 |
| 1128 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 13183/1174 dated the 6th July 1904/23rd Mithunam 1079 recommending the cancellation of the ruling that no viruthi land should be granted on *vilayartham* unless the holder produces a certificate from the proverticar that no *chilavumuchan* or *vilavasi* arrears are outstanding against him ... ... | „ | „ |
| 1129 | Huzur Jamabundy Sadhanom No. 5997 dated the 13th July 1904/30th Mithunam 1079 cancelling the order prohibiting the grant of viruthi holdings on *vilayartham* unless the holder produces a certificate from the proverticar that no *chilavumuchan* or *vilavasi* arrears are outstanding against him ... ... ... ... | „ | 443 |
| 1130 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 2598 dated the 13th August 1904/29th Karkadakam 1079 abolishing the viruthi assigned for sundry repairs in the Neyyattinkara palace building ... ... ... | „ | „ |
| 1131 | Proceedings of Government No. S. 262 dated the 23rd September 1904/8th Kanni 1080 transferring the work of viruthi settlement in the non-settled taluks to the ordinary revenue department and revising the rules for viruthi settlement ... ... ... ... | „ | 444 |
| 1132 | Dewan V. P. Madhava Rao's Note on 'Vilayartham on Viruthi' ... | „ | 457 |
| 1133 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 746 dated the 7th May 1905 suggesting the re-transfer to the settlement department of the viruthi settlement of the Vilavankod taluk as otherwise delay is likely to occur in completing the settlement of that taluk ... ... | „ | 468 |
| 1134 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 792 dated the 13th May 1905 *in re.* the appointment of munnila viruthikars for the Neyyattinkara taluk ... ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 469 |
| 1135 | Proceedings of Government No. S. 247 dated the 15th May 1905/2nd Idavam 1080 directing the enfranchisement of the lands assigned for munnila service in the several non-settled taluks and re-transferring the work of viruthi settlement in the Vilavankod taluk to the settlement department ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 470 |
| 1136 | Dewan's letter No. S. 251 dated the 17th May 1905 stating that the Padmanabhapuram Division Peishkar has been asked to transfer the viruthi settlement work of the Vilavankod taluk back to the settlement department ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 472 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1137 | Huzur Sadhanom No. 1730 (Circular to the Division Peishkars of Quilon, Kottayam and Padmanabhapuram and the Central Account and Audit Office) dated the 18th July 1905/3rd Karkadakam 1080 relieving the viruthiears throughout the State from the obligation to provide vegetables &c., for the Manjapam, the five annual festivals and other occasional ceremonies at the Capital ... ... | VI | 472 |
| 1138 | Proceedings of Government No. S. 442 dated the 14th August 1905 directing the introduction of the scheme abolishing munnila service in the non-settled taluks from the 1st Chingam 1081 ... ... | „ | 473 |
| 1139 | Huzur letter No. 601 dated the 27th November 1905 stating that the viruthi cases disposed of on *vilayartham* under the old rules must be dealt with accordingly ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 476 |
| 1140 | Huzur letter No. S. 628 dated the 2nd December 1905 stating that the appointment of munnilakars for the Neyyattinkara taluk will be made by the revenue department ... ... ... ... | „ | „ |
| 1141 | Huzur Sadhanom No. R. 5501 dated the 23rd June 1906/9th Mithunam 1081 stating that *pazhamakam* remission may be allowed by the revenue department in the case of viruthi lands converted into Pattom by that department before the settlement ... ... ... ... | „ | 477 |
| 1142 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. C. 127 dated the 9th February 1909 *in re* the settlement of cherikal lands assigned for viruthi service ... ... ... ... ... ... | „ | „ |
| 1143 | Huzur letter No. 4485/L. R. dated the 15th March 1909 sanctioning the cherikal viruthi cases being disposed of by the settlement department under the rules for viruthi settlement contained in para 9 of the G. O. No. S. 262 dated the 23rd September 1904 ... ... ... | „ | 478 |
| 1144 | Proceedings of Government No. 10728/L. R. dated the 8th August 1909 reorganising the viruthi service in the taluks of Quilon, Karunagapally, Kartikapally and Ampalapuzha ... ... | „ | 479 |
| 1145 | Proceedings of Government No. 10801/L. R. dated the 11th August 1909 abolishing munnila and vital statistics viruthies in the taluks of the Trivandrum Division ... ... ... ... | „ | 484 |

# IV. SRIPADOM SETTLEMENT.

# IV. SRIPADOM SETTLEMENT.

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1146 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 172 dated the 29th January 1884/17th Makaram 1059 stating that the demarcation stones required for the Sripadom tanathu lands in the Attungal and Idakod adhikarams should be planted at Sirkar cost and a statement of stones planted and expenditure incurred submitted to Government ... ... | VI | 487 |
| 1147 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 1655/31 dated the 10th December 1885/26th Vrichikam 1061 requesting orders whether the settlement of the Sripadom villages in the Chirayinkil taluk may be conducted by the settlement department under the rules applicable to Sirkar lands ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 1148 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 205 dated the 16th December 1885/3rd Dhanu 1061 stating that the settlement department may confine its operations to the counting of trees and the fixing of assessment rates in the Sripadom villages in the Chirayinkil taluk and that registration and other items may be left to be done by the Sripadom Palace ... | ,, | 489 |
| 1149 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 452 dated the 11th June 1886/30th Idavam 1061 stating that registration and other items of settlement work in the Sripadom villages in the Chirayinkil taluk should also be conducted by the settlement department according to the Settlement Royal Proclamation and Rules applicable to Sirkar lands ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 1150 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 5947/135 dated the 20th July 1886/6th Karkadakam 1061 requesting orders as to the procedure to be followed in the matter of dealing with escheat and other revenue cases in the Sripadom villages in the Chirayinkil taluk ... ... | ,, | 490 |
| 1151 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 535 dated the 6th August 1886/23rd Karkadakam 1061 stating that revenue cases in the Sripadom villages should be investigated by the settlement department and transferred to the Sripadom Kariakar for auction, *vilayartham* &c., proceedings wherever the latter have to be conducted and that viruthi lands should be dealt with under the terms of the Royal Proclamation of the 4th Idavam 1061 ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 491 |
| 1152 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 341 dated the 7th January 1888/24th Dhanu 1063 *in re.* the treatment as Pandaraotti of certain lands in the Vanchiyur adhikaram claimed by Sripadom as granted for black gram and vegetable cultivation ... ... ... ... | ,, | 492 |
| 1153 | Huzur Jamabundy Sadhanom No. 7430 dated the 31st May 1888/18th Idavam 1063 stating that pattahs for Sripadom lands should be prepared by the settlement department and that further steps should be regulated in consultation with the Sripadom Palace ... ... | ,, | 494 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1154 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 2968/99 dated the 10th April 1890/29th Meenam 1065 submitting proposals for the assessment of the lands in the Attungal, Avanavanchery and Ilamba villages ... | VI | 495 |
| 1155 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 3062/101 dated the 18th April 1890/7th Medam 1065 submitting proposals for the assessment of the lands in the Kil Attungal pakudi... ... ... | ,, | 496 |
| 1156 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 3093/104 dated the 19th April 1890/8th Medam 1065 submitting proposals for the assessment of of the lands in the Idaked pakudi ... ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 1157 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 276 dated the 8th May 1890/27th Medam 1065 sanctioning the scheme of assessment proposed for the Attungal, Avanavanchery and Ilamba villages ... ... | ,, | 497 |
| 1158 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 277 dated the 8th May 1890/27th Medam 1065 sanctioning the scheme of assessment proposed for the Kil Attungal village ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 1159 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 278 dated the 8th May 1890/27th Medam 1065 sanctioning the scheme of assessment proposed for the Idakod village ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 498 |
| 1160 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 3288/126 dated the 9th May 1890/28th Medam 1065 submitting proposals for the assessment of the lands in the Alankod pakudi ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 1161 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 3386/128 dated the 13th May 1890/1st Idavam 1065 submitting the scheme of assessment of the Mudakkal village ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 499 |
| 1162 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 290 dated the 16th May 1890/4th Idavam 1065 sanctioning the scheme of assessment proposed for the Alankod village ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 1163 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 295 dated the 20th May 1890/8th Idavam 1065 sanctioning the scheme of assessment proposed for the Mudakkal village ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 500 |
| 1164 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 373 dated the 25th July 1890/11th Karkadakam 1065 stating that the paddy tax in the Sripadom villages of the Chirayinkil taluk should be made payable in the pattahs in the proportion of 3/4 in kind and 1/4 in money calculated at a commutation rate of 6 chs. per panah of paddy ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 1165 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 279 dated the 18th April 1891/7th Medam 1066 stating that an entry should be made in the pattahs for the Sripadom *anuttarpattom* lands that the paddy tax should be paid according to past usage in seed-paddy ... ... ... | ,, | 501 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1166 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No 4385/123 dated the 19th June 1891/6th Mithunam 1066 stating that Sripadom Maman Kandukrishi lands granted on Vettolivu are liable to be treated like lands of other Sripadom tenures and not as Kandukrishi ... ... | VI | 502 |
| 1167 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 556/36 dated the 3rd October 1891/18th Kanni 1067 stating that such of the lands of Sripadom Devaswom Otti tenure as were originally charged with *kudama* and rajabhogam to the Sirkar have been treated in the settlement accordingly ... ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 503 |
| 1168 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 55 dated the 15th September 1892/1st Kanni 1068 stating that the taxes due to the devaswoms belonging to Sripadom on lands situated in the Sirkar villages of the Chirayinkil taluk should be made payable 3/4 in paddy and 1/4 in money as in the case of the taxes due to Sripadom in the free-hold villages of that taluk ... ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 504 |
| 1169 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 634 dated the 24th June 1893/12th Mithunam 1068 *in re.* the grant of pattahs for Maman Kandukrishi lands belonging to Sripadom ... ... ... ... | „ | ... |
| 1170 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 1223 dated the 30th March 1897/18th Meenam 1072 stating that the reversionary right to all escheated lands attached to the Muttukad and Kadinpatnam Kazhanchimms vests in Sripadom ... ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 505 |
| 1171 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 589 dated the 26th November 1903/11th Vrichikam 1079 stating that the paddy tax due to Sripadom in the Neyyattinkara and other taluks should be made payable in the pattahs in the proportion of ¾ in kind and ¼ in money at a commutation rate of 6 chs. per parah of paddy ... ... ... | „ | 506 |
| 1172 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 9322/1635 dated the 6th August 1905/22nd Karkadakam 1080 stating that the tax on certain Sripadom wet lands in the Neyyattinkara taluk originally levied wholly in money may be made payable at the settlement also wholly in money at a commutation rate of 6 chs. per parah of the assessed paddy tax ... | „ | 507 |
| 1173 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 3343/156 dated the 26th December 1905/ 12th Dhanu 1081 stating that the *chattam* originally charged on the Sripadom lands in the Vilavankod taluk has been treated as rajabhogam in accordance with Government orders ... | „ | 508 |
| 1174 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 1593/78 dated the 3rd January 1907/19th Dhanu 1082 requesting orders as to the treatment to be accorded at the settlement to *panakudama* lands belonging to Sripadom in the Kalkulam taluk ... ... ... ... | „ | ... |
| 1175 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 1597/80 dated the 3rd January 1907/19th Dhanu 1082 recommending that the *payaru* (green gram) cess charged on certain Sripadom lands in the Kalkulam taluk be abolished in respect of Pattom tenures and commuted in the case of other tenures into money at 10 fs. per parah ... ... | „ | 509 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1176 | Huzur Sadhanom No. R. 286 dated the 18th January 1907/5th Makaram 1082 sanctioning the abolition of the *pajuvu* cess borne on Sripadom Pattom tenures and its conversion into money at 10 fs. per parah in respect of other tenures ... ... ... ... | VI | 510 |
| 1177 | Huzur letter No. R. 300 dated the 19th January 1907 *in re.* the treatment of Sripadom *panaikadama* lands in the Kalkulam taluk ... | ,, | 511 |
| 1178 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 212 dated the 2nd February 1907 *in re.* the treatment of *panaikadama* lands belonging to Sripadom in the Kalkulam taluk ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 1179 | Huzur letter No. 817/R. dated the 9th February 1907 stating that the public tax due to Sripadom on the *panaikadama* lands in the Kalkulam taluk may be made payable $\frac{3}{4}$ in kind and $\frac{1}{4}$ in money as has been done in Nanchanad and in the Vilavankod and Neyyattinkara taluks generally ... | ,, | 513 |
| 1180 | Huzur Sadhanom No. 4355/L. R. dated the 25th April 1908/13th Medam 1083 sanctioning the remission of the excess over the old assessment imposed on certain Sripadom tamilu lands in the Kalkulam village of the Eraniel taluk ... ... ... ... | ,, | 514 |
| 1181 | Huzur letter No. J. 2740 dated the 26th May 1909 calling for information as to how public roads, public tanks, canals, rivers &c., situated within the limits of Sripadom and Edavaka lands have been treated at the recent settlement ... ... ... ... | ,, | 515 |
| 1182 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1084 dated the 28th May 1909 stating that public roads, public tanks, canals, rivers &c., situated within the limits of Sripadom and Edavaka lands have been treated at the settlement as porambokes in the same manner as those lying within Sirkar villages ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 516 |

# V. KILIMANUR EDAVAKA SETTLEMENT.

# V. KILIMANUR EDAVAKA SETTLEMENT.

| Serial No. | Description | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1183 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 1655/31 dated the 10th December 1885/26th Vrichikam 1061 requesting orders whether the settlement of the Kilimanur Edavaka villages in the Chirayinkil taluk may be conducted by the settlement department under the rules applicable to Sirkar lands ... ... ... ... | VI | 517 |
| 1184 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 205 dated the 16th December 1885/3rd Dhanu 1061 stating that the settlement department may confine its operations to the counting of trees and the fixing of assessment rates in the Kilimanur Edavaka villages and that registration and other items may be left to the Kuil Tampuran... ... ... | „ | 518 |
| 1185 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 519 dated the 24th July 1886/10th Karkadakam 1061 calling for an estimate of expenditure that might be required for the complete settlement of the Kilimanur Edavaka villages ... ... ... ... ... ... | „ | „ |
| 1186 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 125 dated the 17th November 1886/3rd Vrichikam 1062 directing that registration and all other items of settlement work in the Kilimanur Edavaka villages should also be conducted by the settlement department in accordance with the Settlement Royal Proclamation and Rules applicable to Sirkar lands, but that the pattahs should be sent to the Kilimanur Kottaram for issue to the holders... ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 519 |
| 1187 | Huzur Jamabundy Sadhanom No. 1002 dated the 3rd January 1889/21st Dhanu 1064 stating that the reversionary right to escheated property within the Kilimanur Edavaka villages vests in the Kilimanur Kottaram ... ... ... ... ... ... | „ | „ |
| 1188 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 3147/106 dated the 27th April 1890/16th Medam 1065 submitting the scheme report of the Kilimanur village ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 520 |
| 1189 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 279 dated the 8th May 1890/27th Medam 1065 sanctioning the scheme of assessment proposed for the Kilimanur village ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 521 |
| 1190 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 3113/131 dated the 17th May 1890/5th Idavam 1065 submitting the scheme report of the Pazhayakunnummel pakudi ... ... ... ... | „ | „ |

| Serial No. | Description | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1191 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 302 dated the 23rd May 1890/11th Idavam 1065 sanctioning the scheme of assessment proposed for the Pazhayakunnummel pakudi ... ... ... ... | VI | 522 |
| 1192 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 387 dated the 6th August 1890/23rd Karkadakam 1065 stating that the paddy tax due to the Kilimanur Kottaram in the Edavaka villages should be made payable in the pattahs in the proportion of $\frac{3}{4}$ in kind and $\frac{1}{4}$ in money at a commutation rate of 6 chs. *per parah* of paddy ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 1193 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 5006/117 dated the 28th July 1891/14th Karkadakam 1066 *in re.* the transfer to the Pulimathu village of the Nagarur adhikaram of certain properties wrongly surveyed into the Pazhayakunnummel pakudi of the Kilimanur Edavaka ... | ,, | 523 |
| 1194 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 129 dated the 19th May 1892/7th Idavam 1067 passing orders on the above report ... ... | ,, | 525 |
| 1195 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 727 dated the 11th December 1901/26th Vrichikam 1077 stating that the lands within the Oonnanpallikara Desom within the Kilimanur Edavaka villages should be settled and alienated lands assessed with *nijabhogam* to the Kilimanur Kottaram as per High Court decision ... ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 1196 | Huzur letter No. 17023/R. 7096 dated the 8th October 1906 *in re.* the transfer to the Pulimathu village of the Nagarur adhikaram of certain properties wrongly surveyed into the Pazhayakunnummel village of the Kilimanur Edavaka ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 526 |
| 1197 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1722 dated the 8th November 1906 *in re.* above ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 527 |
| 1198 | Huzur letter No. 3414/L. R. dated the 24th April 1907 *in re.* above ... | ,, | 528 |
| 1199 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1062 dated the 28th May 1907 *in re.* above ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 530 |
| 1200 | Huzur Docket No. 5463/L. R. dated the 24th June 1907 forwarding copy of endorsement granted to the Kilimanur Chief declining to re-open the question of the transfer to the Pulimathu village of the Nagarur adhikaram of the properties wrongly surveyed into the Pazhayakunnummel pakudi of the Kilimanur Edavaka ... ... | ,, | ,, |

# VI. EDAPALLI EDAVAKA SETTLEMENT

A. SETTLEMENT OF TRIKUNNAPUZHA, EDAPALLI NORTH, EDAPALLI SOUTH AND KALLUPARA VILLAGES.

B. SETTLEMENT OF VAZHAKULAM VILLAGE

# VI. EDAPALLI EDAVAKA SETTLEMENT.

## A. SETTLEMENT OF TRIKUNNAPUZHA, EDAPALLI NORTH, EDAPALLI SOUTH AND KALLUPARA VILLAGES.

# VI. EDAPALLI EDAVAKA SETTLEMENT.

## A. SETTLEMENT OF THE TRIKUNNAPUZHA, EDAPALLI NORTH, EDAPALLI SOUTH AND KALLUPARA VILLAGES.


| Serial No. | Description | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1201 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 4078/120 dated the 22nd March 1887/10th Meenam 1062 stating that classification and registration in the Edapalli Edavaka villages should be attended to by the Swaroopam, that a classifier might be deputed for tree counting as in the previous settlement, that if so ordered assessment rates may also be fixed by the settlement department, that the preparation and issue of rough and fair pattahs should be carried out by the Swaroopam itself, that the question of the Swaroopam being charged with the cost of operations to be done by the settlement department is one for the decision of Government, but that the survey of the free-hold villages may be conducted by the survey department if so desired by the Chief ... ... ... ... ... ... | VI | 531 |
| 1202 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 307/91 dated the 5th September 1889/22nd Chingam 1065 stating that the question whether the Edapalli Chief should be charged with the entire cost of the survey and settlement operations of the Edavaka villages or only a portion thereof is one for the decision of Government and that in respect to cost and convenience it is better to put off the survey and settlement of the Chief's free-hold villages till the taluks in which they are situated are entered upon by the departments concerned ... ... | ,, | 532 |
| 1203 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 197 dated the 24th January 1890/12th Makaram 1065 calling for the views of the Settlement Dewan Peishkar as to the terms on which the settlement of the Edapalli Chief's free-hold villages may be conducted by Government ... ... | ,, | 533 |
| 1204 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 2811/94 dated the 28th March 1890/16th Meenam 1065 stating that the Edapalli Chief's free-hold villages may be settled along with the Sirkar villages in the taluks in which they are situated and that the question of cost is one for the decision of Government ... ... ... ... | ,, | - |
| 1205 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 375 dated the 25th July 1890/11th Karkadakam 1065 calling for the views of the Settlement Dewan Peishkar on the Edapalli Chief's request to conduct settlement operations in his villages in advance of those of the taluks in which they are included with a view to save the Swaroopam from unnecessary losses and difficulties incidental to want of funds ... ... | ,, | 534 |
| 1206 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 4640/182 dated the 2nd August 1890/19th Karkadakam 1065 stating that the settlement of the Edapalli Chief's free-hold villages cannot be taken up in advance of the taluks in which they are included and that even survey operations which have to precede settlement have not been finished in them ... | ,, | 535 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1207 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 322 dated the 19th May 1891/7th Idavam 1066 calling for opinion whether it would not be enough if the Edapalli Chief is asked to bear one-half the cost of the settlement operations to be conducted in his free-hold villages ... ... | VI | 536 |
| 1208 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 3934/100 dated the 22nd May 1891/10th Idavam 1066 stating that there is no objection to the proportion of cost to be borne by the Edapalli Chief in connection with the settlement of his free-hold villages being fixed at one-half ... | ,, | 538 |
| 1209 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 1660 dated the 9th June 1898/28th Idavam 1073 sanctioning the settlement of the Edapalli Chief's free-hold villages being conducted by the settlement department ... | ,, | ,, |
| 1210 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 10702/737 dated the 16th August 1902/32nd Karkadakam 1077 stating that the Swaroopamvaka Adima, Anubhogam, Tiruvuhum &c., tenures in the Trikunnapuzha village should not be assessed with one-half pattom in cases where the lands have passed into the hands of alienees, but that in accordance with past usage the fees levied on transfers of such lands may be recovered on behalf of the Swaroopam ... ... ... | ,, | 539 |
| 1211 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 1825/58 dated the 5th February 1903/23rd Makaram 1078 recommending that in view to securing expeditiousness in working, escheat and other revenue cases in the Trikunnapuzha village be sanctioned to be disposed of by the settlement department as was done in the case of the Kilimanur Edavaka villages ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 540 |
| 1212 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 1070 dated the 13th February 1903/2nd Kumbham 1078 sanctioning the Settlement Dewan Peishkar's proposal that the escheat &c., cases in the Trikunnapuzha village be disposed of by the settlement department ... ... ... | ,, | 541 |
| 1213 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 5456/396 dated the 26th February 1903/14th Kumbham 1078 stating it as his opinion that the alienated inam lands of the Elangallur Swaroopam in the Trikunnapuzha village should not be assessed with one-half pattom after the manner of Sirkar lands, but that the transfer fees originally charged by the Swaroopam may be recovered under the Rent Recovery Regulation by the Sirkar on behalf of the Swaroopam ... ... | ,, | 542 |
| 1214 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 1482 dated the 25th April 1903/13th Medam 1078 sanctioning the proposals of the Settlement Dewan Peishkar in regard to the treatment of Swaroopamvaka alienated inams in the Trikunnapuzha village ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 1215 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 14089/1227 dated the 21st July 1904/6th Karkadakam 1079 *in re.* the treatment of certain tenures and extra cesses in the Trikunnapuzha village ... ... | ,, | 543 |
| 1216 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 1189 dated the 21st February 1905/10th Kumbham 1080 *in re.* above ... ... ... | ,, | 547 |

TRIVANDRUM




| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1217 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 7968/598 dated the 16th May 1905/3rd Idavam 1080 *in re.* the treatment of certain tenures and extra cesses in the Trikunnapuzha village ... ... ... | VI | 548 |
| 1218 | Huzur Settlement Sadhanom No. 1675 dated the 4th July 1905/21st Mithunam 1080 stating that inam and other tenures in the Trikunnapuzha village should be assessed on the same principles as Sirkar lands of corresponding tenures ... ... ... ... | ,, | 549 |
| 1219 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 994 dated the 12th July 1906 stating that the request of the Edapalli Chief to be allowed to take copies of settlement records cannot be allowed ... ... | ,, | 550 |
| 1220 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1067 dated the 30th July 1906 requesting orders as to whether the demand on the wet lands in the Triknnnapuzha village may be made payable wholly in kind as desired by the Chief or whether it should be made payable in money and in the latter case the proportions in which the two commutation rates (old and new) should be applied ... ... ... | ,, | 551 |
| 1221 | Huzur letter No. 16751/R. 7033 dated the 4th October 1906 stating that the wet land tax in the Trikunnapuzha village should be made payable wholly in paddy in accordance with past usage and as desired by the Chief ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 553 |
| 1222 | Huzur Sadhanom No. R. 8507 dated the 19th November 1906/4th Vrichikam 1082 stating that the same procedure should be followed in issuing pattahs for the Edapalli Edavaka lands as was adopted in the case of the Kilinanur Edavaka lands ... ... ... | ,, | 554 |
| 1223 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 422 dated the 28th February/4th March 1907 referring for the orders of Government certain points connected with the settlement of the Edapalli Chief's free-hold lands in the Kallupara village ... ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 1224 | Huzur letter No. 2297/L. R. dated the 28th March 1907 passing orders on the Settlement Dewan Peishkar's reference (No. 422 dated the 4th March 1907) *in re.* Kallupara settlement ... ... ... | ,, | 558 |
| 1225 | Proceedings of Government No. 338 dated the 5th/28th August 1907 *in re.* the status of the Edapalli Chief and his rights and privileges ... | ,, | 559 |
| 1226 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 1434/58 dated the 30th November 1907/15th Vrichikam 1083 submitting proposals for the settlement of the cherikals in the Kallupara village ... ... | ,, | 562 |
| 1227 | Huzur Sadhanom No. 765/L. R. dated the 25th January 1908/12th Makaram 1083 sanctioning the proposals for the settlement of the cherikals in the Kallupara village ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 565 |
| 1228 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1341 dated the 15th July 1908 *in re.* the treatment of the alienated inam lands in the Kallupara village ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1229 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1410 dated the 23rd July 1908 submitting the scheme of assessment for the Edapalli North village ... ... ... .. .. ... | VI | 566 |
| 1230 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No 1424 dated the 25th July 1908 submitting the scheme of assessment for the Edapalli South village ... | „ | 568 |
| 1231 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 5151/182 dated the 2nd August 1908/18th Karkadakam 1083 submitting a forecast of time and the establishment required for the settlement of the cherikals in the Kallupara village ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 570 |
| 1232 | Huzur Docket No. 9168/L. R. dated the 3rd August 1908 forwarding copy of memo to the Edapalli Karikar stating that the previous order regarding the assessment of the Swaroopamvaka alienated inams in the Kallupara village cannot be cancelled ... ... | „ | 571 |
| 1233 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1541 dated the 6th August 1908 stating that the Edapalli Chief's application for copies of assessment statements being supplied to him before they are sanctioned by Government cannot be complied with ... ... ... | „ | 572 |
| 1234 | Huzur Sadhanom No. 10960/R. dated the 29th August 1908 sanctioning the forecast of time and the establishment required for the settlement of the cherikals in the Kallupara village ... ... ... | „ | 573 |
| 1235 | Huzur letter No. 11301/L. R. dated the 6th September 1908 sanctioning the assessment rates fixed for the Edapalli North village ... | „ | 574 |
| 1236 | Huzur letter No. 11302/L. R. dated the 6th September 1908 sanctioning the assessment rates fixed for the Edapalli South village ... | „ | „ |
| 1237 | Huzur D. O. dated the 29th September 1908 stating that the Edapalli Karikar may be allowed to take copies of block statements before they are sanctioned by Government ... ... ... | „ | 575 |
| 1238 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 32 dated the 5th January 1909 recommending that the *taravila* leviable on the puduval wet lands in the Edapalli North and the Edapalli South villages be recovered in money at the commutation rate of 11 chs. per parah of paddy ... | „ | „ |
| 1239 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 208 dated the 23rd January 1909 *in re.* Kallupara settlement ... ... ... ... | „ | 577 |
| 1240 | Huzur letter No. 1390/L. R. dated the 25th January 1909 sanctioning the rate at which *taravila* should be realised on the puduval wet lands in the villages of Edapalli North and Edapalli South being fixed at 11 chs. a parah of paddy ... ... ... ... | „ | 579 |
| 1241 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 229 dated the 26th January 1909 *in re*, the treatment of Tiruvulam cherikals in the Kallupara village ... ... ... ... ... ... | „ | „ |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1242 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 1970 dated the 2nd March 1909/19th Kumbham 1084 *in re.* the treatment of alienated inams in the villages of Edapalli North and Edapalli South... ... ... | VI | 580 |
| 1243 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 542 dated the 8th/10th March 1909 *in re.* the treatment of extra cesses in the villages of Edapalli North and Edapalli South ... ... ... ... | ,, | 582 |
| 1244 | Huzur Sadhanom No 9095 dated the 23rd April 1909 *in re.* the treatment of extra cesses in the villages of Edapalli North and Edapalli South ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 584 |
| 1245 | Huzur letter No. 7614/L. R dated the 26th May 1909 stating that all the alienated inam lands in the Kallupara village should be assessed with half pattom irrespective of the consent of the tenants so as to bring the procedure into accord with what has been sanctioned in the case of the Tritunnapuzha and Vazhakulam villages ... ... | ,, | 585 |
| 1246 | Proceedings of Government No 8083/L R dated the 28th May 1909 *in re.* Kallupara settlement ... ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 1247 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 3817 dated the 28th July 1909/13th Karkadakam 1084 *in re* the printing of the settlement registers of the Edapalli Edavaka villages ... ... ... | ,, | 587 |
| 1248 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No 365/C. dated the 15th August 1909/31st Karkadakam 1084 *in re.* the treatment of extra cesses in the Edapalli North and Edapalli South villages ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 1249 | Huzur Sadhanom No 11685 dated the 4th September 1909 stating that the Edapalli Chief may be left to make his own arrangements for the printing of the registers of his Edavaka villages ... ... | ,, | 592 |
| 1250 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's D. O. dated the 10th November 1909 requesting the sanction of Government for the conversion into Pattom of the Elangalloor Swaroopam Viruthi lands in the villages of Edapalli North and Edapalli South as has been done in the case of the Vazhakulam village ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 1251 | Huzur letter No. 15051/L R dated the 9th December 1909 sanctioning the Elangalloor Swaroopam Viruthi lands in the villages of Edapalli North and Edapalli South being converted into Pattom as in the case of similar lands in the Vazhakulam village ... ... ... | ,, | 593 |
| 1252 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 611 dated the 23rd July 1910 submitting the scheme of assessment for the cherikals in the Kallupara village ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 1253 | Huzur letter No. 7074/L R dated the 2nd August 1910 sanctioning the scheme of assessment proposed for the cherikal lands in the Kallupara village ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 595 |
| 1254 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 681 dated the 25th August 1910 *in re.* the preparation of chittahs for certain lands in the Kallupara village on which the Kaviyur and other Devaswoms are entitled to michavaram and the Elangalloor Swaroopam to rajabhogam ... | ,, | ,, |
| 1255 | Huzur letter No. 4096/L. R. dated the 18th May 1911 *in re.* above ... | ,, | 596 |

# VI. EDAPALLI EDAVAKA SETTLEMENT.

## B. SETTLEMENT OF VAZHAKULAM VILLAGE

# VI. EDAPALLI EDAVAKA SETTLEMENT.

## B. SETTLEMENT OF THE VAZHAKULAM VILLAGE.


| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1256 | Huzur Jamabundy Sadhanom No. 227 dated the 1st September 1903/16th Chingam 1079 *m. e.* the registry of puduvals in the Vazhakulam proverty ... ... ... ... ... | VI | 597 |
| 1257 | Huzur Sadhanom No. 3579/L. R. dated the 6th May 1907/23rd Medam 1082 calling for opinion on the question of assessing the alienated Jenmom lands in the Vazhakulam village ... ... ... | „ | 600 |
| 1258 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 3529/202 dated the 15th July 1907/31st Mithunam 1082 stating that the practice of bringing under assessment to the Sirkar alienated Jenmom lands in the Vazhakulam village is right and cannot be given up... ... ... | „ | 601 |
| 1259 | Huzur Sadhanom No. 7165/L. R. dated the 2nd August 1907 approving of the views of the Settlement Dewan Peishkar regarding the treatment of alienated Jenmom lands in the Vazhakulam village ... | „ | 609 |
| 1260 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Order No. 2811/164 dated the 22nd February 1908/10th Kumbham 1083 stating that the paddy tax on the wet lands in the Vazhakulam village may be made payable to the Elangalloor Swaroopam wholly in kind according to past usage ... | „ | 610 |
| 1261 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 2071 dated the 8th October 1908 submitting the scheme of assessment proposed for the Vazhakulam village ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 611 |
| 1262 | Huzur letter No. 13798/L. R. dated the 18th November 1908 sanctioning the scheme of assessment proposed for the Vazhakulam village ... | „ | 616 |
| 1263 | Huzur letter No. 3027/L. R. dated the 13th February 1909 forwarding for report copy of a letter from the Edapalli Kariakar about the settlement of the Vazhakulam village ... ... ... ... | „ | 617 |
| 1264 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 622 dated the 19th March 1909 reporting on the Edapalli Kariakar's letter in regard to the settlement of the Vazhakulam village ... ... ... ... | „ | .. |
| 1265 | Huzur D. O. dated the 12th May 1909 laying down the policy to be followed in the matter of conducting settlement operations in the Vazhakulam village ... ... ... ... ... | „ | 622 |

| Serial No. | Description. | Vol. | Page. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1266 | Huzur letter No. 8082/L. R. dated the 3rd June 1909 *in re.* the treatment of certain lands alleged to lie in the Vazhakulam village ... | VI | 625 |
| 1267 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1130 dated the 11th June 1909 *in re.* above ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 626 |
| 1268 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's D. O. dated the 27th June 1909 requesting the sanction of Government for the Elangalloor Swaroopamvaka viruthi and alienated inam lands in the Vazhakulam village being converted into Pattom and Otti respectively ... ... ... | ,, | 634 |
| 1269 | Huzur Sadhanom No. 9861/L. R dated the 20th July 1909 *in re.* the treatment of certain lands alleged to lie in the Vazhakulam proverty ... | ,, | ,, |
| 1270 | Huzur D. O. dated the 21st July 1909 sanctioning the conversion into Pattom and Otti respectively of Elangalloor Swaroopamvaka viruthi and alienated inam lands in the Vazhakulam village ... | ,, | 635 |
| 1271 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 1567 dated the 31st August 1909 *in re.* the principles to be followed in the determination of the Government demand on the Elangalloor Swaroopamvaka viruthi lands converted into Elangalloorvaka Pattom in the Vazhakulam village ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 1272 | Huzur letter No. 12049/L. R. dated the 20th September 1909 *in re.* above ... ... ... ... ... ... | ,, | 637 |
| 1273 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's Sadhanom No. 715 dated the 6th November 1909/21st Tulam 1085 *in re.* the treatment of escheated lands of Sirkar tenures in the Vazhakulam village ... ... | ,, | ,, |
| 1274 | Huzur Sadhanom No. 843/L. R. dated the 21st February 1910 stating that no claim need be recognised in the Elangalloor Swaroopam in escheated cases involving Sirkar tenures in the Vazhakulam village ... | ,, | 638 |
| 1275 | Huzur letter No. 9581/L. R dated the 3rd October 1910 forwarding for report a memorial addressed to the Resident by the Edapalli Chief in regard to the settlement of the Vazhakulam proverty ... ... | ,, | 639 |
| 1276 | Settlement Dewan Peishkar's letter No. 790 dated the 22nd October 1910 reporting on the Edapalli Chief's memorial to the Resident *in re.* the settlement of the Vazhakulam proverty ... ... | ,, | 640 |

10030

# Final Report on the Revenue Settlement of Travancore : Appendix No 2, Vol. 1

005 Summary of Contents
003 Introductory Note
1 General Settlement
89 Cherikal Settlement
101 Viruthi Settlement
113 Sripadom Settlement
119 Kilimanur Settlement
125 Edapalli Edavaka Settlement
125 Settlement of Trikunnapuzha, Edapalli north, Edapalli south and Kallupara Villages
133 Settlement of Vazhakulam Village